
Sarimay-Dzhankeldy Transmission

Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA): Volume II - ESIA Report

CLIENT: NEGU

Date: March 2022



Juru Energy Ltd.
Suite 1, One George Yard, London
United Kingdom, EC3V 9DF
T: +44 207 859 4028

Document Information

Project Name	Sarimay-Dzhankeldy Transmission
Document Title	ESIA Report
Juru Energy's Project Reference	UZB _Sarimay-Dzhankeldy
Client	NEGU
JE Project Manager	Nicola Davies
JE Project Director	Jushkinbek Ismailov

Document Control

Version	Version Date	Description	Author	Reviewer	Approver
1.0	22 December 2021	Draft ESIA Report for Client review	Various	Marianne Lupton	Nicola Davies
2.0	25 February 2022	Draft ESIA Report for Client review	Various	Marianne Lupton	Nicola Davies
3.0	18 March 2022	Final ESIA Report for disclosure	Various	Marianne Lupton	Nicola Davies

Disclaimer:

The Environmental and Social Assessment Report (the "Report") has been prepared by Juru Energy. Whilst the information contained in the Report reflects the current status, Juru Energy makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy of the information set forth in this Report and accepts no liability for any information that may have been misstated or omitted.

This report has been prepared exclusively for NEGU. NEGU makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information set forth in this Report. NEGU has not independently verified any of the information contained in this Report and accept no liability whatsoever for any information, misstatement or omission contained therein. The Report remains NEGU property.

Table of Contents

Document Information.....	ii
Document Control.....	ii
Table of figures.....	v
Table of tables.....	x
List of Abbreviations.....	xiv
Glossary.....	xvii
1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Project proponent.....	2
1.3 Project overview.....	2
1.4 Purpose of this report.....	3
1.5 ESIA assessment methodology.....	5
1.6 Structure of the ESIA report.....	5
1.7 Project contact information.....	6
2 Project Description.....	7
2.1 Needs case.....	7
2.2 Analysis of alternatives.....	9
2.3 Overview of project location and receptors.....	14
2.4 Project components.....	21
2.5 Related works.....	27
2.6 Stages of the project cycle.....	29
2.7 Other activities.....	33
2.8 Neighbouring and future planned projects.....	37
3 Policy, Legislative and Institutional Framework.....	38
3.1 Uzbekistan regulatory context.....	38
3.2 Constitution of Uzbekistan.....	39
3.3 Law on Nature Protection, 1992 as amended in 2021.....	39
3.4 Law on Environmental Control, 2013 as amended in 2021.....	39
3.5 National environmental impact assessment (EIA) process.....	40
3.6 Climate policy.....	42
3.7 Other applicable legislation and standards.....	43
3.8 Land rights, acquisition and resettlement.....	46
3.9 Labour and employment.....	47
3.10 Cultural heritage.....	49

3.11	National norms and standards for transmission projects	49
3.12	Permits and licences.....	52
3.13	Lender requirements.....	53
4	Baseline Description	59
4.1	Introduction.....	59
4.2	Defining the AOI	59
4.3	Geographic overview	60
4.4	Physical baseline	60
4.5	Socio-economic baseline	83
4.6	Biodiversity baseline	100
5	Stakeholder Engagement.....	138
5.1	Introduction.....	138
5.2	SEP objectives.....	138
5.3	Stakeholder identification	138
5.4	Summary of stakeholder engagement during the ESIA phase	145
5.5	Grievance mechanism	152
6	Impact Assessment Methodology	153
6.1	ESIA terms of reference.....	153
6.2	Assessment methodology	154
6.3	Cumulative impacts.....	157
6.4	Transboundary impacts	158
7	Impact Assessment.....	159
7.1	Introduction.....	159
7.2	Air quality.....	159
7.3	Environmental Noise.....	163
7.4	Waste	167
7.5	Climate resilience.....	172
7.6	Water resource use and quality.....	176
7.7	Soils.....	180
7.8	Electric and magnetic fields	184
7.9	Traffic and transportation	187
7.10	Biodiversity	191
7.11	Labour and procurement.....	200
7.12	Occupational Health and Safety.....	206
7.13	Community health, safety and security.....	208
7.14	Land	213

7.15	Cultural heritage	216
8	Environmental and Social Management.....	219
8.1	Overview.....	219
8.2	ESMP objectives.....	219
9	Conclusion	219

Table of figures

Figure 1: Simplified schematic of the proposed Project at wider 500kV transmission network	2
Figure 2: Project location of the Project Lots in the wider region	4
Figure 3: ESIA process - sequence of steps (source: Juru Energy 2021).....	5
Figure 4: Power areas of Uzbekistan (Source: Juru Energy).....	7
Figure 5: - Electrical power generation and consumption outlook by 2030, In kWh (Source: CONCEPT NOTE FOR ENSURING ELECTRICITY SUPPLY IN UZBEKISTAN IN 2020-2030)	8
Figure 6 Renewable energy-based planned generation mix in Uzbekistan until 2030 in GW (source: Concept note for ensuring electricity supply in Uzbekistan in 2020-2030)	9
Figure 7: Route corridor options for Lot 1 (Source: Juru Energy)	13
Figure 8: Sarimay substation (Source: Juru Energy)	14
Figure 9: Dzhankeldy village (Source: Juru Energy).....	14
Figure 10: Kalaata village (Source: Juru Energy)	14
Figure 11: View of the predominant desert landscape and existing road along the OHTL route (Source: Juru Energy).....	14
Figure 12: Existing OHTLs in the vicinity of the planned Sarimay-Dzhankeldy OHTL route (Source: Juru Energy).....	15
Figure 13: Existing OHTL (built in 2020) in the vicinity of the planned Sarimay-Dzhankeldy OHTL route (Source: Juru Energy).....	15
Figure 14: Project location of S-D OHTL (Lot 1) (Source: Juru Energy)	16
Figure 15: Receptors for S-D OHTL (Source: Juru Energy).....	17
Figure 16: Existing OHTL (Source: Juru Energy)	18
Figure 17: Railway line (Source: Juru Energy)	18
Figure 18: Agitma village (Source: Juru Energy)	18
Figure 19: General landscape (Source: Juru Energy).....	18
Figure 20: Project location N-B-M LILO (Lot 2) (Source: Juru Energy)	19

Figure 21: Receptors for 500KV Navoi TPP - Bash SS - Muruntau SS LILO OHTL (Source: Juru Energy) 20

Figure 22: Concept of electric energy transmission 21

Figure 23: Components of an OHTL (Source: Juru Energy)..... 22

Figure 24: Self-supporting 500KV Steel Lattice Suspension Tower (single circuit) (Source: NEGU) 23

Figure 25: Example 500kV H-Guyed suspension (intermediate) tower (single circuit) (Source: NEGU) 23

Figure 26: Example of 500kV angle tower..... 24

Figure 27: Self-supporting 500KV Steel Lattice Suspension Tower (single circuit) (Source: NEGU) 24

Figure 28: Typical OHL tower foundation (source industrial electronics.com)..... 25

Figure 29: Vertical insulator (Source: Juru Energy) 26

Figure 30: V-arrangement insulator (Source: Juru Energy) 26

Figure 31: Horizontal insulator (dead end tower) (Source: Juru Energy) 26

Figure 32: Stages in the project cycle 29

Figure 33: Example of tower foundation construction (NJDA, 2022)..... 30

Figure 34: Example of tower foundation construction (NJDA, 2022)..... 30

Figure 35: Example of tower assembly process (NJDA 2022)..... 30

Figure 36: Example of tower assembly process (source: <http://cscon.co.za/> and EDM) 30

Figure 37: Stringing the conductor (Source: Electrical World Magazine, 2021) 32

Figure 38: Neighbouring RE and OHTL projects (Source: Juru Energy)..... 37

Figure 39: The mean monthly temperature and precipitation of Sarimay in recent years (Source: <https://tcktcktck.org/uzbekistan/khorezm/sarymay>) 61

Figure 40: Sarimay Wind direction (Source: Juru Energy)..... 61

Figure 41: The mean monthly temperature and precipitation of Dzhankeldy in recent years (Source: <https://tcktcktck.org/uzbekistan/bukhara/dzhankel-dy>) 62

Figure 42: Wind direction in Dzhankeldy (Source: Juru Energy)..... 62

Figure 43: The mean monthly temperature and precipitation of Gijduvan in recent years (Source: <https://tcktcktck.org/uzbekistan/bukhara/gizhduvan>) 63

Figure 44: Wind direction in Gizhduvan (Source: Juru Energy) 63

Figure 45: Elevation map of a) Sarimay-Dzhankeldy OHTL and b) Navoi OHTL 66

Figure 46: Elevation graph of a) Sarimay-Dzhankeldy OHTL, b) Navoi OHTL 1st segment, c) Navoi OHTL 2nd segment (Source: Google Earth)..... 67

Figure 47: The seismic sources used for seismic zoning of OSR-2017 in Uzbekistan: seismo-generating zones (Source: Complex of general seismic zoning maps OSR-2017 of Uzbekistan. R.S.Ibragimov)..... 68

Figure 48: Uzbekistan seismic hazard map (WHO)..... 68

Figure 49: Soil map of the project area (Source: Institute of soil science)..... 69

Figure 50: Soil sample locations (Source: Juru Energy)..... 70

Figure 51: Spatial distribution of severe and very severe dust storms in Middle Asia (source term), 2013. Darker colour represents higher intensity. 71

Figure 52: Air monitoring locations (AQ1 – at existing Sarimay Substation, AQ2 –near Nukus mahalla, AQ3 – near Dzhankeldy village, AQ4 – at planned Dzhankeldy location, AQ5 – at herder`s building, AQ6 – near the railway (Source: Juru Energy)..... 72

Figure 53: Noise monitoring locations (Source: Juru Energy)..... 75

Figure 54: Hydrogeological map of Uzbekistan 76

Figure 55: Salinity, schematic distribution and withdrawal of groundwater resources in Uzbekistan 77

Figure 56: Water pumping station (Source: Juru Energy site visit) 79

Figure 57: Water stations (Source: Juru Energy site visit)..... 79

Figure 58: Location of the closest airports (Source: Juru Energy) 80

Figure 59: Mobile network coverage: a-3G, b-4G (Source: Uzmobil website)..... 82

Figure 60: Occupations in Sarimay village 85

Figure 61 Occupations in Nukus village 86

Figure 62: Occupations in Dzhankeldy and Kalaata villages 86

Figure 63: Water station checkpoint..... 87

Figure 64: Emergency water drainage 88

Figure 65: Herder..... 88

Figure 66: Water pump boreholes for livestock 89

Figure 67 Type of land used in each AOI communities 90

Figure 68: Area of tomorka land used for in each AOI community..... 90

Figure 69: Area of other types of land use in AOI communities 91

Figure 70: Perception of AOI communities of how well equipped the medical facilities are . 94

Figure 71: Most common health concerns in the AOI communities 94

Figure 72: Archaeological artefacts near the Lot 2 site..... 99

Figure 73: Protected areas and IBAs in Uzbekistan (Source: Juru Energy, <http://www.uzspb.uz/>, <https://www.protectedplanet.net/country/UZB>)..... 109

Figure 74: IBAs and Protected areas near 127 km Sarimay-Dzhankeldy OHTL Project area (Source: Juru Energy, <http://www.uzspb.uz/>, <https://www.protectedplanet.net/country/UZB>) 109

Figure 75: IBAs and Protected areas near 10 km Navoi OHTL Project area (Source: Juru Energy, <http://www.uzspb.uz/>, <https://www.protectedplanet.net/country/UZB>)..... 110

Figure 76: Map of habitats identified along the planned S-D OHTL (Lot 1) (Source: Habitat/Botanical baseline report) 111

Figure 77: Map of habitats identified between villages Dzhankeldy and Kalaata along the planned S-D OHTL (Lot 1) (Source: Habitat/Botanical baseline report)..... 112

Figure 78: Map of habitats identified along planned 500KV Navoi TPP - Bash SS - Muruntau SS LILO OHTL Connection (Lot 2) (Source: Habitat/Botanical baseline report) 112

Figure 79: Semi-fixed sands between the villages of Sarimay and Kalaata (Source: Juru Energy 2021) 113

Figure 80: Fixed sands (Source: Juru Energy 2021) 113

Figure 81: Semi-fixed sands and plantations of black saxaul (Source: Juru Energy 2021) 113

Figure 82: Relict sandy-stony upland near Sarimay substation (Source: Juru Energy 2021) 113

Figure 83: Habitat between the villages of Kalaata and Dzhankeldy (Source: Juru Energy 2021) 113

Figure 84: Surrounding area of Dzhankeldy village (Source: Juru Energy 2021)..... 113

Figure 85: Existing OHTL between Kalaata and Uzunkuduk (Source: Juru Energy 2021). 114

Figure 86: Distribution of nationally red-listed plants in the project region. Known locations of rare plants showed by dots (Source: Inventory of the flora of Uzbekistan: Navoi Province)114

Figure 87: *Acanthophyllum cyrtostegium*. Photo by Natalya Beshko 116

Figure 88: The map of the distribution of *Acanthophyllum cyrtostegium* (Source: Inventory of the flora of Uzbekistan: Navoi Province)..... 116

Figure 89: *Tulipa lehmanniana*. Photo by Natalya Beshko 117

Figure 90: The map of the distribution of *Tulipa lehmanniana* (Source: Inventory of the flora of Uzbekistan: Navoi Province) 117

Figure 91: Bird vantage point (VP) survey locations (12) in lot 1 of the project area (Sarimay-Dzhankeldy) (Source: Bird monitoring baseline report) 118

Figure 92: Bird vantage point (VP) survey locations (3) in Lot 2 of the project area (Source: Bird monitoring baseline report) 119

Figure 93: Great Cormorants, Caspian Gulls and Common Black-headed Gulls on Amu Darya river (photo was taken during the Scoping site visit)..... 121

Figure 94: *Asian Houbara Bustard* (photo taken during the Scoping site visit) 122

Figure 95: Locations where Houbara Bustard was documented during the baseline survey (see text) (Source: Bird monitoring baseline report) 123

Figure 96: Migratory pathways of Sociable Lapwings in central Asia, as documented through satellite telemetry studies (Source: <https://www.rdsconservation.com/team-sociable-lapwing-in-kazakhstan/>)..... 124

Figure 97: Steppe Eagle observed at VP 2 on October 25, 2021 during the baseline survey effort (Source: Bird monitoring baseline report)..... 125

Figure 98: Locations where Steppe and Imperial Eagles were observed during the baseline surveys (Source: Bird monitoring baseline report)..... 125

Figure 99: Locations where Steppe and Imperial Eagles were observed during the baseline surveys (Source: Bird monitoring baseline report)..... 126

Figure 100: Saker Falcon observed perching on a transmission line pylon during the baseline survey effort on October 2 in the western portion of Lot 1. (Source: Bird monitoring baseline report)..... 127

Figure 101: Short-toed Snake-Eagle, observed eating a snake in flight at a Vantage Point survey location in the middle portion of Lot 1 on October 2, 2021, during baseline surveys. (Source: Bird monitoring baseline report)..... 127

Figure 102: Russian tortoise. Photo by T. V. Abduraupov 130

Figure 103: Map of the areal and known locations of the Russian tortoise in various regions of Uzbekistan (Source: Out-of-season herpetological baseline report)..... 131

Figure 104 Southern Even-fingered Gecko. Photo by T. V. Abduraupov 131

Figure 105: Map of the habitat suitable for the Southern Even-fingered Gecko on the project territories (Source: Out-of-season herpetological baseline report)..... 133

Figure 106: Location of trail cameras in the project area (Source: Mammal survey baseline report)..... 134

Figure 108: Caracal. Photo by Mariya Gritsina (2019)..... 135

Figure 108: Key habitats of Caracal in Kyzylkum desert (Source: Mammal survey baseline report)..... 135

Figure 109: Goitered Gazelle. Photo by Mariya Gritsina..... 136

Figure 110: A single gazelle track on the edge of a solonchak (Source: Mammal survey baseline report)..... 137

Figure 111: Gazelle tracks on solonchaks in the vicinity of Sarimay settlement (Source: Mammal survey baseline report)..... 137

Figure 112: Methods of stakeholder engagement during the ESIA process 145

Figure 113: Location and summary of Stakeholder Engagement Completed to Date (February 2022) 151

Figure 114: Grievance mechanism flow chart 152

Figure 115: The roots of plants, especially the desert sedge (*Carex physodes*), form a dense network fixing sands (Source: Habitat/Botanical baseline report)..... 181

Figure 116: Large plot of unfixed sands near the existing electric line and ground road Sarimay-Uzunkuduk, and a pump station on pipeline Sarimay-Zarafshan (41,105828°N, 62,633752°E) (Lot 1)..... 181

Figure 117: General organogram for the OHTL construction works..... 201

Table of tables

Table 1: Uzbekistan population growth forecast (Source: World Population Prospects 2019)7

Table 2 Summary of outcomes of route corridor assessment (comparative ranking in brackets) 11

Table 3: Summary of OHTL characteristics..... 22

Table 4: Foundation characteristics (Source: Feasibility Consultant)..... 24

Table 5: Typical workforce requirements..... 34

Table 6: Current anticipated development schedule..... 36

Table 7: Labour Conventions ratified by Uzbekistan 48

Table 8: National Air Quality Maximum Permissible Concentrations (MPCs) according to SanPiN 0293-11..... 50

Table 9: National and WHO Noise Limits according to SanPiN 0267-09 and SanPiN 0325-16 51

Table 10: Required permits and licenses 52

Table 11: Conventions relevant to the Project that have been ratified by Uzbekistan..... 57

Table 12: Geographic overview..... 60

Table 13: Summary elevation data of OHTLs..... 65

Table 14: Ambient air quality monitoring results 73

Table 15: Summary of traffic counts on the A380 near Sarimay SS (Source: Juru Energy). 80

Table 16: Survey sampling for AOI communities..... 83

Table 17: Population of AOI communities 83

Table 18: Gender distribution of the AOI districts 84

Table 19: Gender % of the AOI communities 84

Table 20: AOI communities use of Project land..... 89

Table 21: Types of livestock owned in the AOI communities..... 91

Table 22: Sufficiency of incomes in AOI communities 92

Table 23: Receipt of poverty benefits in AOI communities (%)..... 92

Table 24: First challenge of AOI communities 95

Table 25: Second challenge of AOI communities 95

Table 26: Levels of education attainment in the AOI communities	96
Table 27: Literacy rates of household heads.....	96
Table 28: Languages spoken in AOI communities	96
Table 29: Ethnic groups of household heads in AOI communities.....	97
Table 30: Challenges faced by women in affected communities (%).....	98
Table 31: Summary of the results of the Critical Habitat and Priority Biodiversity Features Assessment for the Sarimay-Dzhankeldy 500 kV Transmission Line Project.	102
Table 32: Ecologically Appropriate Areas of Analysis (EAAA) used in the Critical Habitat Assessment for the Project.	107
Table 33: Sensitive plant species for the project	115
Table 34: Bird species classified as Priority Biodiversity Features (PBF) for the Project. ..	120
Table 35: Sensitive reptile species for the project.	129
Table 36: Sensitive mammal species for the project	134
Table 37: Stakeholder engagement matrix.....	140
Table 38: Stakeholder engagement to date.....	146
Table 39: Topics scoped into the ESIA for the S-D OHTL	153
Table 40: Generic criteria for the allocation of Receptor sensitivity – criteria for allocation	154
Table 41: Determination of magnitude – example criteria for allocation.....	155
Table 42: Significance evaluation.....	156
Table 43: Project AQ receptors	160
Table 44: AQ mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2)	161
Table 45: AQ residual significance	162
Table 46: Project noise receptors.....	164
Table 47: Noise mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2)	165
Table 48: Noise residual significance	166
Table 49: Typical waste streams expected to be generated during the construction, operation and decommissioning phase of the OHTL and substation work	167
Table 50: Project waste receptors	169
Table 51: Waste mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2)	170
Table 52: Waste residual significance	170
Table 53: Climate risk review - operations phase (<i>Source: adapted from ADB Climate Risk and Adaptation in the Electricity Sector</i>).....	173
Table 54: Project climate resilience receptors	174
Table 55: Climate resilience mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2).....	174

Table 56: Climate resilience residual significance	175
Table 57: Project water resource receptors.....	178
Table 58: Water use and water quality mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2).....	178
Table 59: Water use and water quality residual significance	179
Table 60: Project soil receptors.....	182
Table 61: Soils mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2).....	182
Table 62: Soil residual significance	183
Table 63: Magnetic and electric field from 500kV OHTL at various distances from the centre line.....	184
Table 64: Project EMF receptors.....	186
Table 65: EMF mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2).....	186
Table 66: EMF residual significance.....	186
Table 67: Project traffic receptors	188
Table 68: Traffic and transportation mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2)	188
Table 69: AQ residual significance (Lot 1 and Lot 2)	189
Table 70: Biodiversity receptors and pertinent segments of the Project (lots) in which they are potentially impacted, Priority Biodiversity Feature (PBF) and Critical Habitat (CH) determinations are based on the assessment performed using EBRD PR6 methodology and criteria, and presented within the biodiversity baseline section of this ESIA	195
Table 71: Biodiversity mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2, unless stated).....	196
Table 72: Biodiversity residual significance	198
Table 73: Labour sensitive receptors	203
Table 74: Labour mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2)	203
Table 75: Labour residual significance	205
Table 76: Worker H&S sensitivity	207
Table 77: Worker health and safety mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2)	207
Table 78: CHSS receptor sensitivity.....	210
Table 79: CHSS mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2).....	210
Table 80: Community, health, safety and security residual significance	211
Table 81: Land receptor sensitivity.....	215
Table 82: Land mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2, unless stated).....	215
Table 83: Land residual significance	216
Table 84: Project cultural heritage receptors	217
Table 85: Cultural heritage mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2, unless stated)..	217

Table 86: Cultural heritage residual significance 218
Table 87: Summary of impacts..... 222

List of Abbreviations

Acronym	Definition
AAAC	All Aluminium Alloy Conductor
ACSR	Aluminium Conductor Steel Reinforced
AIS	Air-Insulated Switchgear
AOI	Area of influence
ASB	Aral Sea Basin
CC	Civil Code
CH	Critical Habitat
CHO	Cultural Heritage Objects
CHS	Community Health and Safety
CHSS	Community, Health, Safety and Security
COM	Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of Uzbekistan
CR	Critically Endangered
CSWI	Committee for Development of Sericulture and Wool Industry of Uzbekistan
EAAA	Ecologically Appropriate Area of Analysis
EBRD	European Bank for Reconstruction and Development
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EHS	Environment, Health and Safety
ELF	Extremely Low Frequency
EMF	Electric and Magnetic Fields
EN	Endangered
EPC	Engineering, Procurement, and Construction
E&S	Environmental and Social
ESAP	Environmental and Social Action Plan
ESIA	Environmental and Social Impact Assessment
ESMP	Environmental and Social Management Plan
ESMS	Environmental and social Management System
ESP	Environmental and Social Policy
EU	European Union
FPIC	Free, Prior and Informed Consent
GBVH	Gender-Based Violence and Harassment
GIP	Good International Practice
GIP	Good Industry Practice
GIS	Gas-Insulated Switchgear
GIS	Geographic Information System

Acronym	Definition
HPZ	Health Protection Zone
HR	Human Rights
IBA	Important Bird Area
ICH	Intangible Cultural Heritage
ICNIRP	International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection
IFC	International Finance Corporation
ILO	International Labour Organisation
INDC	Intended Nationally Determined Contribution
IP	Indigenous People
IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature's Red List of Threatened Species
KBA	Key Biodiversity Area
LARF	Land Acquisition and Livelihood Restoration Framework
LC	Land Code
LILO	Line-in Line out
LVIA	Landscape and Visual Impact
JE	Juru Energy
LC	Least Concern
MCC	Makhalla Community Council
MHRUZ	Ministry of Health of the Republic of Uzbekistan
MPCs	Maximum Permissible Concentrations
N-B-M	Navoi – Bash - Muruntau
NEGU	National Electric Grid of Uzbekistan
NT	Near Threatened
NTS	Non-Technical Summary
OHTL	Overhead transmission line
OHS	Occupational Health and Safety
O&M	Operations and Maintenance
OPGW	Optical Ground Wire
PIT	Project Implementation Unit
PPE	Personal Protective Equipment
PPP	Public-Private Partnership
PR	Performance Requirement
ROW	Right of Way
SanPiN	Sanitary Regulations and Norms of Uzbekistan
SCEEP	State Committee for Ecology and Environmental Protection of the Republic of Uzbekistan

Acronym	Definition
S-D	Sarimay- Dzhankeldy
SEA	Sexual Exploitation and Abuse
SEE	State Environmental Expertise
SEP	Stakeholder Engagement Plan
SEFG	Southern Even-Fingered Gecko
SCADA	Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition
SNR	Sanitary Norms and Rules
SP	Sample Plots
SS	Substation
SWM	Solid Waste Management
SwS	Switching Station
TOR	Terms of Reference
TPP	Thermal Power Plant
UNCCD	United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
VCC	Village Community Council
VP	Vantage Point
VU	Vulnerable
WBG	World Bank Group
WF	Wind Farm
WHO	World Health Organisation

Glossary

Term	Definitions
Area of Influence (AOI)	The area over which the impacts of the Project are likely to be felt including all its related or associated (where applicable) facilities such as the transmission line corridor, water and steam pipelines, access roads, borrow pits, accommodation facilities (where required) as well as any reasonably foreseen unplanned developments induced by the Project or cumulative impacts.
Associated facilities	New facilities or activities that are not funded as part of the project and that would not have been constructed or expanded if the project did not exist and without which the project would not be viable.
Baseline surveys	Gathering of data to describe the existing physical, biological, socioeconomic, health, labour, cultural heritage, or any other variable considered relevant before project development.
Biodiversity	Variability among living organisms from all sources including, inter alia, terrestrial, marine and other aquatic ecosystems and the ecological complexes of which they are a part; this includes diversity within species, between species, and of ecosystems.
Chance find	Archaeological or cultural sites and artefacts, including such items as ceramics, tools, buildings, burials, etc., previously unrecognized in baseline studies that are discovered during exploration activities.
Consultation	Consultation is a two-way process of dialogue between the project company and its stakeholders. Stakeholder consultation is about initiating and sustaining constructive external relationships over time.
Critical habitat	Either modified or natural habitats supporting high biodiversity value (most sensitive biodiversity features), such as habitat required for the survival of critically endangered or endangered species.
Cultural heritage	Defined as resources with which people identify as a reflection and expression of their constantly evolving values, beliefs, knowledge and traditions.
Cumulative impacts	The combination of multiple impacts arising from past, existing and/or anticipated future projects or activities
Direct area of influence	Considers the physical footprint of the projects such as the right of way, construction sites, work staging area and area affected during operational works (e.g. traffic patterns).
Ecosystem	The interacting system of a biological community and its non-living environmental surroundings.
Effluent	Wastewater - treated or untreated- that flows out of a treatment plant, sewer, or industrial outfall.
Emission	Pollution discharged into the atmosphere from smokestacks, other vents, and surface areas of commercial or industrial facilities; from residential chimneys; and from motor vehicle, locomotive, or aircraft exhausts.
Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA)	A forward-looking instrument that can proactively advise decision-makers on what might happen if a proposed activity is implemented. Impacts are changes that have environmental, political, economic, or social significance to society. Impacts may be positive or negative and may affect the environment, communities, human health and well-being, desired sustainability objectives, or a combination of these.
Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP)	Summarises the company's commitments to address and mitigate risks and impacts identified as part of the ESIA, through avoidance, minimization, and compensation/offset, and monitor these mitigation measures.

Term	Definitions
Environmental and social management system (ESMS)	Part of a project's overall management system that includes the organizational structure, responsibilities, practices and resources necessary for implementing the project-specific management program developed through the environmental and social assessment of the project.
Good Industry Practice (GIP)	Exercise of professional skill, diligence, prudence, and foresight that would reasonably be expected from skilled and experienced professionals engaged in the same type of undertaking under the same or similar circumstances globally or regionally. The outcome of such exercise should be that the project employs the most appropriate technologies in the project-specific circumstances.
Greenhouse gases	The following six gases or class of gases: carbon dioxide (CO ₂), nitrous oxide (N ₂ O), methane (CH ₄), hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), and sulphur hexafluoride (SF ₆).
Grievance mechanism	Procedure provided by a project to receive and facilitate resolution of affected communities' concerns and grievances about the project's environmental and social performance.
Habitat	Terrestrial, freshwater, or marine geographical unit or airway that supports assemblages of living organisms and their interactions with the non-living environment.
Hazardous waste	By-products of society that can pose a substantial or potential hazard to human health or the environment when improperly managed. Substances classified as hazardous wastes possess at least one of four characteristics—ignitability, corrosivity, reactivity, or toxicity— or appear on special lists.
Indigenous peoples	Defined by EBRD as social groups with identities that are distinct from dominant groups in national societies, may be among the most marginalised and vulnerable segments of the population.
Indirect area of influence	Includes area which may experience project related changes in combination with activities not under the direct control of the project.
Information disclosure	Disclosure means making information accessible to interested and affected parties (stakeholders). Communicating information in a manner that is understandable to stakeholders is an important first and ongoing step in the process of stakeholder engagement. Information should be disclosed in advance of all other engagement activities, from consultation and informed participation to negotiation and resolution of grievances. This will make engagement more constructive.
Intangible cultural heritage	According to the 2003 UNESCO convention for the safeguarding of intangible cultural heritage, manifestations of intangible cultural heritage include: Oral traditions and expressions, including language; Performing arts; Social practices, rituals and festive events; Knowledge and practices concerning nature and the universe.
Invasive alien species	Non-native species of flora and fauna that are a significant threat to biodiversity due to their ability to spread rapidly and out-compete native species.
Land acquisition	All methods of obtaining land for project purposes, which may include outright purchase, expropriation of property and acquisition of access rights, such as easements or rights of way.
Livelihood	Full range of means that individuals, families, and communities utilize to make a living, such as wage-based income, agriculture, fishing, foraging, other natural resource-based livelihoods, petty trade, and bartering.
Magnitude	The assessment of magnitude is undertaken in two steps. Firstly, the magnitude of potential impacts associated with the Project are categorised

Term	Definitions
	as beneficial or adverse. Secondly, the beneficial or adverse impacts are categorised as major, moderate, minor or negligible based on consideration of several parameters.
Modified habitat	Land and water areas where there has been apparent alteration of the natural habitat, often with the introduction of alien species of plants and animals, such as agricultural areas.
Occupational health and safety	The range of endeavours aimed at protecting workers from injury or illness associated with exposure to hazards in the workplace or while working.
Project affected people	Individuals, workers, groups or local communities which are or could be affected by the project, directly or indirectly, including through cumulative impacts.
Renewable energy	Energy sources derived from solar power, hydro, wind, certain types of geothermal, and biomass.
Sensitivity	The sensitivity of a receptor is determined based on the review of the population (including proximity / numbers / vulnerability), presence of biological features of the site and the surrounding area, soil, agricultural suitability, geology and geomorphology, proximity of aquifers and watercourses, existing air quality, presence of any archaeological features etc.
Significance	Significance of impact considers the interaction between the magnitude and sensitivity criteria.
Solid waste	Material with low liquid content, sometimes hazardous. Include municipal garbage, industrial and commercial waste, sewage sludge, wastes resulting from agricultural and animal husbandry operations and other connected activities, demolition wastes and mining residues.
Stakeholders	Stakeholders are persons or groups who are directly or indirectly affected by a project, as well as those who may have interests in a project or the ability to influence its outcome, either positively or negatively.
World Bank Group EHS Guidelines	Technical reference documents for environmental protection and set out industry-specific examples of 'international good practice'. Projects are expected to comply with the levels and measures identified in the General EHS Guidelines where host country requirements are less stringent or do not exist.

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

The European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (the “EBRD” or the “Bank”) is considering providing loan to finance the construction of a 500 kV overhead transmission line (OHTL) in Uzbekistan between the existing Sarimay substation (SS) (Khorezm region) and the planned Dzhankeldy SS (Bukhara region) (Lot 1) (see Figure 2); and a related 10 km 500 kV Line-in Line out (LILO) OHTL (Lot 2) from the Bash switching station (SwS) (under development) to the 220 kV/500 kV Muruntau-Navoi OHTL (currently under construction)^{1,2}. Together Lot 1 and Lot 2 are referred to as the “Project”.

The Project's main purpose is to facilitate the evacuation to the national power grid of the electricity generated by renewable energy power plants under development in the Bukhara and Navoi regions. Implementation of the Project will also significantly improve the transmission network's reliability, efficiency, stability, and quality and security of the electricity supply.

EBRD PR1, Appendix 2: (para 24) makes specific reference to “construction of high voltage overhead electrical power lines” as a project with the potential to generate significant adverse E&S impacts. Considering Appendix 2 and the S-D Scoping report, the Project is categorised as Category “A”. Category A projects require a comprehensive Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) and review of associated documents, followed by public disclosure of key documents for a minimum period of 120 days. This requirement aligns with the EU EIA Directive requirements for Annex I projects.

The EBRD has appointed Juru Energy Ltd. (JE) to perform the ESIA for the Project following EBRD Environmental and Social Policy 2019 (ESP 2019) and supporting Performance Requirements (PRs). The team of JE specialists involved in the Project is presented in Volume III Technical Appendices.

According to the list of activities subject to Uzbekistan environmental approval, established by the Resolution of Cabinet of Ministers No. 541 “On further improvement of the environmental impact assessment mechanism’ (2020)”³, a 500 kV power transmission line is categorised as Category I. The two Lots will be required to undergo a separate national environmental impact assessment (EIA) process to be developed by a third-party consultant on behalf of JSC NEGU as part of the national feasibility study.

1 The 220kV/500kV Navoi to Muruntau SS OHTL is currently in the early stages of construction and is also being financed by EBRD.

2 The Muruntau-Navoi 500 kV OHLT, Bash SwS, and Muruntau SS are already under development and are considered associated facilities. The Dzhankeldy wind farm (WF) (developed by private third party) and the Bash WF (developed by private third party) that will be connected to the Bash SwS and Dzhankeldy SS respectively are not solely dependent on the Project, nor do they directly or indirectly influence the project. As such there are not associated activities or facilities as defined by EBRD PR1 para 9 connected with the Project.

3 Under the Resolution of Cabinet of Ministers of Uzbekistan No 541, Power transmission lines of state and interstate significance – Category I (high risk); Power transmission lines of the Republic of Karakalpakstan, regions and the city of Tashkent significance – Category II (medium risk); Power transmission lines of district and city (except Tashkent) significance – Category III (low risk).

1.2 Project proponent

The Project's proponent is the Joint Stock Company National Electric Grid of Uzbekistan (JSC NEGU). JSC NEGU was established following the Decree of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan dated March 27, 2019, No. PP-4249 "On the strategy for further development and reform of the electric power industry in the Republic of Uzbekistan". JSC NEGU is part of the Ministry of Energy of the Republic of Uzbekistan. The company's main activities are the operation and development of the main electric networks of the Republic of Uzbekistan, the supply of electricity through the main electric networks and the implementation of interstate transit, cooperation with the electric power systems neighbouring countries.

Currently, JSC NEGU includes 14 regional main electric networks, the national dispatch centre that manages the power system of the Republic, the central relay protection and automation service and functional branches that provide other services. On the balance sheet of the joint-stock company, there are 84 substations of 220-500 kV, more than 11,400 km of overhead power transmission lines with a voltage of 220-500 kV, and JSC NEGU has personnel of more than 5,000 people.

1.3 Project overview

The components of the Project are:

- Lot 1 - 500 kV Sarimay- Dzhankeldy OHTL (S-D OHTL) - approximately 127 km OHTL located in the southwestern part of the Kyzyl-Kum Desert.
- Lot 2 – 500 kV Navoi TPP – Bash SS - Murantau SS LILO OHTL (N-B-M LILO) - approximately 10 km in length, split between two 5 km sections for a LILO connection from the 500kV Bash SS (under development) to the 500 kV Murantau-Navoi OHTL (under construction).

The S-D OHTL starts from the existing Sarimay Substation (Khorezm province), which is located close to Nukus village (300 m) and Sarimay village (4.3 km), to the planned Dzhankeldy substation passing close to the villages of Kalaata and Dzhankeldy. Lot 1 OHTL routes through two provinces and two districts:

- Bukhara province (Peshku districts)
- Khorezm province (Tuprokkala district)
- Republic of Karakalpakstan (Turtkul district)


The N-B-M LILO Lot is entirely located in Bukhara province (Gizhduvan district).

For each OHTL a right of way (ROW) is required to be established. The ROW size is dictated by Uzbekistan standards and for a 500 kV project is 30 m from the outermost conductor.

No detailed feasibility work has been undertaken for the proposed Project. The Project is anticipated to be constructed as a turnkey project by the selected EPC contractor on behalf of NEGU. The total cost of the Project is 45 million USD.

A simplified schematic of the scheme is presented in Figure 1. Figure 2 shows the location of the two lots in the wider region.

Figure 1: Simplified schematic of the proposed Project at wider 500kV transmission network



The Murantau SS and the 500kV Navoi to Murantau/Besopan OHTL are considered associated facilities (as defined by EBRD PR1, para 9) and have already been subject to ESIA following EBRD ESP 2019 by third party entities. Details of these projects can be found at EBRD website⁴.

A detailed project description, including an overview of the project components and stages of the project cycle, is provided in Chapter 2.

1.4 Purpose of this report


The purpose of this ESIA is to:

- Identify and evaluate potential environmental and social impacts that the Project may have on the environment and communities within its area of influence (AOI) (positive and negative).
- Avoid, or where avoidance is not possible, minimize, mitigate or compensate adverse environmental or social impacts and issues to workers, affected communities and persons, and the environment from project activities, including involuntary resettlement impacts.
- Implement a systematic approach to stakeholder engagement to build and maintain a constructive relationship with stakeholders, particularly the directly affected communities.
- Determine whether there are any involuntary resettlement impacts (e.g. land acquisition, displacement; and ascertain any adverse impacts on livelihoods for the local population.
- Define environmental and social management requirements through the effective use of environmental and social management plan (ESMP) and relevant resettlement documentation.
- Align with national requirements for environmental and social impact assessment.
- Demonstrate compliance with EBRD ESP 2019.

⁴

<https://www.ebrd.com/sites/Satellite?c=Content&cid=1395283946426&d=Touch&pagename=EBRD%2FContent%2FContentLayout>


Figure 2: Project location of the Project Lots in the wider region



1.5 ESIA assessment methodology

The sequence of steps for the ESIA study is presented in Figure 3. Stakeholder engagement has been performed throughout the ESIA process and is described in section 5 of this ESIA and the stakeholder engagement plan (SEP) (Volume V).

Figure 3: ESIA process - sequence of steps (source: Juru Energy 2021)



A scoping exercise was completed in October 2021 to define the ESIA terms of reference (TOR). The Scoping Report and ESIA TOR are provided in Volume III Technical Appendices.

Baseline data collection for the scoping and ESIA phases was performed during October 2021 and November 2021. Primary baseline data collection included:

- Biodiversity survey (flora, mammals, reptiles)
- Avifauna autumn bird study
- Air quality, noise and soil survey
- Socio-economic survey

Baseline survey reports are provided in Volume III Technical Appendices. Spring and summer season baseline surveys (2022) for mammals, reptiles, birds and flora are ongoing to confirm ESIA findings.

Mitigation and management measures identified in the impact assessment chapters have been collated into a Project ESMP outlining the framework for implementation across subsequent phases of the development cycle. The ESMP is provided in Volume IV and the Livelihood restoration plan (Volume VI).

The disclosure of this ESIA will be via the EBRD and NEGU websites for a period of 120 days.

The disclosure of this ESIA will be via the EBRD and NEGU websites for a period of 120 days.

1.6 Structure of the ESIA report

The ESIA is organized as follows:

- Volume I: Non-technical summary (NTS)
- Volume II: Environmental and social impact assessment (ESIA) (this report)
- Volume III: Technical appendices
- Volume IV: Environment and social management plan (ESMP)
- Volume V: Stakeholder engagement plan (SEP)
- Volume VI: Land acquisition and livelihood restoration framework (LARF)

This ESIA report is structured as follows:

- Chapter 1: Introduction

- Chapter 2: Project description including needs case and analysis of alternatives
- Chapter 3: Policy, legislative and institutional overview
- Chapter 4: Baseline description
- Chapter 5: Stakeholder engagement
- Chapter 6: Impact assessment methodology
- Chapter 7: Impact assessment
- Chapter 8: Environmental and social management
- Chapter 9: Conclusion and recommendations

1.7 Project contact information

Project contact information for copies of the ESIA documentation or for any grievance is as follows:


Juru	NEGU
<p>Name: Viktoriya Filatova, Zilola Kazakova</p> <p>Address: 10A, Chust Str., Tashkent, Uzbekistan, 100077</p> <p>Email: sarimay_ohtl_esia@juruenergy.com</p> <p>Phone: +99871 202-04-40</p>	<p>Project Implementation Unit (PIT): sarimay.jankeldi@gmail.com</p> <p>Name: Mr. Azizbek Batirov, Environmental and social safeguards specialist</p> <p>Email: azabotirov@gmail.com</p> <p>Name: Mr. Feruz Kurbanov, Deputy Chairman of the Board for Investment</p> <p>Email: info@uzbekistonmet.uz f.gurbonov@uzbekistonmet.uz</p> <p>Investment department: investment@uzbekistanmet.uz</p>

2 Project Description

2.1 Needs case

The current grid system in Uzbekistan is divided into four power areas North-west, South-west, East and South and Central (see Figure 4).

Figure 4: Power areas of Uzbekistan (Source: Juru Energy)



According to the statistics of the United Nations⁵, the Uzbek population will increase as described in Table 1

Table 1: Uzbekistan population growth forecast (Source: World Population Prospects 2019)

Year	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
Population (x1000)	33 469	33 936	34 382	34 809	35 220	35 618	36 001	36 370	36 727	37 076	37 418
Growth rate	1,5%	1,4%	1,3%	1,2%	1,2%	1,1%	1,1%	1,0%	1,0%	0,9%	0,9%

Year	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040
Population (x1000)	37 418	37 756	38 089	38 417	38 742	39 064	39 383	39 698	40 009	40 313	40 608
Growth rate	0,9%	0,9%	0,9%	0,9%	0,8%	0,8%	0,8%	0,8%	0,8%	0,8%	0,7%

According to historical data, since 2009, the yearly consumption in Uzbekistan has been increasing nearly by 3.2% per year on average, as shown in Figure 5.

⁵ United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2019). World Population Prospects 2019, Online Edition. Rev. 1.

Figure 5: - Electrical power generation and consumption outlook by 2030, In kWh (Source: CONCEPT NOTE FOR ENSURING ELECTRICITY SUPPLY IN UZBEKISTAN IN 2020-2030)



According to Ministry of Energy estimations, Uzbekistan’s electricity needs are expected to double by 2030, from 69 TWh in 2019 to 117 TWh. Uzbekistan's average level of electricity access between 1996 to 2017 was 99.7 %, with a minimum of 99.39 % in 1997 and a maximum of 100 % in 2015. According to the World Bank⁶, the latest indicators from 2017 until 2020 indicate that households with access to electricity are 100%. However, according to the World Bank, it is common for rural regions to experience regular, extended electricity shortages and interruptions.

The Government of Uzbekistan aims to increase its power supply and has adopted Concept Note for ensuring electricity supply in Uzbekistan in 2020-2030, which defines several objectives and directions for electricity supply and improved stability between 2020-2030⁷. Uzbekistan has abundant renewable energy resources (solar and wind) and renewable generation potential. This potential has remained virtually untapped due to lack of incentives, experience, historically subsidised natural gas prices for the country’s gas-fired thermal power plants that constitute over 80% of the total power generation capacity, and low tariffs. One of the Concept Note objectives includes developing and expanding renewable energy use and integrating it into the unified power system. Specifically, the Government of Uzbekistan intends to “ensure diversification in power and heat energy sectors through the increased share of renewable energy sources and creation of renewable energy investment project mechanism utilising PPP [public-private partnership] approaches, enhancement of government policies related to the development of renewable energy sources, demonstration of renewable projects”. At the international climate summit (COP26) in Glasgow (Scotland), Uzbekistan further announced its intention to reduce the specific emission of greenhouse gases by 35 per cent per unit of gross domestic product by 2030.

In May 2019, the laws of the Republic of Uzbekistan "On the use of renewable energy sources" and "On public-private partnership" were adopted, creating a regulatory and legal framework to accelerate the implementation of renewable energy projects. Uzbekistan plans to increase the share of renewable energy sources to 25% by 2030. Through its new decree, Uzbekistan

⁶ World Bank, (2020) Sustainable Energy for All (SE4ALL) database

⁷ <https://minenergy.uz/en/lists/view/77>

set targets for solar and wind energy generation at 5 GW and 3 GW, respectively, to come online by 2030. The planned RE project implementation targets are shown in Figure 6.

Figure 6 Renewable energy-based planned generation mix in Uzbekistan until 2030 in GW (source: Concept note for ensuring electricity supply in Uzbekistan in 2020-2030)



Renovating power transmission networks, withdrawing obsolete generation and diversifying electricity production are the priorities for the government. This rapid development of the renewable energy projects requires further development of the grid system in Uzbekistan to transmit energy from the new power plants to the consumers. The Uzbekistan Energy Sector Strategy BDS18-237(F) sets out the means to achieve this by 2025. Three key aims are to:

- Connect all nodes of the single power system via 500 kV power lines.
- Construct an additional 2700km of high-voltage power transmission lines and nine new substations in the north-western and southern power system regions to improve supply stability.
- Upgrade the capacity of substations by installing a modern digital SCADA/EMS/RTU/telecommunication system.

The Sarimay SS is a key connection between the southwest and northwest regions. The planned S-D OHTL will secure the northwest region's stable power supply. Furthermore, if the existing 220 kV OHTL does not operate for any reason, the planned 500 kV OHTL will continue to supply the consumers with an uninterrupted power supply. Additionally, the S-D OHTL will increase the transmission line's transfer capacity, which is fundamental to the wider program to support the wider integration of renewables into the national grid and, in particular, renewables in the northwest region.

The N-B-M LILO (Lot 2) is an essential connection between planned Navoi SS and existing Navoi-Besopan OHTL for greater stability and transmission capacity.

2.2 Analysis of alternatives

2.2.1 No project alternative (“do-nothing option”)

Not constructing the S-D OHTL will avoid any potential E&S impacts connected with the OHTL. However, the “do-nothing” option could hinder the upgrade of the transmission system, which is required to improve the security and stability of the supply between the southwest and northwest regions and support the transition to a lower-carbon economy. The installation of the planned S-D OHTL will also support improvements in the transmission losses currently

experienced when transmitting energy over long distances. Positive socio-economic impacts related to direct employment of personnel on the OHTL construction works and wider employment connected to the expansion of RE projects in the region may also be lost in this case.

2.2.2 Alternative concepts

There is no alternative strategic option available for the wider grid strengthening to achieve the same objectives as the S-D OHTL. Currently, all power areas of the Uzbekistan power system are interconnected with a high voltage 500kV transmission backbone except the northwest area (refer to Figure 2). The 500 kV interconnection offers many advantages, including increased transfer capacity, higher efficiency, decrease power losses, etc. For the northwest area to be connected to the 500 kV network, a 500 kV substation is required. The Sarimay SS is the only 500 kV SS in the northwest area from which onward connections via the S-D OHTL to the wider 500 kV network can be facilitated.

2.2.3 Route selection S-D OHTL

The first step in the routing strategy was to outline a broad corridor from the existing Sarimay SS to the planned Dzhankeldy SS. For this purpose, a straight line between each substation was defined as a potential route corridor (hereafter referred to as route option 1). Straight-line connections can often represent the best option. They inevitably mean a shorter line and lower E&S impacts associated with natural resource use, land take, habitat loss, and a shorter construction schedule, reducing the overall impact duration on any receptors. The shorter options can also be the least expensive, depending on the terrain.

Desk-top review and a site reconnaissance visit indicated that much of route option 1 traversed through fixed sands areas that are difficult to drive through and would require the development of a completely new road along or adjacent to the whole length of the OHTL. An unsurfaced road through the desert from the Sarimay substation east to Uzunkuduk was identified during the site visit adjacent to which existing 220kV lines. Based on this, a second route corridor option was identified that followed this road part-way along the length of the planned OHTL before diverting south towards the Dzhankeldy SS (hereafter referred to as route option 2). Route option 2 offers potential positive benefits from an environmental perspective, including reduced disturbance of unmodified habitat for creating suitable road/access to the OHTL and reduced potential for induced impacts from opening up a currently inaccessible part of the area Kyzylkum desert. On the negative side, there is potential for greater interaction with other road users in the form of noise, air quality, and other general construction. To minimise the risk of impacts to other road users, the route option 2 corridor was defined by maintaining a 200 m buffer between the OHTL centre line and any possible receptors along the existing road. Statutory requirements for maintaining an adequate buffer between multiple OHTL were also adhered to (explained further in section 3.11).

The suitability of Route Option 1 and Route Option 2 were reviewed and comparatively ranked for the following criteria and the outputs are summarised in Table 2:

- technical constructability
- environmental risks
- social risks

During the ecological baseline works, a potential third route corridor was identified that followed the existing road to Uzunkuduk and then the existing 200 kV Uzunkuduk-Kalaata OHTL south to Kalaata, where the OHTL corridor would route east to the Dzhankeldy substation (Route Option 3). This route corridor offered potential ecological benefits by routing

alongside already modified corridors all the way to Kalaata. Route Option 3 was later assessed against the preferred route corridor (Route Option 2) to verify the potential benefits of this option (see Figure 7) and confirm the preferred routing. The results from the assessment and comparative ranking for all three route corridor options are summarised in Table 2.

Table 2 Summary of outcomes of route corridor assessment (comparative ranking in brackets)

Aspect	Route Option 1	Route Option 2	Route Option 3
Length (km)	~120 (1)	~127 (2)	~150 (3)
Constructability - OHTL infrastructure	OHTL constructability (once access is achieved) the same for all three options		
Constructability - substation	Substation constructability the same for all three options		
Constructability - road	No existing access road for the entire length of the OHTL. Requires a complete new road through unmodified fixed sands. (3)	Routes approximately 85km along an existing road that can access the OHTL ROW at tower locations. (2)	The Route goes align with the existing OHTL that has a road and 85 km along the 2 nd option ROW. (1)
Natural resource use and waste (OHTL)	Shortest line route (~302 towers (assuming 400m span) (1)	Additional ~25 towers over Option 1 (2)	Additional ~75 towers over Option 1 (400m span) (3)
Natural resource use and waste (road)	~121km of unsurfaced but compacted road required to be constructed. (3)	New road construction for approx. 35 km (2)	Least new road construction (1)
Landscape and visual impact (LVIA)	It was considered that any line corridor between Sarimay SS and Dzhankeldy substation would broadly have the same LVIA considerations.		
Habitat loss /disturbance (compaction)	Habitat loss for the new access road and tower work fronts. (3)	Habitat loss for 35 km ⁸ of new road and 775 m ² for new towers foundations (25) work front (2)	Habitat loss for tower foundations only and 11 km of road from Kalaata to Dzhankeldy (1)
Species disturbance	Species disturbance for the new access road and tower work front. (2)	Species disturbance for the new access road and tower work front. (1)	Habitat loss for tower foundations only and 11 km of road from Kalaata to Dzhankeldy. Greater percentage of the line route passes through SEFG habitat (Uzunkuduk to Kalaata) (3)
Cultural heritage	Assumed to be broadly similar for all options		
Local air quality (construction)	Receptors are only located near Sarimay SS and Dzhankeldy. (1)	Potential for impact on road users/receptors and at villages of Sarimay, Kalaata and Dzhankeldy (2)	Potential for impact on road users /receptors between Sarimay, Uzunkuduk and receptors Kalaata (3).

8 Drafting note: calculations to be confirmed. 35 km (new road construction) + 775 m² (total area for 25 additional towers foundations, 31 m per each foundation)

Aspect	Route Option 1	Route Option 2	Route Option 3
Environmental noise (construction)	Receptors are only located near Sarimay SS and Dzhankeldy. (1)	Potential for impact on road users/receptors and at villages of Sarimay, Kalaata and Dzhankeldy (2)	Potential for impact on road users /receptors between Sarimay, Uzunkuduk and receptors Kalaata (3).
Soils	<1 % (~4 km of 121 km) of the OHTL can route through already modified habitat (3)	80 % of the OHTL can route through already modified habitat (2)	~99 % of the OHTL can route through already modified habitat (1)
Socio-economic activity	It was considered that any line corridor between Sarimay SS and Dzhankeldy substation would broadly have the same socio-economic benefits and impacts.		
Traffic and transportation	Limited if no interaction with other road users (1)	Interaction with other road users on the road between Sarimay and diversion to Kalaata (110 km) (2)	Interaction with other road users on the road between Sarimay and Uzunkuduk and Uzunkuduk and Kalaata (3)
Aviation risks	Assumed to be broadly similar for all options		
Comparative Ranking Total	18	17	19

Micro-routing recommendations derived from the impact assessment, as detailed in Chapter 6, are outlined in the relevant mitigation section and the Project ESMP (Volume IV) for inclusion in the EPC technical specification. Where no preference is stated, it can be assumed that all technological options have similar E&S impacts.


2.2.4 Route selection N-B-M LILO

The shortest direct route option was defined given the short distance (approximately 5km) from the Bash SwS to the Navoi Muruntau OHTL. No other corridor options were identified as offering any further E&S benefits. Any micro-routing recommendations derived from the impact assessment, as detailed in Chapter 6, are stated in the relevant mitigation section and reflected in the Project ESMP (Volume IV) for inclusion in the EPC technical specification.

2.2.5 Alternative technology

The Project will be required to select the specific design of 500KV OHTL towers, insulators, conductors and substation equipment. The exact specification is not determined at this time and will ultimately be defined by the construction EPC contractor. Different options for these project components are outlined in Chapter 2, and all options have been considered during the ESIA. Any design preferences from the ESIA assessment, as detailed in Chapter 6, are noted in the relevant mitigation section and reflected in the Project ESMP (Volume IV) for inclusion in the EPC technical specification.

Figure 7: Route corridor options for Lot 1 (Source: Juru Energy)



2.3 Overview of project location and receptors

Lot 1 is located in the southwestern part of the Kyzyl-Kum Desert. It starts from the existing Sarimay SS (Khorezm region), which is located close to Nukus village (300 m) and Sarimay village (4.3 km), to a planned Dzhankeldy SS (Bukhara province). The OHTL routes through three provinces: Bukhara province, Khorezm province and the Republic of Karakalpakstan and the villages of Kalaata and Dzhankeldy. The OHTL follows an existing road and is adjacent to two existing OHTL for part of the route. Figure 8 to Figure 11 illustrate key features along the OHTL route. Figure 14 shows the location of S-D OHTL (Lot 1) and Figure 15 identifies the location of the main receptors identified in the wider area.

Figure 8: Sarimay substation (Source: Juru Energy)



Figure 9: Dzhankeldy village (Source: Juru Energy)



Figure 10: Kalaata village (Source: Juru Energy)



Figure 11: View of the predominant desert landscape and existing road along the OHTL route (Source: Juru Energy)



Figure 12: Existing OHTLs in the vicinity of the planned Sarimay-Dzhankeldy OHTL route (Source: Juru Energy)



Figure 13: Existing OHTL (built in 2020) in the vicinity of the planned Sarimay-Dzhankeldy OHTL route (Source: Juru Energy)



Figure 14: Project location of S-D OHTL (Lot 1) (Source: Juru Energy)





Figure 15: Receptors for S-D OHTL (Source: Juru Energy)



For Lot 2, the landscape of the Project area is steppe, rather homogeneous and arid, except for the hills adjacent to Ayakagitma lake to the west of the OHTL. Lot 2 is planned to be constructed near three existing 220 kV OHTLs (Figure 16) and the village of Agitma (Figure 18). A railway line (Figure 17) is also located approximately 3 km from the planned works with 10 kV distribution lines routing alongside. Uzbekistan Railway JSC manages the railway line. Figure 16 to Figure 19 illustrate some key features in the area. Figure 20 shows the general location of Lot 2 and Figure 21 identifies the main receptors identified in the wider area.

Figure 16: Existing OHTL (Source: Juru Energy)

Figure 17: Railway line (Source: Juru Energy)



Figure 18: Agitma village (Source: Juru Energy)

Figure 19: General landscape (Source: Juru Energy)



Figure 20: Project location N-B-M LILO (Lot 2) (Source: Juru Energy)





Figure 21: Receptors for 500KV Navoi TPP - Bash SS - Muruntau SS LILO OHTL (Source: Juru Energy)




2.4 Project components

2.4.1 Concept of energy transmission

Figure 22 shows the key features of a typical energy transmission and distribution system. The generating station produces electric energy at around 15-25 kV. At the generating station, a transformer is used to increase (“step up”) the voltage to a voltage more appropriate for transmission (e.g. 500 kV as for this Project). The higher the voltage, the less energy loss is incurred during transmission. In Uzbekistan, 500 kV OHTL transmit electricity between 500 kV substations. At these substations, energy may then be stepped down to 220 kV for transmission at a more regional level and then to even lower voltages for distribution around cities from which it is reduced to 110 kV for distribution along streets and then finally to 240/110V to supply homes.

Figure 22: Concept of electric energy transmission


(Source: http://www.industrial-electronics.com/elec_pwr_3e_9.html)



2.4.2 Main components

The main components of an OHTL are the towers, foundations, insulators, conductors (wires), and earth wire as shown in Figure 23. All components will be designed following the relevant statutes and norms of the Republic of Uzbekistan and GIP.

Figure 23: Components of an OHTL (Source: Juru Energy)



A summary of the key characteristics for Lot 1 and Lot 2 is provided in Table 3. A final decision on many technology choices, e.g. type of OHTL tower or the number of towers, has not been made. Where the final decision may affect the potential E&S impacts, this is noted in Chapter 6; otherwise, it is considered that the final design does not impact E&S aspects.

Table 3: Summary of OHTL characteristics

	Lot 1	Lot 2
Circuit type	Single or double	Single or double
Number of phases	2 or 3	2 or 3
Approximate length of OHTL	127 km	10 km (2 x 5 km LILO)
Tower Type	Combination of suspension, angle and terminal towers	Combination of suspension, angle and terminal towers
Number of suspension towers	~340	~21
Number of angle towers	~20	~6
Tower height	30 to 40 m.	30 to 40 m.
Typical Span	300 m to 400 m.	300 m to 400 m.
Optical Ground Wire (OPGW)	Yes	Yes

2.4.3 Tower

Towers are typically self-supporting or guyed towers depending on the final design choice and are made from galvanised steel. Typical tower designs are illustrated in Figure 24 to Figure 27 and comprise three different types of towers:

- Suspension towers (intermediate towers) - used to support the conductors on straight line stretches.
- Angle or deviation towers - used at points where the route changes directions.
- Terminal towers - used at substation entry.

The typical configuration of a 500 kV suspension tower is provided in Figure 24 (self-supporting lattice structure) and Figure 25 (guyed tower). Self-supporting towers have four ground-level foundation columns used to support the steel structure. For the guyed towers, two tower legs spread apart from the tower bridge (upper section of the tower) down to the tower base, and there are at least four guy lines for tower stability. The exact tower type, height will be dependent on the terrain (minimum permissible ground clearance), the minimum required span length to maintain sag clearance, spacing between the conductors and ground wire requirements. The tower arms hold the insulators and the conductors. Conductors carry two or three-phase circuits and are built with two-conductor bundles to reduce the formation of corona discharge and the resultant audible and radio-frequency noise.

Figure 24: Self-supporting 500KV Steel Lattice Suspension Tower (single circuit) (Source: NEGU)




Figure 25: Example 500kV H-Guyed suspension (intermediate) tower (single circuit) (Source: NEGU)




Figure 26: Example of 500kV angle tower




Figure 27: Self-supporting 500KV Steel Lattice Suspension Tower (single circuit) (Source: NEGU)



2.4.4 Foundations and grounding


Tower footprint and foundation requirements are summarised in Table 4. At each tower location, the foundations are grounded to prevent risks from lightning strikes to workers or animals in the vicinity of the towers.

Table 4: Foundation characteristics (Source: Feasibility Consultant)

Tower Requirement	500kV (Self-supporting)	500kV (guyed)
Number of foundations	Four foundation columns at ground level	Two foundation columns at ground level
Average footprint	5m by 5m (25 m ²) (Footprint defined as the outer of the foundation columns at ground level).	65m x 45m (2925m ²) (total) (b) (Footprint defined as the outer border of the guy wires.)
Foundation type	Actual size and type will depend on the type of tower and the sub-soil conditions. The main type are 'piled', 'pad and chimney', and 'anchors'. Angle towers will require more extensive foundations.	
Notes:	Area inside the footprint can return to natural habitat, but not easily used for grazing.	The area inside the footprint can be used although may restrict the movement of machinery – not preferred in agricultural areas due to guy wires.

Examples of the different foundation requirements are presented in Figure 28.

Figure 28: Typical OHL tower foundation (source industrial electronics.com)



2.4.5 Conductors (wires)

An electrical conductor is a material that conducts or transmits electricity. Typically, conductors are made at a certain specification to conduct electric current at the prescribed voltage. Common conductors are the Aluminium Conductor Steel Reinforced (ACSR) type of conductor and the All Aluminium Alloy Conductor (AAAC). Conductors are strung between towers to ensure a minimum electrical clearance height at the lowest point (the point equidistant between the two towers) considering parameters such as temperature, ice and wind load and time (refer to section 2.10 for further information on this process). Typically, two or more conductors are used per phase, connected at intervals by spacers.


In addition to the conductor, the OHTL also has a shield wire (earth wire) that is strung above the phase conductors and is part of the line earthing system. The shield conductor protects the phase conductors (main wires) from lightning. New lines typically combine the shield wire with an Optical Ground Wire OPGW⁹ that strings across the top of the towers and provides the means for internal line protection, communication and control.

⁹ The OPGW combines the functions of grounding and communications. An OPGW cable contains a tubular structure with one or more optical fibers in it, surrounded by layers of steel and aluminum wire. The OPGW cable is run between the tops of high-voltage electricity pylons. The conductive part of the cable serves to bond adjacent towers to earth ground, and shields the high-voltage conductors from lightning strikes. The optical fibers within the cable can be used for high-speed transmission of data,


The conductor will enter the SS via a terminal tower down to a height of approximately 15m high, linking up with landing gantries in the substation. The conductors will link up to the existing substation system via parallel steel structures between transformers within the substation footprint.

2.4.6 Insulators

Insulators isolate the towers from the live wires that carry the electricity. They are typically made of glass, ceramic, or composite materials and are attached to the towers. In a suspension tower, the insulators are vertical or V-arrangement. In a dead-end tower, the insulators are in the horizontal position. Dead end towers are typically located every 5 to 15 towers and are placed there to section the OHTL conductor to minimise whole line faults. Examples of different insulators typically used in Uzbekistan are provided in Figure 29 to Figure 31.



either for the electrical utility's own purposes of protection and control of the transmission line, for the utility's own voice and data communication, or may be leased or sold to third parties to serve as a high-speed fiber interconnection between cities (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Optical_ground_wire)



2.5 Related works

Related activities required to support the main OHTL works are listed below and described in more detail in the following text.

- End-user works at the existing Sarimay SS (Lot 1)
- End-user works at the Dzhankeldy SS (Lot 1)
- End-user works at the Bash SwS (Lot 2)
- Establish 60m right of way (ROW) under the OHTL (including the provision of any related livelihood compensation) (Lot 1 and Lot 2)
- Upgrade existing road or new road (unsurfaced) suitable to provide access to the OHTL towers worksites (Lot 1 and Lot 2)

The Sarimay SS is already in operation under the control of JSC NEGU. Private third parties will construct the Dzhankeldy SS and Bash SwS. An ESIA for the construction, operation and decommissioning of Dzhankeldy SS and Bash SwS is under development and is being developed according to IFC Performance Standards 2012. Once operational, both facilities will be transferred to JSC NEGU, which will assume responsibility for operations and maintenance (O&M) activities. An E&S audit of the JSC NEGU operational E&S management systems and processes is being undertaken in parallel to this ESIA. Obligations for O&M management are outlined in the Project ESMP. Any additional strengthening of the JSC NEGU management system is outlined in the Project Environmental and Social Action Plan (ESAP).

2.5.1 Sarimay SS end user works

The 220 kV/500 kV Sarimay SS began commercial operation in 2017. The footprint of the Sarimay SS has sufficient capacity to incorporate the proposed end-user works for the S-D OHTL. No works outside the existing boundary are expected. The existing substation is enclosed by steel fencing and electric security around the perimeter and includes office buildings and a car park. The compound is level and surfaced with stone chippings. No significant site preparation works will be required (e.g. soil investigation, environmental surveys). To connect the new 550 kV, a new 220/550 kV step-up transformer (plus supporting works) will be installed. Transformers are the equipment used where different operating voltages need to interface (e.g. the existing 220 kV system with the planned 500 kV OHTL). As well as transforming the voltage, they also introduce impedance between the systems, controlling fault currents to safe levels.

Construction activities will include:

- Civil works - this consists of possible piling for new foundations, upgraded site drainage where necessary, the foundation works and construction of bunds (where required).
- Plant installation - involves delivering equipment to the site (including possible abnormal loads in the form of transformers). Small cranes may be required to lift the plant into a position that is then fixed to the foundations.
- Commissioning - electrical equipment and SCADA instrumentation systems are installed by specialist contractors in the substation operations room, where they are then commissioned to ensure they function correctly. Commissioning involves the testing of control systems.

There is expected to be sufficient space within the existing SS footprint to act as a laydown area for equipment and material required for the SS works. It is not envisaged that there will be any major need to transport raw materials (aggregates) to or from the site. Cement for the foundations is likely to be sourced from a nearby batching plant. No upgrade or expansion works are required to the existing access road from the main road to the substation gate. There is expected to be sufficient space within the existing SS footprint to act as a laydown area for equipment and material required for the SS works.

2.5.2 Dzhankeldy substation end user works

The 220 kV/500 kV Dzhankeldy SS will be constructed for the planned Dzhankeldy wind farm project (refer to section 2.8 below). The current timeline for the commissioning of the Dzhankeldy SS is 2022-2024. It is expected that the Dzhankeldy SS footprint will be sized to accommodate the equipment required for the Lot 1 connection. All works inside the SS footprint will be performed by the EPC contractor selected for this Project in coordination with the main contractor for the Dzhankeldy SS works. There is expected to be sufficient space within the planned SS footprint to act as a laydown area for equipment and material required for the S-D OHLT end user works.

2.5.3 Bash switching station (SwS) end-users works

The Bash SwS will be constructed as part of the Bash wind farm project by a third party (refer to section 2.8 below). The current timeline for the commissioning of the Bash SwS is 2022-2024. Switchgear is equipment that allows switching to control power flows on the network. There are two main types: air-insulated switchgear (AIS) and gas-insulated switchgear (GIS). For this project, an AIS switchgear is planned. It is expected that the Bash SwS footprint will be sized to accommodate the equipment required for the Lot 2 connection. All works inside the Bash SwS footprint will be performed by the EPC contractor selected for this Project in coordination with the main contractor for the Bash SwS. There is expected to be sufficient space within the existing SwS footprint to act as a laydown area for equipment and material required for the S-D OHLT end user works.

2.5.1 Access road

For Lot 1 an existing unsurfaced road will be used to support construction of the initial section of OHLT from 0 km (Sarimay SS) to +85 km. The exact specification for the road has not been determined at this time. It is expected that the existing road will be graded to be suitable for the transport of medium sized vehicles along the route. It is expected that the road will remain a single carriageway approximately 2.5 meters in width. The existing road is not expected to be surfaced. For the first 85 km section, temporary tracks to each tower workfront within the ROW will be established avoiding key biodiversity features (as defined in Chapter 7.10). From +85km a new unsurfaced track will be developed along the ROW avoiding key biodiversity features (as defined in Chapter 7.10.3). Some material may be required to be imported although this will be dependent of the geotechnical and load requirements and will be avoided where possible. No permanent or sealed roads will be constructed.

2.6 Stages of the project cycle

There are four main stages of the project cycle, pre-construction, construction, operation and decommissioning. 10 Typical activities performed during each stage are listed in Figure 32 and described below.

Figure 32: Stages in the project cycle

Pre-construction	Construction - civil works	Construction - mechanical and electrical works	Operation	Decommissioning
Finalize design Establishing the ROW / livelihood restoration Storing of materials Recruitment of local workforce / services Identification of local materials Site set up Establish accommodation facility Procurement (establishing the supply chain)	Secure worksites Construct access road (as needed) Transportation of civil construction materials to site Vegetation clearance and levelling Excavations works at tower bases Foundation works (including delivery of cement) Transportation of steel work to site Substation civil works	Tower erection Conductor stringing Connect conductor cable Electrical assembly at SS Commissioning of OHTL and SS Reinstatement of temporary work areas disturbed during civil works	Operation of OHTL OHTL/SS preventative maintenance Periodic / planned maintenance Monitoring and maintaining the OHL	Removal of construction materials Rehabilitation of temporary storage and accommodation areas Installation of security and safety requirements Reinstatement of excavated areas

Before construction works commence, final decisions as to structure types, foundation requirements, conductor size and type, insulation, and line hardware, bird protection devices will be determined following the feasibility study requirements (to be completed) and the outputs of this ESIA.

The RoW and access routes will be surveyed, and the OHTL centre line and locations for the towers marked (hereafter referred to as the tower work front). Vegetation clearance is expected only at the tower foundation locations, stringing positions and along access roads to the foundation sites from existing roads following the biodiversity mitigation requirements outlined in this ESIA.

Construction of the OHTL itself typically progresses sequentially by one or more teams (of approximately eight to fifteen workers) working along the whole OHTL or simultaneously on multiple sections of the OHTL route. The key activities required at each work front are site clearance (rocks, vegetation), enabling works to establish vehicle access to each tower location, civil works (tower foundation works), steel delivery, steel erection, assembly and installation of the insulator, pilot wire installation, conductor stringing and then commissioning. Pre-mixed concrete will be delivered to site in wagons along with steelwork for the foundation frames and bases as illustrated in Figure 33 and Figure 34). Alternatively, pre-cast foundation blocks will be used which will be manufactured outside the local area and delivered to the worksite.

Figure 33: Example of tower foundation construction (NJDA, 2022)



Figure 34: Example of tower foundation construction (NJDA, 2022)



The tower is typically erected using a mobile crane which lifts the assembled steelwork into position as per the examples in Figure 35, Figure 36 .

Figure 35: Example of tower assembly process (NJDA 2022)


Figure 36: Example of tower assembly process (source: <http://cscon.co.za/> and EDM)



Stringing the OHTL is typically performed by one of four methods: slack stringing, semi-tension stringing, full tension stringing and helicopter stringing. The first two methods involve laying the conductor on the ground between the towers and lifting it into place. These methods do not keep the conductor off the ground between the towers. Full tension stringing is typically performed by using a guy wire/pilot wire that is used to “pull” the conductor from the “conductor reel” at the start of the stringing point to another “pilot line winder reel” where the guide/pilot wire is collected. Pull sections incorporate, on average, about four towers. However, it is possible to tailor the length of the pulling section to take account of technical requirements, any human receptors that may be disturbed by the works or ecological sensitivities. Sufficient pulling capabilities on one end and tension capabilities on the other keep the wires clear of any obstacles on the ground during the conductor’s movement from the reel to its final sag position.¹¹ This approach is envisaged as the preferred option for this Project as it minimises the potential for damage to the conductor itself, minimises impacts on the ground, and removes the need to overcome obstacles such as pulling over roads, sensitive habitat types etc. Helicopter stringing is typically used in particularly arduous terrain and is not envisaged as necessary for this Project. Stringing the conductor using a full tension stringing method is most likely for this project.

¹¹ <https://electrical-engineering-portal.com/guidelines-for-the-construction-and-maintenance-of-transmission-lines>

Figure 37: Stringing the conductor (Source: Electrical World Magazine, 2021)



The main works associated with the operation of the OHTL are maintenance of the ROW, tower and line inspections (including visual inspections) and tower and conductor preventative maintenance work. Routine vegetation management and clearance along the ROW during operation is unlikely, given the slow-growing nature of the vegetation in the ROW. Preventative maintenance works are typically scheduled when the line can be taken out of operation (de-energised) to minimise health and safety risks from working on live equipment; however, maintenance work on live equipment cannot be ruled out and will be performed by highly specialised workers. There may also be a need for emergency works following an electrical fault or hardware failure resulting from missing bolts, lightning strikes, bird strikes etc.

JSC NEGU will organise and implement preventative and emergency maintenance works following their corporate maintenance schedules, JSC NEGU maintenance guidelines and procedures, and training requirements.

The SS's will either be remotely operated or have one or two permanent workers (operating a shift system). The SS's maintenance works will be intermittent and within the operational site boundary.

Decommissioning or closure falls into one of two categories:

- End of life decommissioning (~40 years); and
- Temporary work-site decommissioning (e.g. borrow pits, accommodation sites).

Both decommissioning activities will be required to remove all above and below ground structures and reinstate land to its original state. Typically, the conductors are removed section by section and immediately rewound using pulling and braking machines. The pylons are dismantled, and the foundations are removed from the ground and taken away by lorry as soon as the project is finished, the temporary access roads are rehabilitated, and the land is restored to its original state. All waste from the site is collected and taken to a suitable water disposal facility aligned with GIP. A final survey of the area around the site is then carried out.

2.7 Other activities

2.7.1 Establishing the ROW

The ROW acquisition process is described in section 3.8 and the LARF (Volume VI) and will be fully discharged before any mobilisation of any construction works within the ROW. It is expected that all works connected with the OHTL construction and operation will take place within the ROW.

2.7.2 Construction camp and laydown area

Temporary construction camps, including worker accommodation have been previously established adjacent to the Sarimay SS and in the village of Dzhankeldy. As such, it is expected that at least two construction camp and laydown areas will be established for Lot 1 at adjacent to the Sarimay SS and in the village of Dzhankeldy. The construction camp and laydown area will act as the main storage site for general equipment and material laydown for contractors along the OHTL route. It will also include site offices, medical facilities, catering and welfare provisions. The construction camp may also include temporary worker accommodation (refer to section 2.7.6). For Lot 2, one laydown area for the duration of the works is expected to be established close to the nearest railway station. No temporary worker accommodation facilities for Lot 2 are envisaged (refer to section 2.7.6). The construction camp is expected to connect into the existing neighbouring power supply or be powered by onsite diesel generators during the construction/decommissioning phases. No power requirements are required during operation.

Short term laydown areas may also be established at strategic locations along the OHTL route for overnight storage of key plant equipment and materials, and these may move along the OHTL following the construction works.

2.7.3 Water Supply

Exact requirements are still to be determined. For both Lot 1 and Lot 2, it is expected that potable water for drinking and other welfare activities during the construction phase will be sourced from the municipal water supply system in Sarimay and delivered to the construction camp and the OHTL work front by a tanker or bottled water. A minimum of 48 hours of storage is also expected at the accommodation facility. The daily water allowance per person will be 150 litres per day at the accommodation facility, ¹²this is the daily water that will be required to cater for the construction personnel needs.

Drinking water requirements at the work fronts will use bottled water or small tankers for daily use. At least 4.5 litres per person per day will be available at each OHTL workfront, in particular during hot weather. Plastic bottles will be removed from site and recycled via third party contractors in line with the project waste management plan.

Water requirements for the construction works itself are mainly limited to the cement manufacture process for use in the construction of tower foundations. Cement will be produced at an existing cement batching plant in the local area or prefabricated concrete blocks delivered from outside the area will be used. Licences for abstraction of water for the cement manufacturing process will be the responsibility of relevant third parties which will be vetted by the project during the contracting process.

¹² Base on World Health Organisation (WHO) recommendations.

2.7.4 Equipment and material supply chain

Key components of the OHTL and SS such as the steel towers, conductors, insulators and other electrical equipment (e.g. transformer / SCADA equipment) will be procured by the Main Contractor (tier 1 supplier) using sub-contractors and suppliers (tier 2 suppliers) in their supply chain and sourced from outside the Project area. All tier 1 and tier 2 suppliers will be subject to approval by JSC NEGU. Tier 2 suppliers and contractors are likely to include:

- key equipment suppliers (where they are not supplied directly by the main contractor)
- electrical commissioning contractor (for substation and OHTL commissioning works) (where it is not performed directly by the main contractor),
- transportation contractor (local)
- civil contractor (local/regional) including cement manufacture

Tier 1 and tier 2 suppliers as defined above are considered primary suppliers as per EBRD PR1. There are also likely to be several tier 3 suppliers providing the catering, accommodation, geotechnical, environmental, security, driving, and waste management services. These suppliers may be sourced from the local area or region.

All suppliers will be required to meet the Project E&S standards applied to the Main Contractor via back to back contractual obligations. All suppliers will be required to demonstrate credentials relating to the prohibition of forced and child labour in their supply chain and demonstrate health and safety standards that align with GIP and robust labour management policies (further information on this is described in subsequent sections).

Materials and key equipment are expected to be delivered to the nearest railway station (Sarimay – Lot 1/Navoi – Lot 2) and then delivered to one of the construction laydown areas at either end of the OHTL. Onward transport of equipment to the work fronts will be via small and medium sized vehicles on an as needs basis to minimise the opportunity for theft or damage. Potential abnormal load deliveries may be required for new transformers delivered to the Sarimay SS, Dzhankeldy SS or Bash SwS.

2.7.5 Workforce

The workforce requirements are still to be determined. Typical workforce requirements for a project of this type are summarised in Table 5.

Table 5: Typical workforce requirements

Development stage	Lot 1 and Lot 2			Other	Worker category
Owner (direct)					
Owner management	3				NEGU
Owners Engineer (site based)	6				Contractor
EHS personnel / CLO	3				Contractor
Construction phase	EPC (lot 1 and lot 2)	Civil works (lot 1 and lot 2)	Electrical works (lot 1 and lot 2 and substations)		
Management	4	4	4	-	Sub-contractor

Development stage	Lot 1 and Lot 2			Other	Worker category
Skilled labourer's (outside region)	10	30	30	-	Sub-contractor
Local/Regional skilled workers		15	15	-	Sub-contractor
Local unskilled workers		20	5	-	Sub-contractor
Drivers(regional)	0	5	5	-	Sub-contractor
Security	-	-		6	Sub-contractor
EHS personnel	2	2	1	5	
Sub-total construction	28	75	60	11	
Total Construction	174				
Operation					
Owner management	1	-			NEGU
Owner engineer	2	-			Contractor
EHS personnel (ornithologists) (part time)	2	-			Contractor
Operations and maintenance workers (skilled)	4 to 10 for rare events and maintenance works			-	NEGU
Security (working in shifts)	3 shifts of 2	-			Contractor
Total operation	22				

Overall the construction labour workforce requirements are unlikely to exceed 200 workers over the duration of the construction phase. The peak period for construction works will be during the civil works period, predicted to last approximately nine months. The tower erection and electrical assembly at the substation sites are predicted to last approximately six months, overlapping with the end of the civil works. Approximately 70 skilled workers from outside the region may be required during the construction phase. The remaining skilled and unskilled workers required for the civil works are likely to be sourced regionally or locally. There may be limited opportunity for local employment in the unskilled labour positions and to support the workers accommodation facility and opportunities to enhance this will be employed where possible.

During operation, no permanent workforce is assigned to the OHTL. Existing employees of JSC NEGU will be responsible for operations and maintenance (O&M) works and for implementing any operational phase health, safety, environmental and social obligations. NEGU may be supported by an outside E&S consultant for this purpose.

2.7.6 Worker accommodation

For Lot 1, all skilled labour from outside the province or country is expected to be accommodated in existing suitable accommodation in the region or in a temporary accommodation located adjacent to the construction camp and laydown area at either end of the OHTL. All worker accommodation will be identified or provided and operated following the specifications set out in the IFC/EBRD Workers' accommodation: process and standards (August 2009).

Smaller camps may be established at sites along the OHTL route to house the construction teams and equipment as they move along the route.

For Lot 2, all skilled labour from outside the province or country is expected to be accommodated in temporary accommodation in the vicinity of the construction camp an laydown area Given the length of the section of OHTL under construction, no overnight camps along the route are envisaged.

In the event that existing accommodation is planned to be used by the Project, an assessment of the suitability of the existing accommodation will be performed to confirm alignment with IFC/EBRD Workers’ accommodation: process and standards guidance. Local workers will reside at home and be transported from the relevant construction camp to the Workfront each day.

2.7.7 Development schedule

The anticipated development schedule is set out in Table 6. The construction period for the OHTL and access roads is expected to last approximately 18 months, with the majority of the below-ground construction works expected to last approximately nine months and the above-ground steel erection and commissioning works, nine months. Work at any one work front is expected to be short (approximately two weeks for civil works and separately another week for the conductor stringing).

Table 6: Current anticipated development schedule


Activity	Date
Project Categorisation	August 2021 (completed)
Scoping and route definition	October 2021 (completed)
Consultation on draft ESIA	January 2022
Finalization of the Submission of draft ESIA	February 2022
EBRD 120-day disclosure period	March 2022 to June 2022
Finalise ESIA (including public consultation comments and ongoing studies)	June 2022
EBRD Board of Director's consideration and project signing	June 2022
Financial close	Q3 2022 (Immediately after signing)
Pre-mobilisation (finalisation of route corridor, tower micro-siting, planning and design)	Q4 2022 – Q1 2023
Construction Start	Q1 2023
Construction End	Q2 2024
Expected Lifetime	Approx. 30 years or more

2.8 Neighbouring and future planned projects

Neighbouring projects in the vicinity of the Project are predominantly other existing and planned OHTL, substation construction projects (Bash SS, Dzhankeldy SS) and new renewable energy (RE) projects (wind/solar). There is also ongoing construction of a third water pipeline along the road between Sarimay and Zarafshan. There are nine mining concessions in the Dzhankeldy area although none are currently working mines. The mines and other existing infrastructure are illustrated in Figure 12.

The location of known RE and OHTL projects is illustrated in Figure 38.

Figure 38: Neighbouring RE and OHTL projects (Source: Juru Energy)



3 Policy, Legislative and Institutional Framework

3.1 Uzbekistan regulatory context

3.1.1 Relevant government ministries

Key organisations with responsibility for environmental management in Uzbekistan are:

- Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of Uzbekistan (COM); and
- State Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan on Ecology and Environmental Protection (SCEEP) and
- The Centre for State Ecological Expertise, which is under the SCEEP.

The Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of Uzbekistan governs the executive body in the Republic of Uzbekistan following the Constitution of the Republic of Uzbekistan (Article 98), and the Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan “On the Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of Uzbekistan” (new edition of 2019). The COM exercises the following main functions:

- Implements measures on rational use and protection of natural resources;
- Coordinates the work of state bodies on joint conducting of natural protection events;
- Implements a large-scale ecological program of national and international importance; and
- Takes measures to eliminate the consequences of accidents and disasters and natural disasters.

The State Committee on Ecology and Environmental Protection (SCEEP) is the main regulating body of state administration on environmental protection issues. The primary responsibilities of the SCEEP include ensuring the implementation of a unified state policy on environmental safety, environmental protection, and the use and reproduction of natural resources; and enforcing state control over the compliance of ministries, state committees, departments, enterprises, institutions, and organisations, as well as individuals, concerning the use and protection of land, mineral resources, water, forests, flora and fauna, and atmospheric resources. Structurally, the SCEEP consists of the central unit (located in Tashkent), regional units (oblast) and local (district) units.

The Centre for State Ecological Expertise: The Centre for State Ecological Expertise's activities are directly related to the evaluation of materials for EIA and the issuance of documents determining compliance with environmental requirements for planned or executed business and other activities, as well as determining the admissibility of the implementation of the object of environmental expertise.

Other stakeholders that are relevant to the Project are listed below.

- Ministry of Energy of the Republic of Uzbekistan
- Ministry for Emergency Situations of the Republic of Uzbekistan;
- Ministry of Health of the Republic of Uzbekistan
- Ministry of Employment and Labour Relations of the Republic of Uzbekistan
- Ministry of Water Resources of the Republic of Uzbekistan

- Ministry of Agriculture of the Republic of Uzbekistan;
- Ministry of Sport and Tourism
- Cadastre Agency under the State Tax Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan;
- State Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan on Geology and Mineral Resources;
- State Committee on Forestry of the Republic of Uzbekistan
- Centre for Hydrometeorological Services under the Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of Uzbekistan (Uzhydromet)
- State Committee for Industrial Safety of Uzbekistan
- Ministry of Internal Affairs of the Republic of Uzbekistan

3.2 Constitution of Uzbekistan

The constitution of Uzbekistan has the following provisions relating to environmental aspects:

- Article 50: All citizens shall protect the environment.
- Article 54: No property shall inflict harm to the environment.
- Article 55: Land, subsoils, flora, fauna, and other natural resources are protected by the state and considered as resources of national wealth subject to sustainable use.

3.3 Law on Nature Protection, 1992 as amended in 2021

This law is the key national environmental law for the protection of the environment and the sustainable use of resources and the right for the population to a clean healthy environment.

This law states legal, economic, and organizational basis for the conservation of the environment and the rational use of natural resources. Article 24 of this law states that the State Environmental Expertise (SEE) is a mandatory measure for environmental protection, preceded to decision making process. In addition, the law prohibits the implementation of any Project without approval from SEE (refer to section 3.3).

It should be noted that Article 53 of this law confirms that if an international treaty concluded by the Republic of Uzbekistan establishes rules other than those provided for by the legislation of the Republic of Uzbekistan on nature protection, the rules of the international treaty shall be applied, except in cases where the legislation of the Republic of Uzbekistan establishes stricter requirements.

3.4 Law on Environmental Control, 2013 as amended in 2021

The main objectives of this law include:

- Prevention, detection and suppression of violation of legislative requirements relating to environmental protection and rational use of natural resources.
- Monitoring the state of the environment, identifying situations that can lead to environmental pollution, irrational use of natural resources, pose a threat to the life and health of citizens.
- Determination of compliance with environmental requirements of any ongoing

economic development activities.


- Ensuring compliance with the rights and legitimate interests of legal entities and individuals performing their duties in relation to environmental protection and sustainable use of natural resources.

The Article 7 of this law states that, the objects of environmental control are:

- Land, its subsoil, waters, flora and fauna, and atmospheric air
- Natural and man-made sources of impact on the environment
- Activities, action or inaction that may lead to pollution of the environment

3.5 National environmental impact assessment (EIA) process

Compliance with national requirements and a positive Environmental Approval are pre-requisites to compliance with EBRD ESP 2019. For this reason, the national EIA process is described below for information. A third party will perform the national EIA on behalf of JSC NEGU as part of the national feasibility assessment.



The national EIA procedure is regulated by:

- Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan “On Ecological Expertise” (2000)
- Resolution "On the State Environmental Expertise", approved by the Resolution of Cabinet of Ministers No. 541 “On further improvement of the environmental impact assessment mechanism’ (2020)

Resolution 541 specifies the legal requirements for EIA in Uzbekistan. According to the Resolution, the State Environmental Expertise (SEE) is a type of environmental examination carried out by specialized expert divisions to set up the compliance of the planned activities with the environmental requirements and determination of the permissibility of the environmental examination object implementation.

National EIAs in Uzbekistan consist of three stages to obtain the Environmental Approval:

- Stage I - Preliminary EIA report – initial and mandatory stage.
- Stage II – Statement on Environmental impacts is a non-mandatory stage and can be skipped if local regulator is satisfied with assessment provided in Preliminary EIA report.
- Stage III – Statement on Environmental Consequences - is the final stage and output that should be prepared and submitted to the regulator after completing construction/reconstruction works and before the commissioning of the project.

The state unitary enterprise "The Centre of the State Environmental Examination" of the SCEEP, carries out the State Environmental Expertise of EIA of the objects of economic activity classified as categories I and II of environmental impact (high and medium risk). The state unitary enterprise "The Centre of the State Environmental Examination" of the Republic

of Karakalpakstan, or the relevant regions performs the state environmental examination of EIA of the objects of economic activity classified as categories III and IV of environmental impact (low risk and local impact).

3.5.1 Alignment of ESIA and National EIA report

Stage I Preliminary EIA report - The Preliminary EIA report must contain the following information:

- the state of the environment before the implementation of the planned activities
- the population of the territory, land development, analysis of environmental features
- situational plan with an indication of the geographical coordinates of the object in question
- available recreational areas, settlements, irrigation, land-improvement facilities, farmland
- power lines, transport, water, gas pipelines and other information about the area
- the envisaged (planned) main and auxiliary objects, used equipment, technologies
- the use of natural resources, materials, raw materials, fuel, analysis of their impact on the environment (both during construction and operation phases)
- expected emissions, discharges, wastes, their negative impact on the environment and ways to minimize them (both during construction and operation phases)
- storage and disposal of waste (both during construction and operation phases)
- analysis of alternatives to the planned or ongoing activities and technological solutions from the standpoint of nature conservation, taking into account the achievements of science, technology and best practices
- organizational, technical, technological solutions and measures that exclude negative environmental consequences and reduce the impact of the object of examination on the environment
- analysis of emergencies (with an assessment of the likelihood and scenario of preventing their negative consequences)
- forecast of environmental changes and environmental consequences as a result of the implementation of the object of examination
- environmental measures to prevent the negative effects of the implementation of the object of examination
- results of public hearings

Since December 1, 2020, in accordance with Annex 3 to the Decree 541, the planned activities of I and II categories of environmental impact are subject to public hearings with the local community in cooperation with the Council of Ministers of the Republic of Karakalpakstan, the khokimiyats of regions and Tashkent city. The state environmental expertise of the national EIA reports is carried out in case of approval by the local community as a result of public hearings. Public hearings must be conducted according to the procedure indicated in the law, representing all environmental impact assessments (to be justified by calculations) for construction and operation phases (if applicable).

Stage II Statement on Environmental Impacts – during this phase, additional information is provided concerning key issues, e.g. where specific modelling or impact assessment has been required. It is possible if sufficient information is submitted to obtain a conclusion at Stage I, thereby negating the need for additional information to be provided (under Stage II).

The Statement on Environmental Impacts should include an:

- assessment of environmental problems of the selected site based on the results of engineering and geological surveys, models and other necessary studies
- environmental analysis of technology concerning identified problems of the site
- results of public hearings
- reasoned studies of environmental measures to prevent the negative effects of implementing the object of examination

Stage III, “Statement on Environmental Consequences”, is the final stage of the SEE process and is performed before the commissioning of the project. It sets out the standards applicable to the project concerning waste generation, water discharge, air emissions, and the environmental monitoring requirements related to the project, as well as the main conclusions. The report describes in detail the changes in the project made due to the analysis of the SEE during the first two stages of the EIA process and the comments received during public hearings.

3.5.2 National Categorization

According to the list of activities subject to state ecological expertise, which is established by the Resolution of Cabinet of Ministers No. 541 “On further improvement of the environmental impact assessment mechanism’ (2020), power transmission lines are categorized as follows depending on the level of impact on the environment.¹³

- Power transmission lines of state and interstate significance – Category I (high risk);
- Power transmission lines of the Republic of Karakalpakstan, regions and the city of Tashkent significance – Category II (medium risk);
- Power transmission lines of district and city (except Tashkent) significance – Category III (low risk).

This Project will be categorized as Category I.

3.6 Climate policy

Uzbekistan submitted its Third National Communication to the UNFCCC, and it ratified the Paris Agreement in November 2018, which brought its Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (INDC) into effect for the period up to 2030. The long-term objective of the INDC is to decrease specific emissions of greenhouse gases per unit of GDP by 10% by 2030 from the 2010 level. This envisages international and financial support, ensuring access to advanced energy-saving and environmentally sound technologies and resource allocation for climate financing.

The ratification of the Paris Climate Agreement committed Uzbekistan to transition to a green economy and adoption of the following normative documents:

¹³ Under the Resolution of Cabinet of Ministers of Uzbekistan No 541, all economic activities are classified into one of four categories of environmental impact: Category I (high risk), Category II (medium risk), Category III (low risk) and Category IV (local impact).

- Decree of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan. № PD-4477, dated October 4, 2019 "On approval of the Strategy on transition of the Republic of Uzbekistan to the "green" economy for the period 2019-2030".,
- Decree of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan, № PD-5863, dated October 30, 2019, "On approval of the Concept of environmental protection of the Republic of Uzbekistan until 2030".

Priority areas of "The Strategy on the transition of the Republic of Uzbekistan to the "green" economy for the period 2019-2030" relevant to the electricity-producing industry are.

- Reconstruction and modernization of generating capacities of existing power plants with highly efficient technologies based on combined cycle gas and gas turbine units.
- Improvement of configurations and modernization of main power networks to increase the stability of the power system.
- Implementation of organizational and technical measures, including optimization of modes.
- Increasing the level of automatization of technological processes.

3.6.1 Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (INDC)

Uzbekistan shares the opinion of the world community regarding the necessity to apply efforts to control global climate change following the capabilities and responsibility of each country on a reasonable and equitable basis. According to the decision of the 20th session of the Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change¹⁴, 1/CP.20, and following the national circumstances and sustainable development goals, taking into account transition of the country to a resource-efficient development model, the Republic of Uzbekistan has identified and presents its Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (INDC) for the period up to 2030^{15, 16}.

In the long-term perspective up to 2030, the Republic of Uzbekistan intends to strengthen measures and actions to struggle against climate change, in order to achieve the following objectives

3.7 Other applicable legislation and standards

Environmental laws relevant to the Project are:

- The Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan "On Water and Water Use" (1993) as amended in 2020
- The Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan "On Ecological Expertise" (2000) as amended in 2021
- The Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan "On Atmospheric Air Protection" (1996, amended on 28.09.2020)

¹⁴ 1/CP.20 "Lima Call for Climate Action". It contains invitation to all Parties to communicate their intended nationally determined contributions (INDCs) well in advance.

¹⁵ Third National Communication of the Republic of Uzbekistan to the UNFCCC (2016) (UNFCCC - Uzbekistan 3rd national Communication)

¹⁶ Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (2017) (<https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement/nationally-determined-contributions-ndcs/nationally-determined-contributions-ndcs>)

- The Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan “On Protection and Use of Vegetation” (1997) as amended in 2016.
- The Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan "On Protection and Use of the Wildlife" (1997) as amended in 2016
- The Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan “On Protected Natural Reserves” (2004) as amended in 2020
- The Law “On the sanitary and epidemiological well-being of the population” (2015) as amended on 03.09.2021
- The Resolution of the Cabinet of Ministries of the Republic of Uzbekistan №541 “On further improvement of the environmental impact assessment mechanism’ (2020)
- The Resolution of Cabinet of Ministries of the Republic of Uzbekistan №820 “On measures to further improve the economic mechanisms for ensuring nature” dated on 11th October, 2018
- The Resolution of the Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of Uzbekistan No 14. “On approval of the regulation on the procedure for the development and agreement of projects with environmental standards” (2014)
- Resolution of Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of Uzbekistan No.95 “On approval of general technical regulations of environmental safety” (2020)
- The Resolution of Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of Uzbekistan No. 255 “On approval of some Administrative regulations of rendering the state services in the field of environmental management” (2018)

The requirements of these Laws are described below or in subsequent sections of this ESIA as relevant.

3.7.1 Waste Law

Waste law in Uzbekistan has recently undergone strengthening regarding the planning, construction and operation of landfills and rules for the transport of waste. Under the Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan "On Nature Protection" and "On Waste", the SCEEP, as well as local governing bodies, has responsibilities in solid waste management, monitoring compliance with sanitary standards, reporting and inspecting SWM facilities etc.

The "On Nature Protection" and "On Waste" has the following general provisions:

- citizens have the right to a safe and healthy environment, to participate in the discussion of projects, and to compensation for damage to their lives.
- dangerous waste that is transported domestically or internationally must pass ecological certification and be moved by special vehicles.
- procedures for treating solid wastes, and defines the authorities of various institutions involved in SWM.

Article 19 sets out that where generated waste is subject to export and import operations, or hazardous waste is subject to transportation, an environmental certification procedure shall be completed to confirm compliance with sanitary and environmental norms and standards associated with waste management.

Article 20 states that the transportation of hazardous waste shall be in specially designated types of vehicles with a waste certificate and permit. The responsibility for safe transportation of hazardous waste shall be with the transporting organisation.

Article 22 of the Law on Wastes specifies the general waste storage and disposal requirements. Waste disposal of recyclable waste is prohibited in Uzbekistan. Waste storage is carried out in accordance with sanitary norms and rules, environmental safety requirements, and methods ensuring the rational use of waste or its transfer to other parties for recycling. In addition, storage and disposal of waste in the environment, including nature conservation and protected areas, settlements, health and recreational areas, or historical and cultural facilities, is prohibited. It is also prohibited to bury waste in places other than those determined by local state authorities in accordance with the procedure established by law.

Hazardous waste in Uzbekistan is defined as waste that contains substances with at least one of the defined hazardous properties (toxicity, infectivity, explosive hazard, fire hazard, high reactivity, radioactivity) and available in such amounts and in such a way as to pose an imminent or potential risk to human life and health, the environment, or both in their current state or when exposed to other substances. Hazardous waste is classified into four groups known as ‘hazard classes’¹⁷. Waste hazard classes are:

- Class I – extremely hazardous waste
- Class II – highly hazardous waste
- Class III – moderately hazardous waste
- Class IV – low-hazardous waste.

According to the Regulations on the procedure of state accounting and control in the field of waste management (Appendix to The Resolution of Cabinet Ministries of Uzbekistan No.295, 27.10.2014), depending on toxicity and recyclability, industrial wastes are classified into following categories:

- toxic non-recyclable waste and toxic recyclable waste
- non-toxic non-recyclable waste and non-toxic recyclable waste

The abovementioned regulations oblige legal entities to maintain accounting of toxic and non-toxic wastes, submit state statistical reporting in the prescribed manner and take measures for maximum waste utilization, realizing or transferring them to other specialized enterprises.

Responsibility for safe transportation of hazardous waste shall be with a transporting organization (Law on Wastes, Article 20). Transportation of hazardous materials has to be conducted following Resolution of Cabinet Ministries of Uzbekistan No. 35 of 16 February 2011 on “Rules of hazardous materials transportation on the territory of Uzbekistan”. The State organization “Qishloqkimyo” (Agriculture chemicals) is responsible for the transportation, handling and disposal of hazardous materials. The transportation of hazardous wastes shall be in specially designated types of vehicle with a waste transportation certificate and a permit.

According to The Resolution of the Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of Uzbekistan No 14. “On approval of the rule on the procedure for the development and agreement of projects with environmental standards”, MSW is classified as class 5 - non-hazardous waste.

The established norm of generation of MSW is 1.17 kg daily or 437.7 kg yearly per person¹⁸. On premise of the Rules for the provision of services for the collection and disposal of solid

17 SanPiN - 0128-02 29.07.02 - Hygienic classifier of industrial hazardous waste and SanPiN - 0127-02 29.07.02 – Sanitary procedures for industrial waste inventory, classification, storage and disposal.

18 SanPiN No. 0297-11 - Sanitary rules and norms of cleaning the territories of populated areas from MSW in the conditions of Republic of Uzbekistan

and liquid municipal waste (Annex No. 1 to the Resolution of Cabinet of Ministers No. 95 of 6 February 2019), MSW is collected by licenced waste collecting enterprises and buried in landfills.

Other relevant regulations and standards include:

- SanPiN № 0157-04 “Sanitary requirements to the storage and neutralization of solid domestic waste on special grounds in Uzbekistan.”
- SanPiN of the Republic of Uzbekistan dated 16/11/2011 № 0300-11 “Sanitary Rules and Standards for managing collection, inventory, classification, treatment, storage and disposal of industrial waste in the context of Uzbekistan
- SanPiN № 0158-04 - Sanitarian Rules and Norms on collection, transportation and disposal of wastes contained asbestos in Uzbekistan.
- Regulation on the Procedure for Handling Scrap, waste of non-ferrous and ferrous metals annexed to the Decree of Cabinet of Ministers dated 06/06/2018 No. 425
- Regulation “On the Procedure for the Disposal, Collection, Pay Settlement, Storage and Removal of Waste Industrial Oils” annexed to the Decree of the Cabinet of Ministers dated 04/09/2012 №258

3.8 Land rights, acquisition and resettlement

The following Laws are relevant to the Project:

- Civil Code of the Republic of Uzbekistan (1995) as amended on 12.10.2021
- Land Code of the Republic of Uzbekistan (1998) as amended on 17.08.2021
- Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan on State Land Cadastre No.666-I of 28.08.1998
- Presidential Decree № UP-5742 "On Measures for the Efficient Use of Land and Water Resources in Agriculture"
- Presidential Decree № UP-5495. Decree “On measures on cardinal improvement of investment climate in the republic of Uzbekistan"
- Resolution № 146 of the Cabinet of Ministers (2011) “On the Procedure for Compensation for Losses of Land Owners, Users, Tenants and Owners, as well as Losses of Agricultural and Forestry Production”
- Resolution № 911 of the Cabinet of Ministers (2019) “On the Procedure for withdrawal of land plots and compensation to owners of immovable property located on the land plot

Procedures for establishing a ROW in Uzbekistan are the same for legal entities and individuals. ROW or limited use of a land plot is determined in the Land Code (LC) of Uzbekistan, and Civil Code (CC) (under the term servitude), and also in the Resolution of Cabinet of Ministries No.911 dated on 16.11.2019.

Article 30 of the LC determines engineering, electrical power and other lines as a reason for receiving the right to servitude. Following Article 30 of the LC, Article 173 of the CC, and Article 30 of Annex 1 of the Resolution of Cabinet of Ministers No. 1060 dated December 29, 2018, servitude is established by agreement between persons demanding the establishment of servitude and the owner, user, lessee, proprietor of the land plot. If they do not achieve consent, the servitude shall be established by court decision at the user's claim. The

agreement on servitude shall be subject to state registration and shall be preserved when the land plot is transferred to another person. Servitude agreements can be terminated in cases of the cessation of the reason according to which it was established.

Article 173 of CC also states that the burdening of a land parcel by servitude does not deprive the owner of the parcel of the rights of possession, use, and disposition of this parcel.

Calculation and compensation of losses due to servitude agreement are performed under the Resolutions of Cabinet of Ministers No.146 from 25 May 2011 “On measures to improve the procedure for granting land plots for urban development activities and other non-agricultural purposes” and No. 911 from 16 November 2019 “On additional measures for enhancing modalities of providing compensation on withdrawal and allocation of land plots and safeguard the property rights legal and physical entities”.

Article 86 of the LC states that losses caused to the owners of land parcels, landowners, land users and lessees are liable to be fully refunded (including the lost profit) in the case of limitation of their rights in connection with electricity lines. Refunding of losses is carried out by enterprises, establishments and organizations to which land parcels are located and also by enterprises, establishments and organizations the activity of which causes limitation of rights of land parcel owners, landowners, land users and lessees or worsening the quality of the neighbouring lands in the order established by legislation.

Article 173 of the CC states that the owner of the parcel burdened with the servitude has the right, unless otherwise provided by a Law, to demand from the person in whose interests the servitude is established proportional payment for the use of the parcel.

3.9 Labour and employment

The labour policy in Uzbekistan is applied at the national government level and is reflected in the following laws, regulations, and national social programmes.

- Labour Code of the Republic of Uzbekistan 1995 as amended on 03.08.2021
- Law “On the employment of the population” No. 642 of 20.10.2020
- Joint Decree of the Ministry of Labor and Social Protection of the Population (No. 33 K / B) and the Ministry of Health of the Republic of Uzbekistan (No. 13) "On approval of the list of jobs with unfavorable working conditions, where the employment of persons under 18 years is prohibited", registered by the Ministry of Justice of the Republic Uzbekistan, dated July 29, 2009 No. 1990
- Decree No. 133 of 11 March 1997 to approve normative acts necessary for the realization of the Labour Code of the Republic of Uzbekistan
- Decree of the Cabinet of the Ministers No. 1011 of 22 December 2017 "On Perfection of the Methodology of Definition of Number of People in Need of Job Placement, including the Methodology for Observing Households with Regard to Employment Issues, also for the Development of Balance of Labour Resources, Employment and Job Placement of Population"
- Decree of the Cabinet of the Ministers No. 965 of 5 December 2017 "On the Measures of Further Perfection of the Procedure of Establishment and Reservation of Minimum Number of Job Places for the Job Placement of Persons who are in need of Social Protection and Face Difficulties in Searching Employment and Incapable of Competing in Labour Market with Equal Conditions"

- Decree No. 964 of 5 December 2017 "On the Measures for Perfection of the Activity of Self-Government Bodies Aimed at Ensuring Employment, Firstly for the Youth and Women"

As a member of the International Labour Organization (ILO) since 1992, Uzbekistan has ratified 17 ILO conventions, including the eight fundamental conventions (bold) as set out in Table 7.

Table 7: Labour Conventions ratified by Uzbekistan

Convention	Date
Universal Declaration of Human Right (1948)	1991
CCPR - International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966)	28-Sep-95
Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Intolerance and of Discrimination Based on Religion or Belief (1981)	30-Aug-97
EU Partnership and Cooperation Agreement (1996)	21-Jun -96
C029 - Forced Labour Convention, 1930 (No. 29)	13-Jul-92
P029 - Protocol of 2014 to the Forced Labour Convention, 1930	16-Sep-19
C087 - Freedom of Association and Protection of the Right to Organise Convention, 1948 (No. 87)	12-Dec-16
C098 - Right to Organise and Collective Bargaining Convention, 1949 (No. 98)	13-Jul-92
C100 - Equal Remuneration Convention, 1951 (No. 100)	13-Jul-92
C105 - Abolition of Forced Labour Convention, 1957 (No. 105)	15-Dec-97
C111 - Discrimination (Employment and Occupation) Convention, 1958 (No. 111)	13-Jul-92
C138 - Minimum Age Convention, 1973 (No. 138) Minimum age specified: 15 years	06-Mar-09
C182 - Worst Forms of Child Labour Convention, 1999 (No. 182)	24-Jun-08
C081 - Labour Inspection Convention, 1947 (No. 81)	19-Nov-19
C122 - Employment Policy Convention, 1964 (No. 122)	13-Jul-92
C129 - Labour Inspection (Agriculture) Convention, 1969 (No. 129)	19-Nov-19
C144 - Tripartite Consultation (International Labour Standards) Convention, 1976 (No. 144)	13-Aug-19
C047 - Forty-Hour Week Convention, 1935 (No. 47)	13-Jul-92
C052 - Holidays with Pay Convention, 1936 (No. 52)	13-Jul-92
C103 - Maternity Protection Convention (Revised), 1952 (No. 103)	13-Jul-92
C135 - Workers' Representatives Convention, 1971 (No. 135)	15-Dec-97
C154 - Collective Bargaining Convention, 1981 (No. 154)	15-Dec-97
CEDAW - Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women	19-Jul-95
C187 - Promotional Framework for Occupational Safety and Health Convention, 2006 (No. 187)	14-Sep-21

Measures to implement the requirements of these conventions into national law have been enacted via a national action plan, including a legal and institutional framework to prevent forced labour. The legislation of the Republic of Uzbekistan (Constitution, Labour Code, Law

on Employment) prohibited the use of child and forced labour. Article 7 of the Labour Code stipulates that forced labour, namely compulsion to perform work under the threat of some form of punishment (including as a means of labour discipline) is prohibited.

3.10 Cultural heritage

Legislation in Uzbekistan relating to archaeology and cultural heritage includes:

- The Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan № 269-II dated August 2001 “On the protection and use of the sites and objects of cultural heritage”
- Law on Protection and Use of The Objects of Archaeological Heritage (2009), No. ZRU-229
- Resolution of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan № RP-4068 dated December 19, 2018 “On measures for improving actions for the protection of material cultural heritage objects”
- Resolution of the Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of Uzbekistan № 846 dated October 4 2019 “On approval of the national list of real state sites and objects of material cultural heritage”

These laws offer a framework for protecting cultural heritage objects (CHO), which are the national property of the people of Uzbekistan, including sites, monuments, objects of tangible and intangible cultural heritage (ICH) and objects of archaeological heritage, through a system of state registration.

The relevant regional cultural heritage departments under the Ministry of Tourism and Sports issue field investigation permits and approve the scientific report for each permit issued. Local khokims and other district bodies may also hold local records of importance.

The Criminal Code of the Republic of Uzbekistan includes provisions that prohibit the intentional destruction or damage of objects of tangible cultural heritage under state protection¹⁹, with further protections in place to protect cultural property in PAs, in particular protected historical and cultural territories, without first obtaining permission.²⁰

3.11 National norms and standards for transmission projects

3.11.1 Set backs

A key standard relevant to the establishment of OHTL is SanPiN No.0350-17 “For the Protection of Atmospheric Air in Populated Areas of the Republic of Uzbekistan” (2017). This standard address health protection zones (HPZ), which may also be known as setbacks, for OHTL’s. According to SanPiN No.0350-17, section 2.23.4, an HPZ must be established to protect the population from the effects of an OHTL's electric field. HPZ’s are defined as the

¹⁹ Article 132 states that the causing significant or major damage shall be punishable by a fine, obligatory community service or by correctional labour up to three years.

²⁰ Code of the Republic of Uzbekistan on Administrative Responsibility

land along the route of a high-voltage OHTL in which the electric field strength exceeds 1 kV/m. For newly designed OHTL, buildings and structures must be set back the following distances either side of the OHTL.²¹

- 20 m for OHTL with a voltage of 330 kV
- **30 m for OHTL with a voltage of 500 kV**
- 40 m for OHTL with a voltage of 750 kV
- 55 m for OHTL with a voltage of 1150 kV

Other relevant national laws and regulations to OHTL projects include:

- Resolution of Cabinet of Ministers of Republic of Uzbekistan No.95 “On approval of general technical regulations of environmental safety” (2020)
- Decree of the Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of Uzbekistan No.1050 “On approval of Rules for Protection of Power Grid Facilities, 2018”
- SanPiN and Norms No. 0236-07 “Sanitary norms and rules to ensure safety for people living near high voltage power transmission lines, 2007”

Other relevant safety norms and standards include:

- Safety Rules for the Operation of Electrical Installations, approved by order of "UZGOSENERGONADZOR", 2006;
- Rules for Electrical Installations, approved by order of "UZGOSENERGONADZOR", 2004;
- KMK 3.01.02-00 Occupational Health and Safety in Construction Works;
- General Requirements of Fire Safety in the industrial sectors, approved by order of "UZGOSENERGONADZOR", 2004; and
- Fire Safety Rules for Energy Utilities, approved by order of "UZGOSENERGONADZOR", 2004.

3.11.2 Air quality

Ambient Air Quality Standards, or Maximum Permissible Concentrations (MPCs), established by SanPiN 0293-11 is given in Table 8.

Table 8: National Air Quality Maximum Permissible Concentrations (MPCs) according to SanPiN 0293-11

Parameter	MPC, (µg/m ³)			
	One-time (30 min)	Daily (24h)	Monthly	Annual
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂)	85	60	50	40
Nitrogen Oxide (NO)	600	250	120	60
Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂)	500	200	100	50
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	5000	4000	3500	3000
Inorganic Dust (Particulate Matter) ²²	150-500	100-350	80-200	50-150

²¹ The HPZ (set back) is defined as the distance from the outermost wires in a direction perpendicular to the OHTL.

²² There are no specific requirements for PM10 and PM2.5 in the national regulations. However, SanPiN 0293-11 contains MPCs related to the percentage of silicon dioxide in inorganic dust.

3.11.3 Noise

The local noise standards are established by SanPiN 0267-09 "Sanitary norms and rules on providing the allowable noise in premises of residential and public buildings and in the territory of residential buildings", which are applied to residential/commercial areas in Uzbekistan and SanPiN 0325-16 "Sanitary norms of permissible noise levels at workplaces" are used to protect personnel health at workplaces. The World Health Organisation (WHO) also provides broad guidance on suitable environmental noise levels for a range of receptors. Guideline values for community noise in specific environments are detailed in its publications 'Guidelines for Community Noise' and 'Environmental Health Criteria 12 - Noise'. Both standards are presented in Table 4 and are aligned. In addition, internal noise guideline values as set out by the WHO are also presented in Table 9.

Table 9: National and WHO Noise Limits²³ according to SanPiN 0267-09 and SanPiN 0325-16

Receptor/Source	National Noise Level Standards (LAeq in dBA) /WHO Guidelines Values	
	Day time (07.00-23.00) / (07.00-22.00)	Night time (23.00-07.00) / 07.00-22.00)
Residential area	55 /55	45 / 45
Industrial area	80	80
Internal environment (windows open or closed)	30	30

The WHO guideline values take into account the potential impact of noise on quality of life and other aspects considered to be necessary for physical and mental wellbeing.

Water quality standards given in the form of maximum permissible concentrations (MPC) are established by SanPiN № 0318-15 and have two categories: a) first category - for centralized or non-centralized drinking water supply; b) second category - for cultural and household needs of population, recreation and sports.

3.11.4 Electro-magnetic fields (EMF)

Council recommendation of 12 July 1999 on the limitation of exposure of the public to electromagnetic fields (0 Hz to 300 GHz) (1999/519/EC) (CD 1999) and IFC EHS General guideline refers to guidance from the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP), which establishes the following exposure levels for EMF: ^{24 25 26}

- public exposure to electric field as 5 kV/m and for magnetic fields 100 micro Tesla
- occupational exposure to electric fields as 10 kV/m and magnetic fields and 500 Tesla

CD 1999 also states that for compliance with CD 1999, consideration as to the significance of the time of exposure and the duration of exposure are important in considering risk.

SanPin 0331-16 "Sanitary rules and regulations for design, device, maintenance of residential houses in climatic conditions of Uzbekistan"²⁷ defines national standards on levels of EMF.

23 WHO Guidelines for Community Noise - <https://www.who.int/docstore/peh/noise/Comnoise-1.pdf>

24 ICNIRP (1998). Guidelines for limiting exposure to time-varying electric, magnetic and electromagnetic fields (up to 300 GHz). Health Phys, 74(4), 494-522

25 Application of ICNIRP Exposure Guidelines for 50 Hz Power Frequency Fields"

http://www.hpa.org.uk/webw/HPAwebandHPAwebStandard/HPAweb_C/1195733805036?p=1158934607693

26 EU, 1999. Council Recommendation on the limitation of exposure of the general public to electromagnetic fields (0 Hz – 300 GHz). Official Journal of the European Communities 1999/519/EC.

27 <https://ssv.uz/ru/documentation/sanpin-0331-16-sanitarnye-pravila-i-normy-proektirovaniya-ustrojstva-soderzhanija-zhilyhdomov-v-klimaticheskikh-uslovijah-uzbekistana>

These fully comply with international standards and in fact apply more stringent restrictions in relation to EMF in living areas; SanPiN # 0236-07 (2007) “Sanitarian Norms and Rules on safety for population living areas close to high voltage lines” specifies that for such areas, EMF is not to exceed 1.0 kV/m and magnetic fields 80 A/m (equivalent to 100 uT).

3.12 Permits and licences

Permits and licenses that may be required for the Project based on local legal requirements are listed in Table 10 below.

Table 10: Required permits and licenses

Permit / Required Activity	Permit Title	Issuing Authority	Implementing Law	Responsible Party for Obtaining License
Pre-construction				
Construction activities	Construction Permit	Khokimiyats of Project region	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Law “On licensing, permitting and notification procedures”, No. ZRU-701 of 14.07.2021; • Decree of the Cabinet of Ministers “On measures to further improve the procedures for providing free land plots for entrepreneurial and urban planning activities”, No. 1023 dated 12.20.2019. • 	NEGU
Construction activities	The Positive Conclusion of SEE for the national EIA report (Stage I and/or Stage II)	SCEEP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Law «On Nature Protection» (1992) • Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan “On Ecological Expertise” (2000) • Regulations "On the State Environmental Expertise" (SEE), approved by the Resolution of Cabinet of Ministers No. 541 “On further improvement of the environmental impact assessment mechanism” (2020) 	NEGU
Construction activities	Cultural Heritage Clearance	Ministry of Culture of Uzbekistan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Law on the Protection and Use of Cultural Heritage Objects (2001) 	NEGU
Cutting trees	Permit for cutting of those trees and bushes which are not included in forestry fund	SCEEP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administrative regulations of rendering the state services in issue of permission to the cabin of the trees and bushes which are not entering into the state forest fund according to appendix No. 	NEGU

Permit / Required Activity	Permit Title	Issuing Authority	Implementing Law	Responsible Party for Obtaining License
			1 of The Resolution of Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of Uzbekistan No. 255 (2018).	
Water use and water consumption	Permit for a special water use or water consumption	State Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan on Geology and Mineral Resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administrative regulations of rendering the state services in issue of permission to special water use or water consumption according to appendix No. 3 of The Resolution of Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of Uzbekistan No. 255 (2018). 	NEGU
Pre-commissioning				
Construction activities	The Positive Conclusion of SEE for the national EIA report (Stage III)	SCEEP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Law «On Nature Protection» (1992) Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan “On Ecological Expertise” (2000) Regulations "On the State Environmental Expertise" (SEE), approved by the Resolution of Cabinet of Ministers No. 541 “On further improvement of the environmental impact assessment mechanism” (2020) 	EPC contractor

3.13 Lender requirements

The Project must implement the E&S requirements of the EBRD as set out in the following:

- The European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD) Environmental and Social Policy 2019 (ESP 2019);
- EBRD Performance Requirements (PRs) and relevant Guidance Notes:²⁸
 - PR1 – Assessment and Management of Environmental and Social Risks and Impacts;
 - PR2 – Labour and Working Conditions;
 - PR3 – Resource Efficiency and Pollution Prevention and Control;
 - PR4 – Health, Safety and Security;

²⁸ EBRD ESP 2019 and Performance Requirements

- PR5 – Land Acquisition, Restrictions on Land Use and Involuntary Resettlement;
- PR6 – Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Management of Living Natural Resources;
- PR7 – Indigenous Peoples;
- PR8 – Cultural Heritage;
- PR10 – Information Disclosure and Stakeholder Engagement.
- World Bank Group (WBG) Environment, Health and Safety (EHS) Guidelines including:
 - WBG General EHS Guidelines (April 2007) which cover the four areas of environment; occupational health and safety (OHS); community health and safety (CHS); construction and decommissioning.
 - WBG EHS Guidelines Electric Power Transmission and Distribution (April 2007).

A summary of the overall objectives of each of the PRs is summarised below:²⁹

PR1 - Assessment and Management of Environmental and Social Impacts and Issues

- identify and evaluate environmental and social impacts and issues of the project
- adopt a mitigation hierarchy to address adverse environmental or social impacts and issues to workers, affected communities, and the environment from project activities
- promote the improved environmental and social performance of clients through the effective use of management systems
- develop an ESMS tailored to the nature of the project for assessing and managing environmental and social issues and impacts in a manner consistent with relevant PRs.

PR2 - Labour and Working Conditions

- respect and protect the fundamental principles and rights of workers
- ensure fair treatment, non-discrimination and equal opportunities of workers
- establish, maintain and improve a sound worker-management relationship
- promote compliance with any collective agreements to which the client is a party, national labour and employment laws
- protect and promote the safety and health of workers, especially by promoting safe and healthy working conditions
- prevent the use of forced labour and child labour (as defined by the ILO) as it relates to project activities.

PR3 - Resource Efficiency and Pollution Prevention and Control

- adopt the mitigation hierarchy approach to addressing adverse impacts on human health and the environment arising from the resource use and pollution released from the project

²⁹ EBRD ESP 2019

- avoid, minimise and manage the reduction of project-related greenhouse gas emission
- associated with hazardous substances and materials, including pesticides;
- identify, where feasible, project-related opportunities for resource efficiency improvements associated with hazardous substances and materials,
- including pesticides; and
- identify, where feasible, project-related opportunities for resource efficiency improvements

PR4 – Health, Safety and Security

- protect and promote the health, safety and security of workers by ensuring safe, healthy and secure working conditions and implementing a management system appropriate to risks associated with the project; and
- identify, assess, and manage health, safety and security risks to project-affected communities and consumers during the project life cycle from both routine and non-routine activities.

PR5 - Land Acquisition, Restrictions on Land Use and Involuntary Resettlement

- avoid involuntary resettlement or, when unavoidable, minimise involuntary resettlement by exploring feasible alternative project designs and sites;
- avoid forced eviction;
- mitigate unavoidable adverse social and economic impacts from involuntary resettlement on affected persons use of and access to assets and land by:
 - (i) providing timely compensation for loss of assets at full replacement cost
 - (ii) ensuring that land acquisition, restrictions on land use, other assets and natural resources and involuntary resettlement activities are implemented with meaningful consultation, participation, and disclosure of information, following the requirements of PR 10;
- restore or, where possible, improve the livelihoods and standards of living of affected persons compared to pre-displacement levels; and
- improve living conditions among physically displaced persons by providing adequate housing, including tenure security at resettlement sites.

With relevance to this Project, this PR applies to restrictions that result in people experiencing loss of access to land, assets, natural resources or livelihoods, irrespective of whether such rights of restriction are acquired through negotiation, expropriation, compulsory purchase, or using government regulation (EBRD PR5, para 6)

PR6 - Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Management of Living Natural Resources

- protect and conserve biodiversity using a precautionary approach
- adopt the mitigation hierarchy (avoid, minimise, offset) to achieve no net loss of biodiversity, and where appropriate, a net gain of biodiversity
- maintain ecosystem services; and
- promote GIP in the sustainable management and use of living natural resources.

PR7 – Indigenous People (IPs)

- ensure that projects fully respect the dignity, rights, aspirations, cultures, customary laws and livelihoods of indigenous peoples;
- both anticipate and avoid adverse risks and impacts of projects on the lives and livelihoods of indigenous peoples' communities or, when avoidance is not feasible, to minimise, mitigate, or compensate for such impacts;
- promote sustainable development benefits and opportunities for indigenous peoples and establish and maintain an ongoing relationship with IPs affected by the project, ensure the effective participation of indigenous peoples in the design of project activities or mitigation measures that could potentially affect them either positively or negatively; and
- ensure good-faith negotiation with indigenous peoples and obtain their free, prior, informed consent (FPIC).

PR8 - Cultural Heritage

- Support the protection and conservation of cultural heritage;
- Adopt the mitigation hierarchy approach to protecting cultural heritage from adverse impacts arising from the project;
- Promote the equitable sharing of benefits from the use of cultural heritage in business activities; and
- Where significant elements of cultural heritage are identified, promote the awareness, appreciation and enhancement of cultural heritage and potential socioeconomic benefits for local communities.

PR10 - Information Disclosure and Stakeholder Engagement

- outline a systematic approach to stakeholder engagement that will help clients build and maintain a constructive relationship with their stakeholders, particularly the directly affected communities.
- Provide means for effective engagement with the project's stakeholders throughout the project lifecycle.
- Ensure that appropriate environmental and social information is disclosed, and meaningful consultation is held with the project's stakeholders and where appropriate, feedback provided through the consultation is taken into consideration.
- Ensure that grievances from affected communities and other stakeholders are responded to and managed appropriately.

The EBRD is committed to promoting the adoption of European Union (EU) environmental principles, practices and substantive standards by EBRD-financed projects, where these can be applied at the project level, regardless of their geographic location. When host country regulations differ from EU substantive environmental standards, projects will be expected to meet whichever is more stringent. Key EU Directives include:

- EIA Directive (2011/92/EU as amended 2014/52/EU) on the assessment of the effects of certain public and private projects on the environment.
- Council Directive 2009/147/EC on the conservation of wild birds.³⁰

³⁰ <https://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/legislation/birdsdirective/>

- Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and wild flora and fauna (Habitats Directive).
- Directive on Environmental Quality Standards in the Water Policy 2008/105/EC.

In accordance with the EIA Directive, an EIA is mandatory for all projects considered to have significant effects on the environment and as listed in Annex I of the EIA Directive. Paragraph 20 refers to “Construction of overhead electrical power lines with a voltage of 220 kV or more and a length of more than 15 km”.³¹

The Project must also refer to relevant Good Industry Practice (GIP) including, but not limited to:

- Voluntary Principles on Security and Human Rights (est. 2000); (<http://www.voluntaryprinciples.org/>);
- United Nations Guiding Principles for “Protect, Respect and Remedy” Human Rights Framework (2011); (<https://www.business-humanrights.org/en/un-secretary-generals-special-representative-on-business-human-rights/un-protect-respect-and-remedy-framework-and-guiding-principles>);
- United Nations Code of Conduct for Law Enforcement Officials; and (<https://www.un.org/ruleoflaw/blog/document/code-of-conduct-for-law-enforcement-officials/>);
- United Nations Basic Principles on the Use of Force and Firearms by Law;
- Use of Security Forces: Assessing and Managing Risks and Impacts (February 2017);
- Worker's Accommodation: Processes and Standards (Guidance Note by IFC and EBRD, 2009); and
- Stakeholder Engagement: A Good Practice Handbook for Companies Doing Business in Emerging Markets, 2007.

Fundamental conventions and agreements (in addition to the ILO conventions mentioned in Table 7) signed and ratified by Uzbekistan relevant to the Project are listed in Table 11.

Table 11: Conventions relevant to the Project that have been ratified by Uzbekistan

Convention name
ENVIRONMENT / CLIMATE CHANGE
United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) (New York, 1992) (Official Gazette of RM no. 61/97) including Paris Agreement (joined April 2017)
United Nations Convention on Biological Diversity (Official Gazette of RM no. 54/97)
United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) (26/12/2006)
Convention on the Prohibition of Military or Any Other Hostile Use of Environmental Modification Techniques (05/26/1993)
Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and their Disposal (12/22/1995)
The Convention on the Protection and Use of Transboundary Watercourses and International Lakes
Convention Concerning the Protection of the World's Cultural and Natural Heritage (ratified 1993)

³¹ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32011L0092>

Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage. Paris (ratified 2008)
Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (07/01/1997)
Convention on the Conservation of the Migratory Species of Wild Animals (Bonn Convention) (05/01/1998)
Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially the Water Fowl Habitats of Aquatic Birds (Ramsar Convention) (1975) (ratified 2001)
Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context (Espoo, 1991) - the 'Espoo (EIA) Convention'
Vienna Convention for the Protection of the Ozone Layer (1985).
Montreal Protocol to Protect the Ozone Layer (including 1990 and 1999 amendments)
Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision Making and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters (Aarhus Convention) (Official Gazette of RM no. 40/99)

4 Baseline Description

4.1 Introduction

Baseline data collection to inform the impact assessment has been generated through a combination of approaches, including primary and secondary source information gathering.

- Primary source information: Project site visit observations including interviews, field surveys, consultation meetings, focus groups, and visual observations.
- Secondary source information: Desk-based review of laws, policies, reports from the relevant governmental and non-governmental institutions and existing national and international publicly available information data from websites and national EIAs.

The baseline data collection approach is underpinned by stakeholder consultation as set out in chapter 5.

This chapter provides baseline information on the following topics:

- Physical environment: Climate including precipitation temperature, humidity, wind, infiltration, climate vulnerability, topography; geology (including seismicity and other natural hazards), soils, water resources, air quality, environmental noise, transportation, communication and waste infrastructure.
- Socio-economic environment: land use, livelihoods and cultural heritage.
- Biological environment: protected areas, flora and habitats, avifauna, reptiles, and mammals.

4.2 Defining the AOI

The Project Area of Influence (AOI) is defined as the area over which the impacts of the Project are likely to be felt including all its related or associated (where applicable) facilities such as the transmission line corridor (including safety setbacks), water pipelines, access roads, borrow pits, accommodation facilities (where required) as well as any reasonably foreseen unplanned developments induced by the Project or cumulative impacts.

The Project AOI is comprised of areas of direct impacts and indirect impacts as follows:

- Direct area of influence: considers the physical footprint of the project such as the site layout, work staging area and area affected during construction and operation works (e.g. traffic patterns)
- Indirect area of influence: includes area which may experience project related changes in combination with activities not under the direct control of the project (e.g. inward migration, induced development, visitor influx, access to employment)

The Project direct AOI often varies depending on the specific environmental or social aspect considered based on the extent an impact may be affected and can be influenced on a spatial and temporal level. These are described in the individual topic specific sections below and have been informed by outcomes of the scoping process and stakeholder engagement during the ESIA data collection process. The AOI for each topic has informed the baseline data collection process and information described in this section.

4.3 Geographic overview

The Republic of Uzbekistan is located in the middle of Central Asia and surrounded by five landlocked countries: Kazakhstan to the north; the Kyrgyz Republic to the northeast; Tajikistan to the southeast; Afghanistan to the south, and Turkmenistan to the south-west. It has an area of 447,400 sq.km and is divided into 12 regions and one autonomic republic, the Republic of Karakalpakstan. The capital and the largest city of Uzbekistan is Tashkent.

The Project is located in the Provinces of Khorezm, Bukhara and Republic of Karakalpakstan (Lot 1) and Bukhara (Lot 2). Table 12 provides key facts for the four affected provinces.

Table 12: Geographic overview

Geography	Republic of Karakalpakstan (lot 1)	Khorezm (Lot 1)	Bukhara (Lot 1 & 2)
Location	Western of Uzbekistan	Northwest of Uzbekistan	Southwest of Uzbekistan
Total area	166.6 thousand km ²	6298.8km ²	41, 937 km ²
% of Uzbekistan	37.2 %	1.4 %	9.3%
Main city	Nukus	Urgench	Bukhara
Borders	Kazakhstan, Turkmenistan, Xorezm region, Bukhara region, Navoi region	RoK, Bukhara region, Turkmenistan	Khorezm region, Navoi region, Qashqadaryo Region

4.4 Physical baseline

4.4.1 Climatic conditions

Uzbekistan has an arid and continental climate characterised by large variations in temperature within days and between seasons. Large parts of the country (79% by area) feature flat topography either in the form of semi-desert steppes or desert zones, including desert areas in the far west that have formed due to the drying of the Aral Sea.

The majority of the territory of Uzbekistan is attributed to a moderate climate zone. According to the criteria of the UNESCO world map of desertification and the UN convention to combat desertification, the country has an aridity index from 0.03 to 0.20 which categorises it as an arid region, subject to intensive desertification and droughts. The southern part of the country is located in the arid subtropical climate zone. In the west, the climate is sharply continental with dry, hot summers and relatively cold snowless winters and a moisture deficit, with a significant excess of evaporation over precipitation. The remaining south-eastern areas have a continental climate, including the area covering the largest cities of Tashkent and Samarkand, and contain high mountains forming part of the Tien-Shan and Gissar-Alai Ranges.³² The current climate baseline is summarised below.³³ In general, temperature ranges vary across the country. Uzbekistan’s desert regions can reach maximum temperatures of 45-49°C, while minimum temperatures in the southern parts of the country can drop as low as -25°C.³⁴

³²<https://climateknowledgeportal.worldbank.org/sites/default/files/2021-09/15838-Uzbekistan%20Country%20Profile-WEB.pdf>

³³ Country profiles, NDC, climate tracker, sector specific risks, IEA database, baseline climate indicators, climate

³⁴ <https://tckctck.org/uzbekistan/bukhara/dzhankel-dy>

4.4.1.1 S-D OHTL

The AOI for Lot 1 has mid-latitude desert climate (Classification: BWk) characterised by hot summers and cold winters and is predominantly arid. The annual temperature is 18.29°C (64.92°F) ranging from 36°C in the summer to 2°C in the winter and is 0.62% higher than the average for Uzbekistan. At Sarimay, rainfall is recorded at about 7.7 millimetres (0.3 in) of precipitation and 22.49 rainy days (6.16% of the time) per year. The wind is predominantly from the west (Figure 40).

Figure 39: The mean monthly temperature and precipitation of Sarimay in recent years (Source: <https://tcktcktck.org/uzbekistan/khorezm/sarimay>)






Figure 40: Sarimay Wind direction (Source: Juru Energy)




Dzhankeldy typically has about 11.64 millimetres of rainfall and 27.15 rainy days (7.44% of the time) per year. The annual temperature in the area is 19.73°C ranging from 35 °C in the summer to as low as 5 °C in the winter. During the year, most of the precipitation falls in the winter-spring period: moreover, in spring the amount is about 50% of the annual amount.

Figure 41: The mean monthly temperature and precipitation of Dzhankeldy in recent years (Source: <https://tcktcktck.org/uzbekistan/bukhara/dzhankel-dy>)



Between July and September, little precipitation falls, essentially stopping the growth of vegetation during that period. The wind direction is predominantly from the west (Figure 42).

Figure 42: Wind direction in Dzhankeldy (Source: Juru Energy)




4.4.1.2 N-B-M OHTL

Lot 2 is located in Gizhduvan district of Bukhara province which has a mid-latitude desert climate (Classification: BWk). The district's yearly temperature is 20.17°C (68.31°F) ranging from 2 to 4 °C in the winter to 36 °C in the summer and it is 2.5% higher than Uzbekistan's averages. The absolute minimum to maximum temperature range is between -34 °C to 46


°C³⁵. Gizhduvan typically receives about 11.9 millimetres (0.47 inches) of precipitation and has 27.76 rainy days (7.61% of the time) annually.

Figure 43: The mean monthly temperature and precipitation of Gijduvan in recent years (Source: <https://tckctck.org/uzbekistan/bukhara/gizhduvan>)



The wind direction is predominantly South-west (Figure 44). Strong winds are frequent in February and June and are accompanied by dust and sandstorms.

Figure 44: Wind direction in Gizhduvan (Source: Juru Energy)



4.4.2 Climate projections

Uzbekistan is exposed to a range of weather-related extreme events, including dust storms, mudflows, floods, drought, and avalanches and is significantly threatened by climate change,

³⁵ <https://tckctck.org/uzbekistan/navoiy>

with serious risks already in evidence.³⁶ Historical trends indicate an increasing average temperature of 0.13°C per decade between 1901 and 2013, rising more steeply (0.51 °C per decade since 1983) with a temperature increase greatest at low altitudes and more prevalent during the winter months. The average number of days with a maximum of 40°C in the central part of the Kyzylkum desert has increased from 10 days in the 1950s to more than 20 days in 2016^{37 38}.

Uzbekistan climate projections, based on the Projections of the World Bank Climate Change Knowledge Portal, are as follows³⁹:

- Increase in annual mean temperature of 1.3 to 2.1°C by 2030, 1.8 to 3.3°C by 2050, and 2.0 to 5.4°C by 2085.
- Increase in annual maximum temperature of 2.1 to 6.3°C and increase in minimum temperature of 2.2 to 5.6°C by 2085.
- There will be an increase in long-lasting heat waves from three to nine days by 2030, between four and 17 days by 2050, and between six and 43 days by 2085.
- Anticipated change in total annual precipitation ranges from a decrease of three per cent to an increase of 12 % by 2030 and a decrease of 6 % to an increase of 18 % by 2085, with most projections showing an increase.
- Likely increased precipitation between November and April, with precipitation in other months remaining stable or decreasing slightly.
- Dry spells are expected to grow longer by up to four days by 2085.
- An overall increase in arid conditions due to changing precipitation patterns and increased temperatures.
- Increased in the intensity of heavy rain events by 3 to 11 % and frequency by 7 to 36 % by 2030, and in intensity by 7 to 23 % and frequency by 12 to 74 % by 2085.^{40,41}
- The number of hot days in Uzbekistan to increase by 28.6 days by 2040-2059, under an RCP 8.5 scenario.
- The number of tropical nights (minimum temperature above 20°C) is projected to increase over 31 days by 2040-2059, under a RCP 8.5 scenario⁴².

4.4.3 Topography

The Project AOI is represented by an extensive plain at an altitude of approximately 105 m above sea level. The OHTL ends at planned Dzhankeldy SS, where the Kuljuktai mountain ridge starts (Figure 45). The Kuljuktai mountain ridge is a mountainous island range in the

36 World Bank's Overview of Climate Change Activities in Uzbekistan (October 2013) <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/17550/855660WP0Uzbek0Box382161B00PUBLIC0.pdf?sequence=1&disAllowed=y>

37 Climate Service Center Germany. 2016. Climate-Fact-Sheet: Uzbekistan.

38 http://www.un-gsp.org/sites/default/files/documents/tnc_of_uzbekistan_under_unfccc_english_n.pdf The 3rd National communication of the Republic of Uzbekistan under the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change. Tashkent 2016.

39 <https://www.climatelinks.org/resources/climate-risk-profile-uzbekistan> Uzbekistan Climate risk profile, (17/02/2022)

40 Climate Service Center Germany. 2016 (https://www.climate-service-center.de/products_and_publications/fact_sheets/climate_fact_sheets/index.php.en)

41 Tashkent. 2016. Third National Communication of the Republic of Uzbekistan Under the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change.

42 RCP 8.5 is a high emission scenario also referred to as "business as usual".


central part of the Kyzylkum desert. The Kuldzhuktau ridge is 80 km in length. Its highest point is 789 m. The eastern part of the Kuldzhuktau is 685 m; on the western part, it is 466 m. The southern slopes are gentle, dissected by dry canyons, while the northern slopes are rocky and steep. A summary of the elevation data for both Lot 1 and Lot 2 is provided in Table 13.

Table 13: Summary elevation data of OHTLs


Line	Min, avg, max elevation (m)	Elev gain/loss:	Max slope:	Avg slope:
Sarimay-Dzhankeldy OHTL (Lot 1)	140, 174, 313	819m -651m	3.6% -2.7%	0.7%-0.5%
Navoi OHTL (Lot 2), 1 st segment ⁴³	259, 274, 290	41.1 m. - 47 m	6.4%. – 8.3%	1.8%. – 1.7%
Navoi OHTL (Lot 2), 2 nd segment ⁴⁴	259, 270, 284	17.2- 41.2 m	4.2%. – 4.2%	0.8%. – 1.3%

43 1st top line of Navoi OHTL LILO

44 2nd bot line of Navoi OHTL LILO




a)



b)

Figure 45: Elevation map of a) Sarimay-Dzhankeldy OHTL and b) Navoi OHTL⁴⁵


45 <https://en-gb.topographic-map.com/maps/lplv/Uzbekistan/>



a)



b)



c)

Figure 46: Elevation graph of a) Sarimay-Dzhankeldy OHTL, b) Navoi OHTL 1st segment, c) Navoi OHTL 2nd segment (Source: Google Earth)

4.4.4 Geology and Seismicity

4.4.4.1 Seismicity

Uzbekistan's territory is related to the active tectonic structure of the lithosphere of Western Tian-Shan, the development of which results in the formation of deep fault networks.⁴⁶ A considerable part of the territory of Uzbekistan belongs to the zone of seismic intensity VII (very strong) (MSK scale)⁴⁷.

46 Mavlyanova N. et al (2004): Seismic code of Uzbekistan. Proceedings 13th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering Vancouver, B.C., Canada. August 1-6, 2004. Paper No. 1611

47 Medvedev-Sponheuer-Karnik (MSK) scale. This is similar to the Modified Mercalli Intensity scale used in the United States and Europe.

Three seismic zones are identified in the western and central part of Uzbekistan, which could affect the project area: North-Kuldzhuktau-Turkestan, Predkyzykum and South-Tyan-Shan (Figure 47). The Project is located in an area of medium to high seismic hazard level with high values of Peak Ground Acceleration (PGA).⁴⁸

Figure 47: The seismic sources used for seismic zoning of OSR-2017 in Uzbekistan: seismo-generating zones (Source: Complex of general seismic zoning maps OSR-2017 of Uzbekistan. R.S.Ibragimov)





Figure 48: Uzbekistan seismic hazard map (WHO)⁴⁹



As a result of its location the Project is at a high risk of natural hazards specifically earthquakes and a low risk of landslides.


48 <https://thinkhazard.org/en/report/261-uzbekistan/EQ>

49 WHO 2019, Investing for a safe and healthy Uzbekistan WHO Health Emergencies Programme at the country level, source: The WHO E-Atlas of Disaster Risk for the European Region. Volume 1. Exposure to Natural Hazards. Version 2.0. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe; 2019.

4.4.5 Soils

A soil map for the general AOI is provided in Figure 49⁵⁰ and described further for each section below.

Figure 49: Soil map of the project area (Source: Institute of soil science)⁵¹



4.4.5.1 Lot 1

Sand and desert sand soils dominate the western part of the Bukhara region, where the Kyzyl-Kum is located, and the southern part, near the Uzbek-Turkmen border. Most of the AOI is represented by fixed and semi-fixed sands with poor vegetation. Part of the territory is covered by dunes (barchans); the eastern side, closer to Dzhankeldy and Kalaata villages, is covered by sandy gravel soils. Grey-Brown soils dominate the northern and eastern parts of the region. Takyr soils⁵², interlocked with grey-brown and sandy soils are formed in shallow depressions with high clay contents, which collect water.⁵³

50 Kulmatov, Rashid & Rasulov, A & Kulmatova, Dilafruz & Rozilhodjaev, B & Groll, Michael. (2015). The Modern Problems of Sustainable Use and Management of Irrigated Lands on the Example of the Bukhara Region (Uzbekistan). Journal of Water Resource and Protection. 07. 956-971. 10.4236/jwarp.2015.712078.

51 The explicit description of type of soil based on Figure 49 is provided below: Bukhara region 8 - Grayish-brown loam soils, weakly skeletal (weakly skeletal soils), moderate saline soil. Solonchak can be found in a complex with small takyrs, in places from 0.5 m gypsum 9 – Gray-brown desert weakly skeletal soil and weakly developed saline soils. Takir and gypsum occurs 36-Semi-fixed and loose sands and takyrs desert sandy sands with grey-brown soils. 4 - Desert sandy soils, non-saline, slight saline soils. There are weak solonchak, average solonchak in some places of the soils. Sometimes with takyrs and residual solonchaks. Khorezm region: 25 - Semi-fixed and loose sands and takyrs desert sandy sands with grey-brown soils. Karakalpakstan: 36- Semi-fixed and loose sands and takyrs desert sandy sands with grey-brown soils

52 Takir (Takyr) meaning "smooth, even, or bare", is a type of relief occurring in the deserts of Central Asia, similar to a salt flat in the southwestern United States (Wikipedia - February 2022)

53 Kulmatov, A. Rasulov, D. Kulmatova, B. Rozilhodjaev, M. Groll The Modern Problems of Sustainable Use and Management of Irrigated Lands on the Example of the Bukhara Region (Uzbekistan).


Lot 2

The area consists of sandy fine-textured soils containing a large amount of gypsum. Anthropogenic impacts have been observed as a result of seasonal livestock grazing (livestock waste was observed).

4.4.5.2 Field data (Lot 1 and Lot 2)

Soil samples were taken from five locations across both Lot 1 and Lot 2 as depicted in Figure 50 and analysed for metal and organic concentrations. A full set of soil analysis results are presented in Volume III, Technical Annexes.

Figure 50: Soil sample locations (Source: Juru Energy)



These soils have a humus content of about 0.5% and nitrogen content between 0.04% and 0.05%. Lacking both humus and nutrition elements, these soils are considered to have a low overall natural fertility characterised by limited vegetation and thus highly susceptible to increased degradation and deflation.

The results of the chemical analysis have been compared with the national standard SanPiN № 0191-05 “Maximum permissible concentrations (MPC) and are within permitted limits except for copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), chromium (Cr) and nickel (Ni).

The soil chemistry of the Kyzylkum Desert is well-known to have a high concentration of metals such as Cu, Zn, Cr and Ni arising from the exploration, development and extraction of mining reserves in the Kyzylkum Desert and the wider area of Lot 1.

The pH of the soil ranges between 7.1 – 7.4 which means the soil along the OHTL are neutral and slightly alkaline soils in nature. The sample obtained at Lot 2 (S5) is also characterised

by a high content of nitrates compared to the other samples indicating a slightly higher natural fertility over Lot 1.

Land-use information was observed and recorded during fieldwork and is reported in section 4.5 and can be summarised as uncultivated / modified and subject to limited livestock grazing.

4.4.6 Air quality

There are no significant anthropogenic air quality sources in the Project AOI and the airshed is not considered degraded (see section 4.4.6.1). The most significant factor influencing the atmospheric environment in the Kyzylkum desert region are the frequent short-term dust storms in the spring, summer and autumn seasons; such events result in periods of high particulate matter (PM) concentrations in the air and high dust deposition rates once the wind drops. Research⁵⁴ indicates that the causes of dust storms in the Kyzylkum are storms and intensifying agricultural practices leading to desertification. Further baseline information on climate trends, including trends relevant to dust storms, is provided in 4.4.1.

4.4.6.1 Field data (Lot 1 and Lot 2)

Ambient air quality monitoring was conducted by Uzhydromet⁵⁵ from November 12 to 14, 2021 at six representative receptors along the OHTL route to provide baseline ambient concentration of nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), sulphur dioxide (SO₂), carbon dioxide (CO₂), total suspended particles (TSP) made up from PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ particles⁵⁶ (Figure 52). Meteorological conditions (temperature, pressure, and wind speed) were also recorded during the monitoring campaign.

Figure 51: Spatial distribution of severe and very severe dust storms in Middle Asia (source term), 2013. Darker colour represents higher intensity. ⁵⁷

54 Desert Dust and Health: A Central Asian Review and Steppe Case Study - Scientific Figure on ResearchGate. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Spatial-distribution-of-severe-and-very-severe-dust-storms-in-Middle-Asia-2013-Darker_fig2_320845317 [accessed 21 Dec, 2021]

55 Environmental Pollution Monitoring Department of Uzhydromet (EMPD), Air pollution monitoring department (APMD)

56 PM_{2.5} - fine inhalable particles, with diameters that are generally 2.5 micrometers and smaller. PM₁₀ : inhalable particles, with diameters that are generally 10 micrometers and smaller

57 Note: stress is on severity rather than town name





Figure 52: Air monitoring locations (AQ1 – at existing Sarimay Substation, AQ2 –near Nukus mahalla, AQ3 – near Dzhankeldy village, AQ4 – at planned Dzhankeldy location, AQ5 – at herder’s building, AQ6 – near the railway (Source: Juru Energy)



The results of the ambient air monitoring are presented in Table 14 below. These results have been compared with Maximum Permissible Concentrations (MPC) established by Uzbekistan and EU air quality standards and indicate that the air quality in the AOI is below MPC and EU daily average values. Monitoring reports and supporting information are provided in Volume III Technical Annex.

Table 14: Ambient air quality monitoring results 58

Pollutant		NO ₂ mg/m ³	SO ₂ mg/m ³	CO mg/m ³	Dust (TSP)	Wind Speed m/s	Wind Direction
MPC One Time (mg/m ³)		0.085	0.5	5	NA	NA	NA
MPC, 24 hrs (mg/m ³)		0.06	0.2	4			
EU AQ Directive (24 hours)		50	0.125 MG/M3	10 (mg/m ³)	0.05 mg/ m ³ (PM10) /		
Location	Time	NO ₂ mg/m ³	SO ₂ mg/m ³	CO mg/m ³	Dust (TSP)	Wind Speed m/s	
12th November 2021							
AQ_5	08:30	0.01	0.002	1.5	0.25	1.5	N
	12:37	0.0	0.000	0.6		0	-
	14:50	0.02	0.005	2.1		0.5	S
Daily Average		0.02	0.002	1.4	-	-	-
AQ_6	09:20	0.05	0.000	3.8	0.1	1.9	N
	11:50	0.02	0.005	2.8		1.2	NE
	15:40	0.03	0.000	4.8		0.7	SW
Daily Average		0.03	0.002	3.8	-	-	-
13th November 2021							
AQ_4	09:30	0.03	0.000	0.9	0.1	0	-
	11:35	0.02	0.000	0.7		4.5	SW
		0.01	0.004	2.3		1.4	W
Daily Average		0.02	0.001	1.3	-	-	-
AQ_3	10:10	0.01	0.002	1.6	0.1	3.4	NW
	12:25	0.00	0.000	1.0		2.9	NW
	14:35	0.00	0.002	2.6			
Daily Average		0.005	0.002	3.6	-	-	-
14th November 2021							
AQ_1	09:05	0.01	0.002	3.6	0.15	0	SE
	12:20	0.05	0.006	2.1		4.5	W
	15:00	0.01	0.003	1.8		1.4	W
Daily Average		0.02	0.003	2.5	-	-	-
AQ_2	09:40	0.02	0.012	2.3	0.17	3.7	SW
	12:50	0.00	0.012	3.9		3.4	W
	15:35	0.02	0.005	4.7		3.3	W

58 <https://ec.europa.eu/environment/air/quality/standards.htm>

Pollutant	NO ₂ mg/m ³	SO ₂ mg/m ³	CO mg/m ³	Dust (TSP)	Wind Speed m/s	Wind Direction
MPC One Time (mg/m ³)	0.085	0.5	5	NA	NA	NA
MPC, 24 hrs (mg/m ³)	0.06	0.2	4			
EU AQ Directive (24 hours)	50	0.125 MG/M3	10 (mg/m ³)	0.05 mg/ m ³ (PM10) /		
Location	Time	NO ₂ mg/m ³	SO ₂ mg/m ³	CO mg/m ³	Dust (TSP)	Wind Speed m/s
Daily Average	0.06	0.2	4.0 \	-	-	-

4.4.7 Environmental noise


The general character of the AOI can be described as rural and quiet, with low populated villages and scattered herder camps. Baseline noise levels are relatively low and only influenced by weather and occasional road noise, particularly near the Sarimay substation.

4.4.7.1 Field data (Lot 1 and Lot 2)

A baseline noise survey was conducted from November 12 to 16, 2021 at five noise-sensitive receptor locations⁵⁹ along the OHTL routes to confirm the background noise condition (see Figure 53). Meteorological conditions (temperature, pressure, and wind speed) were also recorded during the monitoring campaign. No noise-generating activities are expected during the night-time (7 pm to 7 am) during construction, and the OHTL is not expected to generate any significant noise during the operations phase; therefore, no night-time noise monitoring was performed. The monitoring campaign ran for a total of five days (one day at each location). The full noise baseline report is provided in Volume III, Technical Annexes. The monitoring confirmed that baseline noise values at the representative locations are between 35 and 40 dB(A).

⁵⁹ N1 – at existing Sarimay, N2 –Substation near Nukus mahalla, N3 – near Dzhankeldy village, N4 – at planned Dzhankeldy location, N5 – at herder’s building


Figure 53: Noise monitoring locations (Source: Juru Energy)



4.4.8 Geology and hydrogeology

Geologically, the area is formed mainly by shale-limestone sediments. On the outskirts, there are Jurassic, Cretaceous and Paleogene sedimentary strata, with aeolian sands occurring in places on the surface. In Khorezm and Bukhara, groundwater is typically shallow ranging from 0.7 to 2.5m. Thirteen major hydrogeological zones (Figure 54) have been established in Uzbekistan in order to estimate regional (explored) groundwater reserves.

Figure 54: Hydrogeological map of Uzbekistan⁶⁰




Groundwater forms a significant part of Uzbekistan's water resources. On average, groundwater supplies 10% of the total water consumption in the country. It is used as the main source for drinking and municipal water supply, industrial use, and in some cases land irrigation. Groundwater comes from rock fractures and karsts in mountainous areas and on the plains from artesian basins (aquifers). Groundwaters are fed and formed by filtration channel losses from watercourses, infiltration of irrigation water and precipitation. As of January 2015, the available supply of fresh and brackish groundwater is estimated at 6.147 km³/year. In arid zones, such as the Project area, there is no natural runoff to the ocean, and therefore all precipitation is used up through evapotranspiration. About 5 to 22.5% of atmospheric precipitation is engaged in groundwater formation in Uzbekistan⁶¹.

The research Institute of Hydrogeology and Engineering Geology (HYDROENGEО) of Uzbekistan estimates groundwater recharge in Uzbekistan is around 23 km³/year.

60 Goskompriroda of Uzbekistan [State Committee for Nature Protection of the Republic of Uzbekistan], Conditions of environment and use of natural resources in Uzbekistan (2002-2004), National report. Tashkent, 2005

61 Borisov V.A., Vavlenko L.I., Musaeв T.P., Sultanova D.G., Index assessment of quality of drinking groundwater of Uzbekistan. Conference on drinking water supply and ecology, Tashkent, Uzbekistan, 2002

Figure 55: Salinity, schematic distribution and withdrawal of groundwater resources in Uzbekistan⁶²



There are four recognized groundwater aquifers in Karakalpakstan: (i) the lower Amudarya aquifer, extending in both right and left bank of the Amudarya; (ii) the Karakalpak aquifer in the left bank of the river; (iii) the Khorezm aquifer; and (iv) the Turtkul aquifer. Typically the aquifers are saline. In Khorezm and Bukhara, the lands are typically poorly drained, and groundwater levels lie close to the surface, causing soil salinisation.

4.4.9 Water resources

Water resources in the Uzbekistan comprise the Amudarya and Syrdarya rivers (55%), runoff of small rivers (33%), underground waters (around 10%), and collector/drainage waters (2%). Around 10% and 8% of the Syrdarya and Amudarya rivers flow, respectively, are formed on the territory of Uzbekistan. The natural hydrological regime of the Amudarya and Syrdarya river basins is disturbed due to the construction of reservoirs and water diversion into canals for irrigation and drainage water disposals.

The main consumer of available water resources is irrigated agriculture which takes up to 90% of the total water consumption in some years. Underground water resources are used mainly for drinking and municipal water supply and industry. Only a small portion of groundwater is used for land irrigation.

With the development of irrigated agriculture and disposal of drainage water beyond irrigated lands, large drainage water disposal lakes have been formed, such as Dengizkul, Sarikamysh, Sudochie, Aidar - Amasay system of lakes. There are 56 artificial reservoirs operating in the country, intended mainly for irrigation and electric energy generation.

Surface water resource availability represents an overarching challenge for Central Asia, particularly for Uzbekistan. Of the total 123 cubic kilometres of water resources of the Aral Sea

62 Goskompriroda of Uzbekistan [State Committee for Nature Protection of the Republic of Uzbekistan], Conditions of environment and use of natural resources in Uzbekistan (2002-2004), National report. Tashkent, 2005

Basin (ASB), only 9% originates within Uzbekistan, highlighting its high water dependency. The country relies on the Amu Darya and Syr Darya rivers and their tributaries which originate in upstream riparian countries (Afghanistan, Kyrgyz Republic and Tajikistan). As these countries continue to develop their water resources for hydropower, the importance of transboundary water cooperation for sustainable development, food security and livelihoods in Uzbekistan becomes increasingly critical.⁶³

The main waterways in the indirect AOI are:

- Amudarya river (Khorezm province)
- Zarafshan river (Navoi province)
- Zarafshan river (Bukhara province)

The Zarafashan river is an inflow of Amudarya river and is approximately 877 km long. It is primarily used for irrigation. The Amudarya river is partially regulated by a series of dams for hydroelectric production, reservoirs used for agriculture (98%), and partly for industry and drinking water supply (up to 2%).

One of the main sources of this river, the Zeravshan glacier in northern Tajikistan, has seen a significant and accelerating reduction in size over the past century.³⁴ The Zarafshan river is currently used for extensive irrigation in the vicinity of Samarkand and Bukhara, which would be negatively affected if river flow were to weaken in the coming decades. These results are supported by additional projections undertaken by Sutton et al. (2013)⁴⁴ that predict there could be significant water shortfalls by the 2040s in the Syr Darya East (shortfall of 51.6% of total irrigation demand), Syr Darya West (34.4%) and Amu Darya (28.9%) basins.⁶⁴

Nowadays Zarafshan River is one of the most polluted rivers of Central Asia. The water quality of this river has been changed by irrigation water and wastewater discharged to the river from Samarqand, Kattakurgan, Navoi, and Bukhara cities. Mineralisation of water increases from the origin of the river to the sea from 0.27-0.30g/l to 1.5-1.6g/l.⁶⁵

The AOI for Lot 1 does not contain any permanent natural surface water features. The Amudarya is the nearest permanent large river and is located approximately four kilometres west of the Sarimay SS. There are no nearby lakes or ponds and the area is not associated with flooding. In addition, the ESIA site visit did not find any evidence of dried river beds or other signs of ephemeral rivers or streams.

Two municipal water pipelines run from Sarimay to Zarafshan, broadly following the road along which the OHTL will route. A water pumping station is located approximately 20 km from the Sarimay SS, and water access points are periodically spaced along the entire water pipeline route to provide access to water for livestock farmers in the area (refer to Figure 57). A third water pipeline is currently under construction. Villages along the route (Kalaata, Dzhankedly) source their water from groundwater boreholes in the villages.

63 <https://www.adb.org/projects/53120-002/main#project-pds>

64 <https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/15838-Uzbekistan%20Country%20Profile-WEB.pdf>

65 Analyses and monitoring of water resources (quantity and quality) of the Zerafshan river basin. Inom Sh. Normatov, Makhmadrezbon T. Idiev, Nuriddin Fayz, Oliver Olsson, Christian Opp (<https://iwra.org/member/congress/resource/PAP00-4837.pdf>)

Figure 56: Water pumping station (Source: Juru Energy site visit)



Figure 57: Water stations (Source: Juru Energy site visit)



For Lot 2, there are no permanent surface water features and no evidence of ephemeral streams or rivers in the AOI. The nearest major surface water feature is the Ayakagitma lake, located approximately 5km from the planned OHTL. In addition to being an important location for biodiversity, this lake is used for irrigation and municipal water supply. It is likely to be the primary water source for the construction works in this area. Lot 2 is not located in a flood plain.

4.4.10 Traffic and transportation infrastructure

Uzbekistan is doubly landlocked (as similarly, landlocked countries surround it) and suffers from deteriorating road infrastructure and cumbersome logistics processes. In 2018, the country ranked 99th out of 160 countries in its logistics performance. The low ranking (120th) for timeliness of international shipments is attributable mainly to Uzbekistan's landlocked location and inadequate logistics facilities and processes. The ranking for quality of infrastructure remains low (77th) in 2018, although an improvement from 99th in 2016.⁶⁶

Uzbekistan has an asphalted national road system and its road design standards originate from standards that prevailed in the former Soviet Union; they do not adequately reflect economic considerations, such as traffic volumes and loads, or adequately address emerging issues such as road safety and climate change mitigation and adaptation. Uzbekistan's more densely trafficked international and national roads suffer from a backlog in periodic maintenance and rehabilitation. The share of roads in poor condition is rising, and many are highly trafficked roads.


The tarmacked highway (A380) that runs in a Northwest/Southeast direction West of Lot 1 is the major highway between the cities of Nukus and Bukhara and is expected to be the main access route for road based deliveries from outside the immediate AOI.

The road along the section that runs parallel to the OHTL is an unsurfaced single lane track that shows significant deterioration, including potholes and cut-outs. It requires substantial rehabilitation and maintenance.

⁶⁶ World Bank. 2018. The Logistics Performance Index and its Indicators. Washington, DC.

The nearest railway station Dautepa is approximately 8 km from Project AOI for Lot 1. As for Lot 2 the train station stop № 139 is located at railway road within the Project AOI. No airfields are identified within 20 km of the Project AOI. Equipment not delivered entirely by road will be routed via the nearest railway station and then along the A380 to the Sarimay SS.

Figure 58: Location of the closest airports (Source: Juru Energy)



4.4.10.1 Field data (Lot 1 and Lot 2)

Indicative traffic counts along the A380 at N1 and N2 locations are provided in Table 15 and show relatively low traffic volumes in the area around the Sarimay SS where equipment will be delivered. Data also indicates there is little variation according to the time of day.


Table 15: Summary of traffic counts on the A380 near Sarimay SS (Source: Juru Energy)

Date	Duration	HGV (total vehicles)	Cars (total vehicles)	Van (total vehicles)
N 1 Sarimay	9:00 – 10:00	48	47	24
	14:00-15:00	42	46	21
	15:00- 16:00	33	40	19
	16:00-17:00	44	41	22
N 2 Sarimay	7:00-8:00	28	83	43
	8:00-9:00	32	45	13
	9:00-10:00	30	67	35
	10:00-11:00	32	52	15
	11:00-12:00	32	52	15
	12:00-13:00	28	50	31
	13:00-14:00	30	45	24
	14:00-15:00	32	53	14
	15:00-16:00	20	32	5
	16:00-17:00	60	134	31

4.4.11 Communications

Mobile networks in Uzbekistan are available only in villages, and their working zones are not distributed in unpopulated areas. However, it is possible to use 3G and 4G in some parts of the Project AOI (Lot 1 and Lot 2); see Figure 59. Figure 59 represents the coverage areas for Uzmobil as the provider with the best coverage in the AOI. There is a landline that runs along highway A380.

Figure 59: Mobile network coverage: a-3G, b-4G (Source: Uzmobile website)



4.5 Socio-economic baseline

4.5.1 Introduction

The proposed route crosses Khorezm (Tuprokkala district) and Bukhara regions (Peshku district and Gijduvan district). There are four communities located near to the Sarimay substation (Nukus and Sarimay villages) and in Peshku district (Kalaata and Dzhankeldy villages). All of these villages are considered to be in the wider area of impact of Lot 1 (“AOI communities”).

The Navoi LILO (Lot 2) is located in Gijduvan district of Bukhara province, and the nearest village is near Ayakagitma lake (Agitma village). Lot 2 is approximately 60 km from the nearest cities (Shofrikon and Gijduvan cities). The nearest villages are considered too far from the Lot 2 Project site to be impacted ⁶⁷.

To create a profile of AOI communities JE conducted a socio-economic survey of households in the AOI communities from November 15-21, 2021. A sample of 20% was taken of the overall number of existing households in each community. Table 16 below demonstrates the sample sizes.

Table 16: Survey sampling for AOI communities

No	Name of community/Village	Overall number of households	Proposed number of households for survey (as planned)	Surveyed number of households
1	Nukus community	298	59	60
2	Sarimay village	608	121	121
3	Dzhankeldy village	157	31	30
4	Kalaata village	20	4	4
5	Total	1,083	215	215

The results of the survey are summarised in the sections below. Please note, that Dzhankeldy and Kalaata villages are actually part of the same community that has been split. Since the number of surveyed households in Kalaata village is relatively small unless specifically mentioned, the results of the Kalaata village surveys have been combined with Dzhankeldy village results in the following sections.

4.5.2 Demographics

Uzbekistan is a country of 34,232,050 people ⁶⁸. Although the country has a large population it also has large areas of the country that are sparsely populated. As of January 2021, the population in Khorezm region was 1,893,000 people ⁶⁹ and as of April 2020, the population in Bukhara region was 1,929,100 people. The breakdown of the population of the four communities in the indirect AOI is provided in Table 17 below.

Table 17: Population of AOI communities

No	Name of community/Village	Total population	Families	Households
----	---------------------------	------------------	----------	------------

⁶⁷ Agitma village may benefit from a small amount of employment as a result of the Project.

⁶⁸ <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.TOTL?locations=UZ>

⁶⁹

https://www.xorazmstat.uz/uz/?preview=1andoption=com_dropfilesandformat=andtask=frontfile.downloadandcatid=306andid=1422andItemid=1000000000000

1	Sarimay village	3,074	848	608
2	Nukus village	1,445	342	298
3	Dzhankeldy and Kalaata village	753	n/r	157 in Dzhankeldy/20 in Kalaata
4	Total	5,272		

Note: n/r – Information was not provided by the khokimiyat.

The gender distribution is fairly consistent across the three AOI districts, with slightly more men than women. Table 18 provides the gender distribution of the three AOI districts.

Table 18: Gender distribution of the AOI districts

Districts	Population	Male (%)	Female (%)
Tuprokkala district	56,300	27,736 (52%)	28,564 (48%)
Peshku district	126,111	62,910 (52%)	63,211 (48%)
Gijduvan district	308,826	156,724 (51%)	152,102 (49%)

The gender distribution for the four AOI communities follows closely that of the three AOI districts. Table 19 provides the gender distribution of the four AOI communities.

Table 19: Gender % of the AOI communities

Communities	Population	Male (%)	Female (%)
Nukus village	1,445	730 (51%)	715 (49%)
Sarimay village	3,074	1558 (52%)	1516 (48%)
Dzhankeldy and Kalaata villages	753	377 (51%)	376 (49%)

4.5.3 Economy, Employment and Livelihoods

The economy of Khorezm region is primarily based on agriculture (cultivating cotton, fruits, vegetables and rice crops). Its industry is also heavily oriented to cotton, with cotton refining, cottonseed oil extraction and textiles predominating. As per the annual report of the Statistics Committee (2021), the gross regional product (GRP) for 2017-2020 amounted to 21.6 trillion UZS (an increase of 17% from the previous period). The textile, automotive, electrical and food industries, tourism, services, and greenhouse facilities were identified as the main "points of growth" in the Khorezm region⁷⁰.

Bukhara region has significant natural resources, especially natural gas, oil, graphite, bentonite, marble and limestone. The extraction and processing of oil, natural gas and precious metals occupy a significant place in the region's economy. The Bukhara region has one of the largest fuel and energy industries in Central Asia. Additionally, the textile industry and traditional Uzbek crafts are also widespread. According to Bukhara Statistics Department data (2020), the volume of the GRP in Bukhara region amounted to 30,758.1 billion UZS, which is an increase of 101.8 % from the previous period. Of this agriculture, forestry and fisheries made up the largest percentage of GRP (46.4%) followed by services (27.7%)⁷¹.

The agricultural sector plays a vital role in Tuprokkala district's economy. Raising livestock is also important for the economy. Tuprokkala district contributes to a large amount of the GRP

⁷⁰

https://www.xorazmstat.uz/uz/?preview=1andoption=com_dropfilesandformat=andtask=frontfile.downloadandcatid=309andid=1785andItemid=1000000000000

⁷¹ Bukhara region Statistics department (2020)

of Khorezm region, in the agricultural sector. The amount provided by Tuprokkala district towards Khorezm region's GRP was 3.524 trillion UZS in in 2020⁷².


There are plans to create an industrial zone specializing in the production of building materials, in Peshku district ⁷³. In addition, two wind farms are planned to be constructed in Peshku and Gijduvan districts ⁷⁴.

Gijduvan district is famous for skilled potters. The artisanal ceramic products are sold internationally using traditions passed down from the Narzullayev dynasty. The district has factories that make leather shoes, clothes and bedding, as well as cotton and silk yarn production. The district also grows fruit and vegetables⁷⁵.

The main occupation of villagers in the AOI communities is grazing livestock. These people tend to work for subordinate organisations of the State Committee for Development of Sericulture and Wool Industry, to graze livestock. Only a few community members, that have attained higher education, work for nearby large industries as engineers. In Nukus and Sarimay villages internal migration (to other regions of the country) is another key source of employment. Men are occupied mainly in construction work within the region. Women are employed in the education sector in school and preschool education.

Figure 60 provides information on the occupation of the household head in Sarimay village. The majority of respondents (60%) stated the household head was employed in the government (which will include grazing livestock for the Committee for Sericulture and Wool Industry Development) or private sector, whereas nearly 9% of respondents stated they are self-employed in their own farms or farms owned by a non-family member. Business owners make up 8% of respondents. Registered unemployed people make up 6% of the population while those that are not registered unemployed make up another 4%.

Figure 60: Occupations in Sarimay village



Governmental or private sector employment makes up nearly 47% of the occupations of Nukus village, while just below than 2% of the local people are self-employed on their own farm or farms owned by a non-family member. Retired people are estimated at 10% of the

72 Official website of Tuprokkala municipality <http://www.tuproqqalatamani.uz/site/view?code=Tuprokkala-tumanida-2020-jilda-3-trln--524-mlrd--sum-kijmatdagi-istemol-tovarlari-islam-cikarildi>

73 <https://www.lexology.com/library/detail.aspx?g=852105d9-5a6c-4b70-a803-58973cefd8c5>

74 <http://tashkenttimes.uz/economy/6480-two-wind-farms-to-be-built-in-bukhara-province>

75 <https://folkceramic.uz/muzej-keramiki>

population. There are more than 31% of people who are unemployed and actively searching job opportunities, whereas unemployed people that are not looking for a job opportunity (registered unemployed) make up 2%.

Figure 61 Occupations in Nukus village





Figure 62 illustrates occupations of respondents from Dzhankeldy and Kalaata villages. The majority of Dzhankeldy and Kalaata residents (84%) are employed by the government or private sector, whilst nearly 6% are pensioners. A total of 4% of residents are registered unemployed.

Figure 62: Occupations in Dzhankeldy and Kalaata villages



Survey respondents were asked how COVID-19 had impacted their livelihoods and 52% of respondents from Sarimay villages and 89% of respondents from Dzhankeldy and Kalaata villages stated they had not been affected. In Sarimay 44% and in Dzhankeldy and Kalaata 11% stated their income had declined. Five respondents (1.2%) in Sarimay stated they had lost all sources of income and 2% of respondents in Sarimay stated their income had grown as a result of COVID-19 impacts. Information on COVID-19 impacts was not reported for Nukus village ⁷⁶.

⁷⁶ From socioeconomic survey responses.

4.5.4 Land use, agriculture and natural resources

In Uzbekistan 62.6% of the total land area is agricultural land. The land can be broken down further into 10.1% arable land, 0.8% permanent crops and 51.7% permanent pastureland. Uzbekistan also has 7.7% forest land ⁷⁷. Much of the land in the AOI districts is rural. For example, in Tuprokkala district 95% of the population lives in rural areas.

Initial baseline observations determined that the land along the OHTL is used by local herders for grazing activities (see Figure 65). No social structures, other than shepherd huts, were identified. The infrastructure in the AOI includes local transmission lines, underground water pipelines, communication lines, and a gas pipeline. To monitor and provide technical services to the water pipeline, local water stations are placed at a distance of every 500 m to 1 km along the pipeline (Figure 63 shows an example of a water station checkpoint). Some of these water stations have a water pump and/or borehole that can be used by herders to water their animals (see Figure 66).

Figure 63: Water station checkpoint



⁷⁷ Statistics are from 2018. https://www.indexmundi.com/uzbekistan/land_use.html

Figure 64: Emergency water drainage



Figure 65: Herder



Figure 66: Water pump boreholes for livestock




Survey respondents from the AOI communities were asked if they used the Project area and if so, what they used it for. The responses are provided in Table 20. Grazing is the predominant land use in the AOI, in particular for residents of Nukus village (96% of respondents). Some agricultural and cultural practices are also stated as being undertaken, although these were not directly observed in the AOI.

Table 20: AOI communities use of Project land

Land use	Sarimay (%)	Nukus (%)	Dzhankeldy and Kalaata (%)
Grazing	18.1	96	25.4
Hunting	3.7	...	0
Agricultural	24.0	...	0
Cultural	9.3	...	6.8
Access road	0.0	...	5.9


Survey respondents were asked if they use land in the AOI communities. Figure 67 shows that the majority of respondents in Dzhankeldy stated they did not use land, and in Sarimay and Nukus villages the majority of respondent stated they used tomorka land (a small land plot that is included to the overall size of the house, where people cultivate crops for personal use). Only a small number of respondents in Sarimay stated they used land for other purposes (2.2%).

Figure 67 Type of land used in each AOI communities




The typical plots sizes for agricultural use are up to 1 ha of land for agricultural, however the majority have between 0.3 and 0.5 ha of land. Dzhankeldy and Kalaata villages have generally smaller sized tomorka land plots and use a smaller range of sizes of agricultural land plots.

Figure 68: Area of tomorka land used for in each AOI community



Land for all other uses ranges from 0.1ha to 1ha. However, only a small number of respondents stated that they used other land at all (see Figure 69).

Figure 69: Area of other types of land use in AOI communities



Survey respondents were asked if they owned livestock (in addition to any livestock they are paid to graze by the Committee for Development of Sericulture and Wool Industry) and the majority of respondents stated that they did. A total of 63% of households in Sarimay, 52% of households in Nukus and 81% of households in Dzhankeldy and Kalaata own livestock ⁷⁸. The percentage of households that own different types of livestock are shown in Table 21. The majority of households in the AOI communities own either sheep or cattle. Very few households (three in total) own camels, the animals that require the largest grazing radius.

Table 21: Types of livestock owned in the AOI communities.

	Sheep (%)	Goat (%)	Camel (%)	Chicken (%)	Cattle (%)
Sarimay	37.7	16.9	0.7	36.2	47.2
Nukus	33.3	3.3	0	40.0	28.3
Dzhankeldy and Kalaata	55.9	59.3	0	11.0	29.7
Total of AOI communities	40.9	24.0	0.5	31.5	41.7

Note: totals will not add up to 100% as people own more than one type of livestock.

4.5.5 Poverty and equity

According to the Asian Development Bank (ADB), as of 2019 a total of 11% of the population of Uzbekistan lived under the national poverty line. A total of 7.3% of the working population earned less than the \$1.90 using the purchasing power parity poverty indicator ⁷⁹. Poverty levels in Uzbekistan had been decreasing, however they have been negatively impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. In 2020 it was determined that 1.3% of the population (approximately 448,000 people) may have fallen into poverty as a result of COVID-19 ⁸⁰.

Respondents to the socioeconomic survey were asked whether their income is sufficient or not. The responses were different for the three locations but ranged from enough only to cover

⁷⁸ From socioeconomic survey data.

⁷⁹ <https://www.adb.org/countries/uzbekistan/poverty#accordion-0-0>

⁸⁰ <https://www.undp.org/press-releases/uzbekistans-health-care-system-economy-hit-hard-covid-19>

basic needs (Sarimay) to more than enough (Nukus). Only a small percentage of respondents at each location stated their income was not enough to cover basic needs (8% in Sarimay, 2% in Nukus and 9% in Dzhankeldy and Kalaata villages).

Table 22: Sufficiency of incomes in AOI communities

	Sarimay	Nukus	Dzhankeldy and Kalaata
	%	%	%
Income is more than enough, can buy anything	11.5	65	5.9
Income is enough for more than just basic needs, but not enough to buy anything	25.4	5	54.2
Income is enough only for basic needs (food, clothing, bills)	52.1	25	31.4
Income is not enough to cover basic needs	8.1	2	8.5
No answer, refused to answer	2.9	3	0
Total	100	100	100

Once a year the Government of Uzbekistan provides a poverty benefit in the form of financial and non-financial aid to low-income families. The data in Table 23 suggest a minority of respondents receive a poverty benefit, although there is a slight discrepancy between need and receipt of the benefit.

Table 23: Receipt of poverty benefits in AOI communities (%)

	Sarimay (%)	Nukus (%)	Dzhankeldy and Kalaata (%)
Receives a poverty benefit	3	7	12
Needs a poverty benefit, but does not get one	16	10	5
Does not need a poverty benefit	81	83	83
Total	100	100	100

4.5.6 Labour and human rights

In Uzbekistan, despite significant effort, forced labour continues to be practiced. It is prohibited in Uzbekistan, but there remain cases in the cotton industry, as well as isolated incidences of child labour. The latter may have increased due to COVID-19 related school closures and economic hardships. As well as the cotton industry in 2018 it was found that local officials had forced “teachers, students (including children), private businesses employees, and others to work in construction and other forms of non-cotton agriculture...” This type of forced labour is generally explained to be part of the traditional *hashar* system, of voluntary labour for the benefit of the community⁸¹.

According to the US State Department Trafficking in Persons Report (2021), Uzbekistan does not fully meet the minimum standards for trafficking in persons, but due to increased efforts made by the government, and considering the impact of COVID-19, the country has been upgraded to Tier 2⁸². Some positive changes include that in January 2020 the government criminalized the repeated use of forced labour⁸³, in addition the Uzbek criminal code has been amended to explicitly criminalize child and forced labour on the first offense.

81 <https://www.state.gov/reports/2021-trafficking-in-persons-report/uzbekistan/>

82 *ibid*

83 <https://www.hrw.org/world-report/2021/country-chapters/uzbekistan#>

Uzbekistan was accepted by the European Union to become a beneficiary country of the “special incentive arrangement for sustainable development and good governance (GSP+) under the unilateral Generalised Scheme of Preferences (GSP)”. This means that Uzbekistan has committed to implement the 27 core international conventions on human and labour rights, environmental and climate protection and good governance that are included in the GSP Regulations⁸⁴ for continuous monitoring of GSP+ beneficiaries' obligations. The EU will closely monitor Uzbekistan to ensure that its legislative acts reflect the commitment the country has made under GSP+⁸⁵.

There continues to be persistent inequality between men and women, this is perpetuated by gender stereotypes and lack of female representation in legislative and judicial bodies. In 2019 Uzbekistan adopted the Law on Protecting Women from All Forms of Harassment and Violence, however gender-based violence and harassment (GBVH) still remain. Between January and September 2021, the Government of Uzbekistan reported that approximately 30,000 women and girls were subjected to harassment and violence and received protection orders. There is also significant discrimination and harassment of the LGBTQ+ community and “[c]onsensual same-sex relations between men continue to be criminalized in Uzbekistan, carrying a prison sentence of one to three years”⁸⁶.

In relation to COVID-19, the government of Uzbekistan has introduced charges relating to disseminating false information about COVID-19 it has also increased punishments for violating quarantine or refusing medical examinations or treatment⁸⁷.

4.5.7 Community health and safety

The health services available in Sarimay include a family polyclinic and a hospital (a small number of respondents stated they also had access to a children’s polyclinic and a private hospital – 2.2% and 0.7% of respondents respectively). Nukus village has a family polyclinic (a small number of respondents stated they also had access to a hospital – 3.7%). Dzhankeldy village has a family polyclinic and Kalaata does not have access to any health infrastructure.

Survey respondents were asked if they found their local health services to be well equipped, and the majority of respondents stated that their local health facilities were well equipped, but lacked sufficient medical personnel (see Figure 70).


84 Further information on the 27 international conventions can be found at the following website EUR-Lex - 32012R0978 - EN - EUR-Lex (europa.eu)

85 <https://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/press/index.cfm?id=2263>

86 <https://www.hrw.org/world-report/2021/country-chapters/uzbekistan#>


87 Ibid.

Figure 70: Perception of AOI communities of how well equipped the medical facilities are



The most prevalent health concerns in the AOI communities (see Figure 71), as stated by survey respondents are acute respiratory diseases (these include influenza and colds), in Sarimay 30% of respondents stated that gastrointestinal diseases (such as gastritis, cirrhosis, and peptic ulcers) were also prevalent in their community and in Dzhankeldy and Kalaata allergies were raised as a key health concern by 19% of the survey respondents.

Figure 71: Most common health concerns in the AOI communities



Survey respondents were asked to provide the two main challenges that residents of their village normally face. Table 24 provides the first challenge as reported by survey respondents. The responses are ranked below from 1-8 (one being the challenge that was identified by the most respondents and 8 the least) any item without a number was not identified as a challenge by respondents. All three AOI communities ranked unemployment as the biggest challenge in their community. Sarimay village and Dzhankeldy and Kalaata villages ranked lack of potable water as second, and Nukus it was ranked third. Few respondents chose lack of grazing land or poverty as their first main challenge.

Table 24: First challenge of AOI communities

	Sarimay (rank)	Nukus (rank)	Dzhankeldy and Kalaata (rank)
Unemployment	1	1	1
Lack of potable water	2	3	2
Land salinity	5 (equal)	2	3
No centralized gas supply		6 (equal)	7
Poverty	6		8 (equal)
Lack of hospitals/ poor quality of services	4	6 (equal)	8 (equal)
Long distance to markets	3	4	5
Impassable roads/poor roads	5 (equal)		4
Lack of grazing lands		5	6

Table 25 provides the second main challenge mentioned by the AOI communities. In Sarimay and Dzhankeldy and Kalaata villages respondents considered lack of potable water as the second biggest challenges (Dzhankeldy and Kalaata villages also considered impassable roads/poor roads as first equal). In Nukus unemployment was again raised as a key issue, with lack of potable water ranked as second. Lack of hospitals and gas supply were not considered as challenges to many of the respondents.

Table 25: Second challenge of AOI communities

	Sarimay (rank)	Nukus (rank)	Dzhankeldy and Kalaata (rank)
Unemployment		1	
Lack of potable water	1	2	1 (equal)
Land salinity	5	3	6
No centralized gas supply		5	
Poverty	3 (equal)		5
Lack of hospitals/ poor quality of services	7	6 (equal)	
Long distance to markets	2	4	2
Impassable roads/poor roads	4	6 (equal)	1 (equal)
Lack of grazing lands	6		3
High cost of public transport	3 (equal)		4

4.5.8 Education

There are three educational establishments operating in Sarimay village, they are one college, one school and one kindergarten. There are five educational establishments (two schools, two kindergarten and one college) that are operating in Nukus village. Five educational establishments (two schools, two kindergarten and one college) are operating in Dzhankeldy and Kalaata villages.

Survey responses show the majority of respondents from Sarimay and Nukus villages had graduated from high school or special secondary education (described as a college, lyceum, vocational school, or technical school) indicating a relatively high level of education.

Table 26: Levels of education attainment in the AOI communities

	Sarimay (%)	Nukus (%)	Dzhankeldy and Kalaata (%)
Uneducated/did not graduate secondary school	0	5.0	0
Graduated from secondary school	5.9	8.3	1.7
Graduated from high school	50.6	63.3	30.5
Secondary special (graduated from college, lyceum, vocational school, technical school)	26.4	18.3	50.8
Higher education / postgraduate (Master's/PhD)	17.1	5.0	16.9
Total	100	100	100

Literacy rates are also high, with no household heads in any of the AOI communities indicating no literacy. A small number, 57 people in total (or 10% of the entire sample) were identified as semi-literate.

Table 27: Literacy rates of household heads

	Sarimay (%)	Nukus (%)	Dzhankeldy and Kalaata (%)
Illiterate	0	0	0
Semi-literate (can write name and read basic text)	13.0	1.7	2.5
Literate	87.0	98.3	97.5
Total	100	100	100

Of the total surveyed population all students eligible to attend the various education establishments were attending in Nukus, Dzhankeldy and Kalaata villages. In Sarimay village 14% of eligible college students and 1.7% each of school and kindergarten students were not attending as schools and kindergartens were reported to be located too far away. Most women in Sarimay village are homemakers, so they can care for their children at home, and it was reported that kindergarten fees can be prohibitive for some families.

4.5.9 Language and ethnicity

People in the AOI communities can speak up to four different languages reflecting the diverse number of different ethnic groups of the AOI. The people of Sarimay were found to have a greater number of language skills. In Nukus none of the respondents reported as being able to speak or understand well the Uzbek language.

Table 28: Languages spoken in AOI communities

Language	Sarimay	Nukus	Dzhankeldy and Kalaata
----------	---------	-------	------------------------

Uzbek	275	0	114
Kazakh	125	10	111
Russian	241	18	25
Other languages of Central Asia (Tajik, Turkmen, Kyrgyz)	66	17	4
Total ⁸⁸	707	45	254

Household heads in the AOI communities come from a variety of nationalities or ethnic groups. The majority of household heads from Sarimay are Uzbek (58%). However, in Dzhankeldy and Kalaata villages no household heads identified as Uzbek and only 10% identified as Uzbek in Nukus village. The majority of household heads in Nukus village identified as from other Central Asian countries and the majority of household heads from Dzhankeldy and Kalaata villages identify as Kazakh.

Table 29: Ethnic groups of household heads in AOI communities

Nationality	Sarimay	Nukus	Dzhankeldy and Kalaata
Uzbek	57.7	10.0	0
Kazakh	0.0	0.0	82.2
Other peoples of Central Asia (Tajiks, Turkmen, Kyrgyz)	42.3	90.0	15.3
Other Asian Nations	0.0	0.0	2.5
Total	100	100	100

Even though people in Sarimay, Nukus and Dzhankeldy and Kalaata village’s come from different ethnic backgrounds, (Uzbek, Turkmen, Kazak as well as Tajik) there were no social conflicts identified between the ethnic groups in the villages impacted by the Project. Religion plays a crucial role with regards to unity in the AOI communities. The majority of households practice Islam, however this was not explicitly indicated in the surveys due to cultural sensitivities in the disclosure of this type of information.

4.5.10 Infrastructure and services

Local communities are connected to the other regions of Uzbekistan through the main highway A380 and many unpaved roads. There is a railway line that passes approximately 3 km from the Project site. The nearest station Dautepa, 8 km from Project AOI for Lot 1 and stop № 139 is within the Project AOI of Lot 2.

Social infrastructure in Sarimay and Dzhankeldy villages is currently being reconstructed. The infrastructure in Nukus and Kalaata villages are in need of reconstruction. The immediate needs (clothes, food,) of people in Sarimay, Nukus and Dzhankeldy and Kalaata are for the majority provided by the nearest local markets. Public transportation is typically only accessible to about half the population of each village.

4.5.11 Vulnerable groups

A joint Government of Uzbekistan and the World Bank study on vulnerability found that some key vulnerable groups in Uzbekistan include the elderly (while they are protected by social protection transfers, they are more prevalent to having disabilities or requiring medical assistance), community members receiving social assistance, households with migrants and

⁸⁸ The numbers in Table 28 add up to more than the total number of surveys undertaken as respondents were able to choose more than one language. In addition, some people chose not to respond to this question in the survey (25 people in Nukus and eight people in Sarimay).

the unemployed among others ⁸⁹. Vulnerable groups identified in the AOI communities mainly consist of low-income families, people with disabilities as well as the unemployed, particularly unemployed women.

When asked about challenges faced by female household members (particularly in their place of work), the majority of respondents in Sarimay, Nukus, Dzhankeldy and Kalaata villages all stated that no challenges were faced (80%, 93% and 89% respectively). A small percentage of respondents in Sarimay, Dzhankeldy and Kalaata also raised access to training and education and economic inequalities as challenges. In all villages there were second highest percentage was for respondents that did not feel able to answer (15%, 5% and 5% respectively).

Table 30: Challenges faced by women in affected communities (%)

	Sarimay %	Nukus %	Dzhankeldy and Kalaata %
No challenges faced	80.2	93.3	89
Access to education and training	1.7	0	2.5
Lack of respect/ harassment	0.7	0	
Access to equal opportunities	0.7	1.7	
Economic inequalities	2	0	3.4
Could not answer	14.7	5	5.1
Total	100	100	100

4.5.12 Cultural heritage

There are five locations in Uzbekistan that are on the UNESCO World Heritage List, the closest of which to the Project site are in Khiva city (Khorezm region) and in Bukhara city (Bukhara region) a minimum of 85 km from Lot 2 ⁹⁰. However, the Project is located within the area of the Kyzylkum Desert, which is known for paleontological findings.

There are identified areas of cultural heritage in Peshku district. They are 12 archaeological sites, 12 architectural monuments and the site of the Ensemble Khuja Peshku cultural heritage of Uzbekistan⁹¹.

In Gijduvan district there are 20 archaeological sites, 27 architectural monuments and two cultural heritage sites of Uzbekistan. The most famous of them is the architectural monuments of mazar Abdulkhaliq Gijduvani: Ulugbek Madrasah⁹².

Consultations with the Cultural Heritage Agency under the Ministry of Tourism and Sport of the Republic of Uzbekistan⁹³ revealed that along both Lot 1 and Lot 2 there are no locations of cultural heritage that have national importance. Responses from its regional department of in Bukhara, Khorezm and Navoi also confirmed this.

The Project site visits in October and November 2021 did not reveal visual presence of cultural or archaeological objects. Furthermore, local land users, in particular herders, also claimed

⁸⁹<https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/33162/Uzbek-Population-Risk-and-Vulnerability-Assessment.pdf?sequence=1&disAllowed=y>

⁹⁰ <https://whc.unesco.org/en/statesparties/uz>

⁹¹ Decree of the Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of Uzbekistan № 981 of 11.12.2019. "On approval of the Regulation on the procedure for establishing water protection zones and zones of sanitary protection of water bodies in the territory of the Republic of Uzbekistan".


⁹² Tuprokkala is a newly founded district and no specific information is available about cultural heritage in the district.

⁹³ Cultural heritage Agency under the Ministry of Tourism and Sport of the Republic of Uzbekistan is state organisation in charge for cultural heritage.

that surrounding areas in a radius of 5 km from the ROW have neither cultural nor religious significance for them.

The National Centre for Archaeology has conducted several archaeological surveys in the surrounding areas to the Lot 2 (Bash) site and the proposed Project route for Lot 2 is located near identified archaeological artefacts from that survey. In 2015 a French-Uzbek expedition found several locations of cultural significance that belong to Neolithic period near Ayakagitma lake. Figure 72 shows the location of the identified archaeological artefacts near Lot 2.

Figure 72: Archaeological artefacts near the Lot 2 site



Source: Reply for the Consultation letter to the National Centre for Archaeology

The National Centre for Archaeology indicated that based on the value of found artefacts the required buffer zone varies from between 200 m and 25 m. Based on the preliminary coordinates of Lot 2, the National Centre for Archaeology was able to measure the distance between Lot 2 and the location of archaeological artefacts and found that Lot 2 has approximately 150 m of distance from the nearest archaeological site. Thus, the National Centre for Archaeology concluded that construction of Lot 2 would not affect the location of the identified archaeological artefacts where this buffer zone is maintained.

The National Centre for Archaeology⁹⁴ has advised that the Project should conduct further archaeological surveys along Lot 1 (Sarimay-Dzhankeldy) since the area of Kyzylkum has significant archaeological potential and has not been surveyed yet.

⁹⁴ National Centre for Archaeology is state organisation responsible for sites that has archaeological importance all over the Uzbekistan.

4.6 Biodiversity baseline

4.6.1 Introduction

The Project traverses portions of the Kyzylkum desert region, a very dry region containing a range of desert vegetation types characterised by low density, height, diversity, and productivity of plant life, and similarly low density and diversity of animal life, though many of the species are highly adapted and specialized to survive in desert climates, and the flora and fauna include a number of sensitive species with relatively narrow ecological and geographic ranges. The region is generally flat and contains several small, isolated mountain ranges or rocky massifs. Although it is a very dry region, the Kyzylkum Desert contains several isolated lakes and other water bodies located in large topographic depressions, some of which are saline due to substantial evaporation. The region is also bordered on the west by the Amu Darya riparian corridor, a river system that flows southward from the Aral Sea. It is of great importance as a water source, both for the agricultural activity and human settlements of the region and for the region's biodiversity.

Most of the Kyzylkum desert is used by the region's human communities for low density domestic livestock grazing activity, with vegetation types and habitats in a largely natural condition, but reflecting anthropogenic pressures from livestock grazing (e.g. reduction of vegetation cover), as well as other pressures, such as hunting and disturbance.

The biodiversity baseline characterization for the Project has been developed by a team of national and international and biodiversity experts, and is based on a comprehensive review of technical literature, publicly available biodiversity databases, and other sources of "desk-based" information, which served as a basis to a) conduct an initial screening for Critical Habitat (CH) features and Priority Biodiversity Features (PBF), per EBRD PR6, and b) scope a set of biodiversity baseline surveys intended to inform a CH Assessment (CHA) and the preparation of the biodiversity baseline and impact assessment sections of the ESIA. The baseline section of this ESIA integrates the desktop review, baseline survey results, and CHA, to characterize the baseline condition of the Project's AOI (which, in the context of the CHA is equivalent to the Ecologically Appropriate Area of Analysis, or EAAA), with a focus on the biodiversity elements that have been identified either as CH features or PBF for the Project. This information is supported by the full biodiversity baseline study reports, included as technical annexes.

4.6.2 Critical Habitat and Priority Biodiversity Features

EBRD's biodiversity policy (PR6) places special emphasis on the protection of the most sensitive, vulnerable, and/or irreplaceable biodiversity features. The highest priority tier of such features are defined as Critical Habitat (CH), which may be triggered by five specific criteria described in EBRD PR6. A second tier are defined as Priority Biodiversity Features (PBF), which may be triggered by four specific criteria described in EBRD PR6. Per PR6, impacts to both CH and PBF are to be avoided if possible, and Project activities may not proceed unless certain conditions are met. Among these conditions are specific mitigation standards that must be met in order to mitigate any unavoidable impacts, as follows: impacts to CH features must achieve "net gain" in those features; impacts to PBF must achieve "no net loss" in those features.

In order to ensure that the biodiversity baseline study was aligned with EBRD PR6, biodiversity features potentially affected by the Project were first screened against EBRD CH and PBF criteria. This screening was used to inform the scope and design of the biodiversity baseline survey program. The biodiversity baseline survey results were subsequently evaluated following the procedures, and using the specific criteria and quantitative thresholds described

in EBRD PR6 and the associated Guidance Note 6, to perform an assessment of CH and PBF for all potentially affected biodiversity features. The set of biodiversity features identified either as CH or PBF in this assessment is presented, and briefly justified in Table 31. Further detail on the assessment of CH/PBF is provided in the taxonomically-organized subsections that follow. These determinations of CH and PBF were then used as the basis for developing monitoring and/or mitigation plans for each biodiversity feature, as appropriate, in compliance with EBRD PR6, as described in subsequent sections of this ESIA and the associated ESMP.

A necessary step for performing the CHA, per EBRD PR6 is the definition of the Ecologically Appropriate Area of Analysis (EAAA), which essentially corresponds to a specialized, biodiversity-specific definition of the Project's area of influence (AOI) for biodiversity features. EBRD defines the EAAA as a species-specific concept, recognizing that a single project may have entirely different effective areas of influence on different species or biodiversity features, depending on the movement patterns, landscape connectivity, or other ecological characteristics of the species or features, hence, in principle, there is not a single EAAA for any project, but rather a different EAAA for each potentially affected species or biodiversity feature. In order to perform the CHA for this Project, a set of EAAA was defined based on species-specific consideration of pertinent ecological information. In some cases, the same EAAA was considered to apply to multiple species, where those species shared key dispersal, movement, or other ecological characteristics that resulted in a shared, similar effective area of influence from the Project. The set of EAAA used for the CHA, and the species/groups for which they were considered applicable is presented in Table 32.

Table 31: Summary of the results of the Critical Habitat and Priority Biodiversity Features Assessment for the Sarimay-Dzhankeldy 500 kV Transmission Line Project.⁹⁵

Feature ⁹⁵	Higher taxon	IUCN global status ⁹⁷	Uzbek status ⁹⁸	Applicable CH/PBF criterion ⁹⁹			EAA A	IUCN minimum global population estimate ¹⁰⁰	Determination	Rationale
				Threatened /Vulnerable Species	Range-restricted Species	Migratory/Congregatory Species				
				CH criterion ii, PBF criterion ii	CH criterion iii, PBF criterion ii	CH criterion iv, PBF criterion ii				
<i>Acanthophyllum cyrtostegium</i>	Plant	NA	3 (VU)	X			1	N/A	PBF	No applicable CH trigger, PBF criterion ii considered applicable due to lack of global assessment by IUCN
<i>Tulipa lehmanniana</i>	Plant	NA	3 (VU)	X			1	N/A	PBF	No applicable CH trigger, PBF criterion ii considered applicable due to lack of global assessment by IUCN
Whooper Swan	Bird		VU			X	2	180,000	PBF	EAAA not likely to contain ≥ 1% of the global population at any point in species' life cycle
Common Pochard	Bird	VU		X		X	2	760,000	PBF	Project not likely to result in species' up-listing to globally CR/EN, EAAA not likely to contain ≥ 1% of the global population at any point in species' life cycle

95 Status categories for the IUCN and Uzbek animal red lists are as follows: CR = Critically Endangered; EN = Endangered; VU = Vulnerable; NT = Near Threatened; (blank) = Least Concern (IUCN) or not listed (Uzbek); NA = not assessed. For the Uzbek plant red list, status category is provided as published in the red list, and the rough equivalent in terms of IUCN categories is also provided. See text for additional justification of CH/PBF determinations. Ecologically Appropriate Areas of Analysis (EAAA) were defined based on species-specific ecological considerations, per EBRD PR6, and the numbers listed in the table correspond to those listed in Table 31.

96 The ecosystems and habitats potentially affected by the Project did not meet any of the criteria for “priority ecosystems,” including “threatened ecosystems,” “highly threatened or unique ecosystems” (CH criterion i), “areas associated with key evolutionary processes” (CH criterion v), or “threatened habitats” (PBF criterion i) as defined in EBRD PR6 and the associated Guidance Note 6. Neither were the criteria met for any “significant biodiversity features identified by a broad set of stakeholders or governments” (PBF criterion iii), or “ecological structure and functions needed to maintain the viability of priority biodiversity features” (PBF criterion iv), hence the only biodiversity features included in this table are species (and their habitats) that met one or more of the species-specific CH or PBF criteria/thresholds, as described in the table.

97 <https://www.iucnredlist.org/> accessed 9 February, 2022

98 Separate Uzbek national red lists for plants and animals, both published by the Uzbekistan ministry of environment in 2019.

99 Uzbekistan is neither a member of the EU, nor a Bern Convention signatory, hence the specific CH/PBF criteria relating to habitats and species that receive special protection under EU nature legislation are not considered applicable, per EBRD GN6.

100 <https://www.iucnredlist.org/> accessed 10 February, 2022

Feature ⁶⁴	Higher taxon	IUCN global status ⁶⁷	Uzbek status ⁶⁸	Applicable CH/PBF criterion ⁶⁹			EAA A	IUCN minimum global population estimate ¹⁰⁰	Det ermi nation	Rationale
				Threatened /Vulnerable Species	Range-restricted Species	Migratory/C ongregatory Species				
				CH criterion ii, PBF criterion ii	CH criterion iii, PBF criterion ii	CH criterion iv, PBF criterion ii				
Ferruginous Duck	Bird	NT	VU	X		X	2	180,000	PBF	EAAA not likely to contain ≥ 1% of the global population at any point in species' life cycle
Macqueen's Bustard	Bird	VU	VU	X		X	3	33,000	PBF	Project not likely to result in species' up-listing to globally CR/EN, EAAA not likely to contain ≥ 1% of the global population at any point in species' life cycle
Sociable Lapwing	Bird	CR	VU	X		X	1	11,200	PBF	EAAA not likely to support ≥ 0.5% of the global population and ≥ 5 reproductive units, nor to contain ≥ 1% of the global population at any point in species' life cycle
Pallas' Gull	Bird		VU			X	2	125,000	PBF	EAAA not likely to contain ≥ 1% of the global population at any point in species' life cycle
Dalmatian Pelican	Bird	NT	EN	X		X	2	11,400	PBF	EAAA not likely to contain ≥ 1% of the global population at any point in species' life cycle or satisfy trigger for CH criterion iic (nationally important concentration)
Osprey	Bird		VU			X	2	100,000	PBF	EAAA not likely to contain ≥ 1% of the global population at any point in species' life cycle
Egyptian Vulture	Bird	EN	VU	X		X	3	12,400	PBF	EAAA not likely to support ≥ 0.5% of the global population and ≥ 5 reproductive units, nor to contain ≥ 1% of the global population at any point in species' life cycle

Feature ⁵⁶	Higher taxon	IUCN global status ⁵⁷	Uzbek status ⁵⁸	Applicable CH/PBF criterion ⁵⁹			EAA A	IUCN minimum global population estimate ¹⁰⁰	Det ermi nation	Rationale
				Threatened /Vulnerable Species	Range-restricted Species	Migratory/C ongregatory Species				
				CH criterion ii, PBF criterion ii	CH criterion iii, PBF criterion ii	CH criterion iv, PBF criterion ii				
Short-toed Snake-Eagle	Bird		VU			X	1	50,000	PBF	EAAA not likely to contain ≥ 1% of the global population at any point in species' life cycle
Steppe Eagle	Bird	EN	VU	X		X	1	50,000	PBF	EAAA not likely to support ≥ 0.5% of the global population and ≥ 5 reproductive units, nor to contain ≥ 1% of the global population at any point in species' life cycle
Eastern Imperial Eagle	Bird	VU	VU	X		X	1	2,500	PBF	Project not likely to result in species' up-listing to globally CR/EN, EAAA not likely to contain ≥ 1% of the global population at any point in species' life cycle
Golden Eagle	Bird		VU			X	3	85,000	PBF	EAAA not likely to contain ≥ 1% of the global population at any point in species' life cycle
White-tailed Eagle	Bird		VU			X	2	20,000	PBF	EAAA not likely to contain ≥ 1% of the global population at any point in species' life cycle
Saker Falcon	Bird	EN	EN	X		X	3	12,200	PBF	EAAA not likely to support ≥ 0.5% of the global population and ≥ 5 reproductive units, nor to contain ≥ 1% of the global population at any point in species' life cycle
Peregrine Falcon	Bird		VU			X	1	100,000	PBF	EAAA not likely to contain ≥ 1% of the global population at any point in species' life cycle
Other migratory water bird species with	Bird	NT or LC	NT or lower			X	2	≥100,000 (per species)		No species for which EAAA likely to contain ≥ 1% of the global population at any point in species' life cycle.

Feature ⁶⁴	Higher taxon	IUCN global status ⁶⁷	Uzbek status ⁶⁸	Applicable CH/PBF criterion ⁶⁹			EAA A	IUCN minimum global population estimate ¹⁰⁰	Det ermi nation	Rationale
				Threatened /Vulnerable Species	Range-restricted Species	Migratory/C ongregatory Species				
				CH criterion ii, PBF criterion ii	CH criterion iii, PBF criterion ii	CH criterion iv, PBF criterion ii				
IUCN status of NT or lower									EAAA not recognized nationally or internationally as important for migratory birds.	
Other upland migratory bird species with IUCN status of NT or lower	Bird	NT or LC	NT or lower			X	1	≥100,000 (per species)	No species for which EAAA likely to contain ≥ 1% of the global population at any point in species' life cycle. EAAA not recognized nationally or internationally as important for migratory birds.	
Russian Tortoise	Turtle	VU	VU	X			4	No population estimate, but widely distributed across 12 Asian countries	PBF Project not likely to result in species' up-listing to globally CR/EN	
Southern Even-fingered Gecko	Lizard	CR	VU	X	?		5	No recent population estimate	CH Global population size currently unknown, but EAAA containing ≥ 0.5% of global population considered possible given rough estimate that up to 14,000 individuals may be present within the EAAA, based on habitat mapping of the Project area and SEFG population densities measured elsewhere. Global range size (EOO) currently unknown, hence presence of CH criterion iii trigger unknown, but CH criterion ii threshold is lower	

Feature ⁵⁶	Higher taxon	IUCN global status ⁵⁷	Uzbek status ⁵⁸	Applicable CH/PBF criterion ⁵⁹			EAA A	IUCN minimum global population estimate ¹⁰⁰	Det ermi nation	Rationale
				Threatened /Vulnerable Species	Range-restricted Species	Migratory/C ongregatory Species				
				CH criterion ii, PBF criterion ii	CH criterion iii, PBF criterion ii	CH criterion iv, PBF criterion ii				
									(0.5% vs. 10%) hence CH criterion iii is redundant.	
Marbled Polecat	mam mal	VU	VU	X			4	None available, but widely distributed across 25 countries	PBF	Project not likely to result in species' up-listing to globally CR/EN
Caracal	mam mal		CR	X			6	None available, but widely distributed across Africa and east-central Asia	PBF	EAAA not likely to satisfy trigger for CH criterion iic (nationally important concentration)
Goitered Gazelle	mam mal	VU	VU	X			7	42,000	PBF	Project not likely to result in species' up-listing to globally CR/EN

Table 32: Ecologically Appropriate Areas of Analysis (EAAA) used in the Critical Habitat Assessment for the Project.

EAAA #	Applicable species	Description	Rationale
1	<i>Acanthophyllum cyrtostegium</i> , <i>Tulipa lehmanniana</i> , Sociable Lapwing, Short-toed Snake-Eagle, Steppe Eagle, Eastern Imperial Eagle, Peregrine Falcon, other upland migratory bird species with IUCN status of NT or lower	Linear extent of both OHTL lots plus 200m buffer	Used for sessile species (plants). Also used for widespread transcontinental migrant bird species with zero, or minimal breeding or wintering activity along OHL, and a dispersed migratory pattern with no concentrated corridors or bottlenecks along the proposed OHL
2	Whooper Swan, Common Pochard, Ferruginous Duck, Pallas' Gull, Dalmatian Pelican, Osprey, White-tailed Eagle, other migratory waterbird species with IUCN status of NT or lower	Westernmost 5 km of Lot 1, all of Lot 2, buffered by 200m	Used for migratory water bird species whose migratory activity is likely to be concentrated along the Amu Darya and/or the vicinity of Lake Ayakagitma
3	Macqueen's Bustard, Egyptian Vulture, Golden Eagle, Saker Falcon	Easternmost 30% of Lot 1 plus all of Lot 2, both buffered by 10 km, plus the remainder of Lot 1 buffered by 200m	Potential breeding habitat, inclusive of large breeding home range/territories along Lot 2 and eastern 30% of Lot 1, remainder of Lot 1 is non-concentrated migratory activity only
4	Russian Tortoise, Marbled Polecat	Entirety of both lots, buffered by 5 km	Potential habitat for moderate-dispersal species that may occur anywhere along both lots, accounting for moderate dispersal/home range size
5	Southern Even-fingered Gecko	A 22 km stretch in the eastern part of Lot 1, characterized by the presence of takyr and clayey hill substrates, buffered by 200m	Potential habitat for Southern Even-fingered Gecko, accounting for limited dispersal/home range size
6	Caracal	Entirety of Lot 1, buffered by 20 km	Potential habitat for Caracal, accounting for large home range size/dispersal
7	Goitered Gazelle	Entirety of both lots, buffered by 20 km	Potential habitat for high-dispersal species that may occur anywhere along both lots, accounting for wide dispersal/home range size

4.6.3 Protected areas

Currently, the national system of protected areas in Uzbekistan includes seven state nature reserves, three state national natural parks, 12 state wildlife sanctuaries, two state biosphere reserves, six state natural monuments, one state national park, one natural nursery, one state complex (landscape) reserve. The total area of protected areas providing sustainable conservation of biodiversity (IUCN category I-IV) is more than 5,77% of the territory of Uzbekistan. The Project does not come within 50 km of any nationally or legally protected areas (Figure 73).

In addition to legally protected areas, areas recognized by national and/or international organizations as important for the conservation of biodiversity may also be considered Priority Biodiversity Features under EBRD PR6. One example of such areas are Important Bird Areas (IBA). IBAs are designated by the Uzbekistan Society for the Protection of Birds, within the international framework and criteria of BirdLife International, and are considered Priority Biodiversity Features under EBRD PR6 and KBAs under IFC PS6. The total number of IBAs in the territory of Uzbekistan is 52, the total IBA Area 2,462,782 ha (Figure 73)¹⁰¹.

Lot 1 of the Project comes no closer than roughly 48 km to any IBAs, or any other internationally recognized biodiversity conservation areas that could constitute a Priority Biodiversity Feature under EBRD PR6 or a KBA under IFC PS6 (Figure 74). The closest such areas are the Karakyr lakes system and Bazaubay massif IBAs, both roughly equidistant from Lot 1, at a minimum distance of approx. 50 km (Figure 74).

¹⁰¹ http://www.uzspb.uz/iba_map_e.html

Figure 73: Protected areas and IBAs in Uzbekistan (Source: Juru Energy, <http://www.uzspb.uz/>, <https://www.protectedplanet.net/country/UZB>)






Figure 74: IBAs and Protected areas near 127 km Sarimay-Dzhankeldy OHTL Project area (Source: Juru Energy, <http://www.uzspb.uz/>, <https://www.protectedplanet.net/country/UZB>)



Lot 2 of the Project is located in close proximity to an IBA, *Ayakagytna lake and surrounding desert (UZ049)*¹⁰². The minimum distance of the Project to the IBA is approximately 3 km (Figure 75). At a minimum distance of 3 km from the IBA and roughly 5 km from the Lake. Given the pre-existence of other OHL in the area, and the known tendency of water birds to concentrate in the immediate vicinity of water bodies, evidenced by the absence of water bird observations from VP locations along Lot 2 during the baseline study, the construction and operation of Lot 2 of the OHL is considered unlikely to cause any significant impacts to the IBA, hence PBF criterion iii is not considered to be triggered for the Ayakagytna Lake IBA.

Figure 75: IBAs and Protected areas near 10 km Navoi OHTL Project area (Source: Juru Energy, <http://www.uzspb.uz/>, <https://www.protectedplanet.net/country/UZB>)



4.6.4 Flora and habitats

4.6.4.1 Methods

The botanical baseline data collection was developed using a combination of desk-based review of secondary information sources and primary data gathering through a field survey effort conducted within the Project area. Desktop research included a comprehensive review of available technical literature on the flora of Uzbekistan, as well as review of publicly available databases including, the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species.

The baseline survey effort was designed by a team of international and national biodiversity experts, and conducted by Uzbek botanist Natalya Beshko. The methodology selected for the habitat and botanical baseline survey effort consisted of 4-days long ground survey in October 2021 during which sampling and mapping of native non-forest vegetation, recognition of floristic composition and spatial patterns of plant communities was conducted. In addition, the structure of vegetation communities was characterized by sampling a series of 50x50 m

102 BirdLife International (2021) Important Bird Areas factsheet: Ayakagytna lake and surrounding desert. Downloaded from <http://www.birdlife.org> on 28/10/2021

geobotanical sample plots (SP) chosen in areas with homogeneous vegetation, representative for each habitat or vegetation type present within the study area. Information gathered during these field surveys was used to develop GIS-based maps of habitat/vegetation types present along both Lots. Species abundance was determined using the Braun-Blanquet cover-abundance scale, and a complete list of plant species documented along each Lot of the Project area was produced. A full description of the Botanical baseline survey methods, and sampling effort is presented in Volume III, Technical Annexes.

4.6.4.2 Habitats

Following six types of habitats (mapping units) were identified along Lot 1 of the planned S-D OHTL):

- Weakly inclined piedmonts of relic low mountains
- Fixed and semi-fixed sands.
- Outcrops of variegated beds
- Saline depressions
- Relict sandy-stony upland

Figure 76: Map of habitats identified along the planned S-D OHTL (Lot 1) (Source: Habitat/Botanical baseline report)



Figure 77: Map of habitats identified between villages Dzhankeldy and Kalaata along the planned S-D OHTL (Lot 1) (Source: Habitat/Botanical baseline report)



Two habitat types have been identified along planned 500KV Navoi TPP - Bash SS - Muruntau SS LILO OHTL Connection (Lot 2):

- Fixed and semi-fixed sands
- Relict sandy-stony upland

Figure 78: Map of habitats identified along planned 500KV Navoi TPP - Bash SS - Muruntau SS LILO OHTL Connection (Lot 2) (Source: Habitat/Botanical baseline report)



Representative photographs of the major vegetation types located along the two planned OHTL segments are presented in Figure 79 to Figure 85.

Figure 79: Semi-fixed sands between the villages of Sarimay and Kalaata (Source: Juru Energy 2021)



Figure 80: Fixed sands (Source: Juru Energy 2021)



Figure 81: Semi-fixed sands and plantations of black saxaul (Source: Juru Energy 2021)



Figure 82: Relict sandy-stony upland near Sarimay substation (Source: Juru Energy 2021)



Figure 83: Habitat between the villages of Kalaata and Dzhanekeldy (Source: Juru Energy 2021)



Figure 84: Surrounding area of Dzhanekeldy village (Source: Juru Energy 2021)



Figure 85: Existing OHTL between Kalaata and Uzunkuduk (Source: Juru Energy 2021)



4.6.4.3 Sensitive plant species

Currently only 255 taxa (5.8%) of more than 4380 species recorded for the flora of Uzbekistan were assessed by IUCN, 15 species of them were included in the IUCN Red List as threatened (CR, EN and VU categories), and only five of them are red-listed at the national level. The remaining 94.2% of species occurring within Uzbekistan have not yet been assessed by IUCN including most of the plant species that are red-listed at the national level.

Figure 86: Distribution of nationally red-listed plants in the project region¹⁰³. Known locations of rare plants showed by dots (Source: Inventory of the flora of Uzbekistan: Navoi Province)



A total of 51 vascular plant species was recorded along the S-D OHTL (Lot 1), during the October, 2021 surveys. One of them, *Acanthophyllum cyrtostegium*, is nationally red-listed endemic of Uzbekistan (category 3 – vulnerable, reducing). This species occurs sporadically

¹⁰³ According to "Inventory of the flora of Uzbekistan: Navoi Province", 2019, and "Inventory of the flora of Uzbekistan: Bukhara Province", 2020

on the southern piedmonts of Kuldzhuktau Mountains between the villages Dzhankeldy and Kalaata, solitary or in small groups.

36 species of plants were recorded along Lot 2. One of these, *Tulipa lehmanniana*, is nationally red-listed (category 3 – vulnerable, reducing). No plant species with national redlist status equivalent to CR or EN, no species with elevated status on the IUCN redlist, and no alien plant species were discovered in the Project area during the botanical baseline surveys

The two plant species with national red list status equivalent to Vulnerable were assessed as the only two plant species satisfying any of the criteria for classification as PBF for the Project (Table 31). No plant species were classified as CH triggers. These two plant species are described briefly below, and additional information is presented within the Botanical baseline survey report (see Volume III, Technical Annexes).

Table 33: Sensitive plant species for the project

Scientific name	IUCN status	Uzbekistan Red Data Book (2019)	Pertinent Section	Project
<i>Acanthophyllum cyrtostegium</i>		3 (VU)		Lot 1
<i>Tulipa lehmanniana</i>		3 (VU)		Lot 2

Acanthophyllum cyrtostegium

This species, classified as Vulnerable on the Uzbekistan plant red list and not assessed by IUCN, is endemic to the south-western Kyzylkum and north-western spurs of Pamir-Alay, Uzbekistan.


According to published data (Shomurodov et al., 2018; Tojibaev et al., 2019, 2020), it occurs sporadically in the piedmont plains and foothills of Kuldzhuktau, solitary or in small groups, the population density is up to 40–50 individuals per 1 hectare. The geographic range of this species is restricted to an area of roughly 60,000 km², and is, therefore, not sufficiently small to cross the threshold¹⁰⁴ for classification as a restricted-range species, per EBRD PR6, hence CH criterion iii is not applicable. CH criterion ii and PBF criterion ii apply only to species with IUCN global status of VU or above. Nonetheless, this species is classified as a PBF for the Project, based on its VU listed status at the national level, in consideration of the fact that it is a national endemic, and has not been assessed by IUCN (Table 31).

¹⁰⁴ Defined in IFC GN6 (2019), and by reference, EBRD PR6, as a maximum Estimated Extent of Occurrence (EOO) of 50,000 km² for terrestrial plants and animals.

Figure 87: *Acanthophyllum cyrtostegium*. Photo by Natalya Beshko



Figure 88: The map of the distribution of *Acanthophyllum cyrtostegium* (Source: Inventory of the flora of Uzbekistan: Navoi Province)



Tulipa lehmanniana


This species, classified as Vulnerable on the national red list and not assessed by IUCN, is a species of Irano-Turanian deserts with decreasing range and populations, also nationally red-listed in Kazakhstan, Tajikistan and Turkmenistan. The main threats for this species are overgrazing, habitat loss, collection of flowers and bulbs. Similar to *Acanthophyllum cyrtostegium*, the geographic range is over 50,000 km² and the VU status is only at the national level, hence this species does not trigger CH, but is considered a PBF for the Project, based

on its VU listed status at the national level and the fact that it has not been assessed by IUCN (Table 31).

Figure 89: *Tulipa lehmanniana*. Photo by Natalya Beshko



Figure 90: The map of the distribution of *Tulipa lehmanniana* (Source: Inventory of the flora of Uzbekistan: Navoi Province)



4.6.5 Avifauna

4.6.5.1 Methods

The avifaunal baseline characterization was developed using a combination of desk-based review of secondary information sources and primary data gathering through an intensive bird baseline survey effort conducted within the Project area. Desktop research included a comprehensive review of available technical literature on the birds of Uzbekistan, as well as review of publicly available databases including, but not limited to the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species, eBird database, and BirdLife International data zone. Although a variety of secondary sources contain information regarding the avifauna of larger regions encompassing the site, or certain areas within the larger Project regions, there are no previously published studies of the avifauna of the Project site.

The baseline survey effort was designed by a team of international and national biodiversity experts, and conducted by Uzbek ornithologists Anna Ten and Valentin Soldatov. The methodology selected for the bird baseline survey effort consisted of Vantage Point (VP) surveys, roughly following Scottish Natural Heritage guidance¹⁰⁵, but slightly modified to remove data gathering elements that are specific to wind turbine collision risk modelling, and to add observations of all birds observed perched in vegetation, on the water, or on the ground, in addition to in flight. A two-hour VP survey was conducted roughly once per week at each of 15 VP locations (Figure 91 and Figure 92) over a 10-week survey period extending from September 17 to November 26, 2021, for a total of 143 VP surveys, or 286 hours of observation effort. A full description of the bird baseline survey methods, and sampling effort is presented in Volume III Technical Annexes.

Figure 91: Bird vantage point (VP) survey locations (12) in lot 1 of the project area (Sarimay-Dzhankeldy) (Source: Bird monitoring baseline report)



105 Scottish Natural Heritage. (2017). Recommended bird survey methods to inform impact assessment of onshore wind farms. V2, March, 2017.

Figure 92: Bird vantage point (VP) survey locations (3) in Lot 2 of the project area (Source: Bird monitoring baseline report)



4.6.5.2 Sensitive bird species

A wide range of bird species occurs in the diverse geography and habitats traversed by the two OHTL segments, and a total of 77 bird species was recorded during the baseline survey effort (see Technical Annexes). Sixteen bird species, listed in Table 5, have been identified as sensitive species for the Project, including 14 species documented during the baseline survey effort, and two additional species believed to be present, or potentially present in the Project area during other seasons of the year.¹⁰⁶ This set of 16 species includes all bird species that meet at least one of the EBRD criteria for classification as PBF or CH features, and all 16 were classified as PBF. In addition, a wide variety of additional migratory bird species were evaluated in the CHA as potential CH or PBF triggers. Table 31 presents the results of the CH/PBF determinations for each of these species or species groups. There are no bird species meeting the EBRD criteria for endemic or geographically restricted species (Critical Habitat criterion iii) that occur within the Project region.

The following section presents a baseline characterization for each of these species classified as PBF for the Project, plus a characterization of baseline results for the broad category of migratory birds. These characterizations refer to Table 34 and include additional justification of the results of the CH/PBF assessment. Additional information on all bird species documented in the bird baseline survey is presented within the bird baseline survey report (see Technical Annexes).

¹⁰⁶ To be confirmed by ongoing survey efforts, refer to section 4.6

Table 34: Bird species classified as Priority Biodiversity Features (PBF) for the Project.

Science name	Common name	IUCN status	Uzbekistan Red Data Book (2019)	Pertinent Project Section (s)
<i>Cygnus cygnus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	Whooper Swan		VU:R	Lot 1, Lot2
<i>Aythya ferina</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	Common Pochard	VU		Lot 1, Lot2
<i>Aythya nyroca</i> (Güldenstädt, 1770)	Ferruginous Duck	NT	VU:D	Lot 1, Lot2
<i>Chlamydotis macqueenii</i> (J.E. Gray, 1832)	Macqueen's Bustard (Asian Houbara)	VU	VU:D	Lot 1, Lot2
<i>Vanellus gregarius</i> (Pallas, 1771)	Sociable Lapwing	CR	VU:R	Lot 1, Lot2
<i>Ichthyaeetus ichthyaeetus</i> Pallas, 1773	Pallas's Gull		VU:D	Lot 1, Lot2
<i>Pelecanus crispus</i> Bruch, 1832	Dalmatian Pelican	NT	EN	Lot 1, Lot2
<i>Pandion haliaetus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	Osprey		VU:R	Lot 1, Lot2
<i>Neophron percnopterus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	Egyptian Vulture	EN	VU:D	Lot 1, Lot2
<i>Circaetus gallicus</i> (J.F. Gmelin, 1788)	Short-toed Snake-eagle		VU:D	Lot 1, Lot2
<i>Aquila nipalensis</i> Hodgson, 1833	Steppe Eagle	EN	VU:D	Lot 1, Lot2
<i>Aquila heliaca</i> Savigny, 1809	Eastern Imperial Eagle	VU	VU:D	Lot 1, Lot2
<i>Aquila chrysaetos</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	Golden Eagle		VU:R	Lot 1, Lot2
<i>Haliaeetus albicilla</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	White-tailed Eagle		VU:R	Lot 1, Lot2
<i>Falco cherrug</i> J.E. Gray, 1834	Saker Falcon	EN	EN	Lot 1, Lot2
<i>Falco peregrinus</i> Tunstall, 1771	Peregrine Falcon		VU:R	Lot 1, Lot2

Sensitive Waterbirds

A number of the bird species identified as PBF for the Project are closely affiliated with aquatic habitats. Within the Project regions, such habitats occur in two distinct areas: 1) along the Amu Darya river at the western extreme of Lot 1 at a minimum distance of 5.1 km from the River; and 2) in Lake Ayakagitma, an IBA classified as such on the basis of its hosting large concentrations of waterbirds, located to the southwest of Lot 2 at a minimum distance of 5.3 km. Both of these areas contain high concentrations of waterbirds, most of which are migratory, and occur within the region primarily during spring and fall migration periods. Lake Ayakagitma also hosts substantial concentrations of wintering waterbirds, and a more limited set of waterbird species breeds within these areas.

Twenty-two of the 77 total bird species observed during the bird baseline surveys were water-affiliated species, including a variety of swans, ducks, herons, cormorants, grebes, and waders. These species were observed exclusively at VP locations 1 and 2 (Figure 97), which were located with viewsheds onto Lake Ichkidjarkul, as well as a nearby fish farm pond, both small waterbodies within the Amu Darya floodplain/riparian zone. Of the 22 water bird species observed during the baseline surveys, five meet the EBRD criterion for classification as Priority Biodiversity Features, international red-list status of Vulnerable or above, national red-list status of CR/EN, and/or migratory status. These species are listed within Table 31 - Table 34, and are as follows: Whooper Swan (*Cygnus cygnus*, IUCN LC, Uzbek VU, one observation of

two birds), Common Pochard (*Aythya farina*, IUCN VU, Uzbek LC, one observation of 22 birds), Ferruginous Duck (*Aythya nyroca*, IUCN NT, Uzbek VU, one observation of 15 birds), Pallas’s Gull (*Ichthyaetus ichthyaetus*, IUCN LC, Uzbek VU, two observations of 93 total birds), and Dalmatian Pelican (*Pelecanus crispus*, IUCN NT, Uzbek EN, three observations of 107 total birds, including discovery of one dead bird).

In summary, these observations confirm migratory passage of some sensitive waterbird species through the Amu Darya river corridor, though overall activity was fairly limited during the autumn season, and restricted to waterbodies located a minimum of 2 km from any portion of the subject OHTL. No sensitive waterbirds were observed at any vantage points located along either Lot 1 or Lot 2 of the OHTL, though some such activity is presumed to occur on the basis of the proximity of some sections to significant water bodies (e.g. minimum distance of ca 1.5 km from the western extreme of Lot 1 to Lake Ichkidjarkul, minimum distance of ca 5.3 km from the central portion of Lot 2 to Lake Ayakagitma).

The relatively small numbers of observations of PBF migratory water bird species observed during the baseline survey, combined with the absence of observations of these species along either of the proposed OHL segments indicate that none of them cross the pertinent thresholds for a CH determination. CH determination would require a minimum of 1% of one of these species’ global populations to be present within the EAAA at any point in their life cycle for a migratory species (all five species, CH criterion iv), a likelihood of uplisting to global EN/CR status in the case of globally VU species (Pochard, CH criterion iib), or consideration of the EAAA to contain a nationally “important” population in the case of a nationally EN species (Pelican, CH criterion iic) (Table 34).

Figure 93: Great Cormorants, Caspian Gulls and Common Black-headed Gulls on Amu Darya river (photo was taken during the Scoping site visit)



Macqueen’s Bustard

Macqueen’s Bustard, also known as the Asian Houbara Bustard, or Asian Houbara (*Chlamydotis macqueenii* Figure 94), is a large, terrestrial bird that breeds a variety of shrub/desert habitats of Uzbekistan during the spring, and migrates to southern nations of central Asia during the winter. Classified as a Vulnerable species at both national and international levels, this species is classified as a Priority Biodiversity Feature for the Project

(Table 34). It is a species of special focus for the Project, as collisions with OHTL are considered to be one of the most significant anthropogenic threats to populations of bustards, worldwide, including specifically to the Macqueen’s Bustards within Uzbekistan.

Figure 94: *Asian Houbara Bustard* (photo taken during the Scoping site visit)



The Project area contains two subsections with suitable breeding habitat, and Macqueen’s Bustards were documented within both of these zones during the baseline survey effort. The first includes roughly the eastern third of Lot 1, in the general vicinity of Dzhankeldy village, which is distinguished by sandy loam shrub desert habitat, and runs along the foothill plain near the Beltau mountains. Macqueen’s Bustards were observed within this region at VP survey location #8, with two individuals observed both on September 18 and on October 16, 2021 indicated by the more western red dot in Figure 95.

Lot 2 of the Project also contains suitable breeding habitat for Macqueen’s Bustards), and feathers of Macqueen’s Bustards were found during the baseline survey effort within this region at VP survey location # 14, indicated by the more eastern red dot in Figure 95.

Based on the limited extent of breeding habitat for this species within the Project area, an estimated global population size of minimum 33,000 individuals¹⁰⁷, and this species’ fairly broad distribution within central and eastern Asia, this species is classified as a PBF for the Project rather than a CH feature, as the threshold for a CH determination for a globally VU species (based on IFC PS6 CH criterion 1b) is that the EAAA must contain a portion of the population whose loss would cause the species’ uplisting to global EN/CR status (Table 31).

¹⁰⁷ <https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/22733562/205364424> accessed 20 February, 2022

Figure 95: Locations where Houbara Bustard was documented during the baseline survey (see text) (Source: Bird monitoring baseline report)




Sociable Lapwing

The Sociable Lapwing (*Vanellus gregarius*) is a migratory shorebird that is known to migrate through Uzbekistan, breeding in nations further north, and wintering in areas further south. It is classified as a Vulnerable species at the national level, but IUCN classifies it as Critically Endangered (CR) globally.

Up to 35% of the global population of this species is believed to migrate through Uzbekistan. During autumn migration, Sociable Lapwings concentrate around waterbodies with suitable shoreline habitats in Uzbekistan, primarily in the eastern portion of the country (Figure 96), to the east of the Project location, and birds may stay for up to several weeks, and reach significant concentrations at these migratory stopover points. Spring migration of Sociable Lapwings through Uzbekistan is believed to be more rapid and more geographically dispersed. Based on this understanding of the Sociable Lapwing’s migratory patterns through Uzbekistan, it is believed that they are more likely to pass through the Project region in spring than in autumn migration, and that autumn migrants are more likely to encounter Lot 2 of the Project, than Lot 1, though it is possible for them to occur anywhere within the Project region in either migratory season.

This species is classified as a PBF for the Project, as CH classification under criterion ii would require the EAAA to support at least 0.5% of this species’ global population, which would be a minimum of 56 individuals, based on the latest IUCN estimates (Table 31), and this is not considered to be likely given this species’ purely migratory status and overall rarity in Uzbekistan, as well as its rarity within the Project region, and the absence of observations of Sociable Lapwings during the baseline surveys.

Figure 96: Migratory pathways of Sociable Lapwings in central Asia, as documented through satellite telemetry studies (Source: <https://www.rdsconservation.com/team-sociable-lapwing-in-kazakhstan/>)



Egyptian Vulture

The Egyptian Vulture (*Neophron percnopterus*) is a migratory species that breeds across a wide range of dry habitats with some mountainous relief within Uzbekistan, occurring in the country generally from April through September, overwintering in more southerly latitudes. This species is classified as Vulnerable nationally and Endangered globally, hence it is a potential Critical Habitat feature, under EBRD CH criterion #2. Because of its seasonal distribution within Uzbekistan, it was not observed during the baseline survey effort for the Project. However, it is known to occur within the Project region, as recent surveys indicate that roughly 11 pairs of Egyptian Vultures nest within the Kyzylkum Desert region of Uzbekistan, which includes the Project area, and very recent survey efforts conducted by Uzbek ornithologist, Anna Ten, who also conducted the bird baseline study for this Project, have identified a minimum of five nesting pairs of Egyptian Vultures in the Ayakagitma bluffs, located north of the Lake Ayakagitma IBA, in the general vicinity of Lot 2 of the Project area. In addition, Egyptian Vultures are also present within the eastern portion of Lot 1 of the Project, as they are known to nest in rocky hills and small mountains of Beltau and Dzhankeldy.

This species is classified as a PBF for the Project, as classification as a CH feature under criterion ii would require the EAAA to support at least 62 individuals (Table 34), which is not considered likely.

Aquila eagles

Eagles in the genus, *Aquila*, include three species that are classified as PBF for the Project. The Steppe Eagle (*A. nipalensis*, Figure 97 and Imperial Eagle (*A. heliaca*) both occur in

Uzbekistan primarily as migrants, though Steppe Eagles also occur to a more limited extent during winter and summer seasons within the country, and specifically within the Project region. The Golden Eagle (*A. chrysaetos*) is a year-round resident within Uzbekistan. All three of these species are classified as Vulnerable nationally, but only Steppe Eagle and Imperial Eagle (VU) are classified with elevated conservation status globally by IUCN. All three of these species may occur throughout the Project region, especially in migration seasons, and all three were documented within the Project area during the baseline survey effort (Figure 98 and Figure 99), with one observation of a single Steppe Eagle near the western extreme of Lot 1 on October 25, three observations of individual Imperial Eagles within the western half of Lot 1 between early October and early November, and 32 observations of Golden Eagles, mostly single individuals or pairs, distributed widely across Lot 1, spanning the entire survey period. Although it was not documented in the vicinity of Lot 2 during the baseline survey effort, Golden Eagle is known to nest on the Ayakagitma cliffs in the vicinity of Lot 2, and all three of these eagle species could occur in the Lot 2 area, as well as along Lot 1. Based on the numbers of individuals believed to occur within the EAAA for the Project, all three species are classified as PBF rather than CH features (Table 34), as CH classification would require the EAAA to contain a minimum of 250 individuals in the case of Steppe Eagle (CH criterion iia), 850 individuals in the case of Golden Eagle (CH criterion iv), and in the case of Imperial Eagle, it would require either 25 individuals to occur in the EAAA at any given time (CH criterion iv), or a likelihood of the species' uplisting to globally EN/CR if the EAAA population were to be lost (CH criterion iib).

Figure 97: Steppe Eagle observed at VP 2 on October 25, 2021 during the baseline survey effort (Source: Bird monitoring baseline report)



Figure 98: Locations where Steppe and Imperial Eagles were observed during the baseline surveys (Source: Bird monitoring baseline report)



Figure 99: Locations where Steppe and Imperial Eagles were observed during the baseline surveys (Source: Bird monitoring baseline report)



Saker Falcon

The Saker Falcon (*Falco cherrug* Figure 100) is a partially migratory falcon species of Asian desert and mountain habitats that may be present within Uzbekistan year-round. While classified as Near Threatened nationally within Uzbekistan, it is classified as Endangered globally by the IUCN. It is a species of particular focus for the Project, as Saker Falcons are known to be susceptible to electrocution on powerlines with hazardous pole/pylon designs. Saker Falcons are known to nest in proximity to both Lot 2 (Ayakagitma cliffs) and the eastern portion of Lot 1 (near Beltau). During the baseline surveys, Saker Falcons were observed on two occasions, both occurring on October 2, in the western portion of Lot 1. Saker Falcon is classified as a PBF for the Project, as classification as a CH feature under criterion ii would require the EAAA to contain a minimum of 61 individuals, and available evidence does not suggest that this is the case (Table 34).

Figure 100: Saker Falcon observed perching on a transmission line pylon during the baseline survey effort on October 2 in the western portion of Lot 1. (Source: Bird monitoring baseline report)



Other Sensitive Raptors

In addition to the raptor species previously described four additional raptor species with national and/or international listed status of Vulnerable or above were observed during the baseline survey effort. These species are listed in Table 31 and are as follows:

- Osprey (*Pandion haliaetus*, IUCN LC, Uzbek VU, 6 observations of individual birds)
- Short-toed Snake-Eagle (*Circaetus gallicus*, IUCN LC, Uzbek VU (see Figure 101) 4 observations of individual birds)
- White-tailed Eagle (*Haliaeetus albicilla*, IUCN LC, Uzbek VU, 6 observations of 20 total individuals)
- Peregrine Falcon (*Falco peregrinus*, IUCN LC, Uzbek VU, one observation of a single bird)

Two of these species, Osprey and White-tailed Eagle, are water-affiliated raptors that migrate through the region. Observations of Ospreys up to 25 km east of the Amu Darya and White-tailed Sea-Eagles over 5 km from Lake Ayakagitma during the baseline surveys reflect the fact that in migration, these species may pass through upland portions of the Project region, far from any water bodies, in addition to being found near water bodies where they would forage for food. The other two species in this category, Short-toed Snake-Eagle and Peregrine Falcon, are rare but widespread migrants through the Kyzylkum Desert region, and the scattered observations of these species collected during the baseline study indicate that small numbers of both species could potentially occur anywhere along either Lot 1 or Lot 2 during their migratory periods.

The only potential CH trigger for any these species is CH criterion iv based on their migratory status, but this would require a minimum of 200 (White-tailed Eagle) or more individuals to be present within the EAAA at any time during their life cycle, which is not indicated to be the case by available evidence, hence these species are all classified as PBF (Table 31).

Figure 101: Short-toed Snake-Eagle, observed eating a snake in flight at a Vantage Point survey location in the middle portion of Lot 1 on October 2, 2021, during baseline surveys. (Source: Bird monitoring baseline report)



Other Migratory Birds

In addition to the sensitive bird species regarded individually as Priority Biodiversity Features for the Project, described above, a wide variety of other migratory bird species passes through the Project region during spring and/or autumn migratory periods, including several raptors, numerous water bird species, and numerous songbirds and other small land birds with international listed status of Near Threatened or Least Concern.

While not meeting EBRD criteria to trigger a CH determination under criterion ii, any of these species could trigger CH under criterion iv, if the EAAA is assessed to contain at least 1% of the global population at any time during a species life cycle. As a general rule, this CH threshold is triggered for projects whose EAAA include major migratory bottleneck sites or stopover sites. The subject OHTL segments are generally oriented E-W, and as such, run generally perpendicular to bird migratory passage through the region, which is generally N-S. The Kyzylkum Desert is located within the Central Asian migratory flyway, and within this region, migratory activity is expected to pass in a dispersed, “broad front” pattern for most species, with some concentration along major ridgelines and coastlines. The Project area, itself, does not contain any major ridgelines or coastlines, and migratory activity is generally expected to be diffuse over the entire OHTL, though some concentration is expected along the Amu Darya riparian corridor, as many migrating birds may also concentrate along such features. The bird migratory patterns along the Amu Darya riparian corridor have not been well characterised, previously.

The VP survey data collected along both sections of the subject OHTL during the baseline survey observations in the autumn migratory period indicated low passage of migratory birds through the Project site, overall, with a few notable observations indicative of more substantial (moderate) migratory activity, particularly at the western extreme of Lot 1, along the Amu Darya riparian corridor, but also to a lesser extent along Lot 2. Notable among these observations were observations of 38 Common Buzzards at VP #13 (Lot 2) on September 17, 2021 migrating in flocks of up to 20 birds, and flocks of 40 and 54 Pallas’s Gulls observed on October 1 and November 23, 2021 respectively, migrating along the Amu Darya river at VP #1. In addition, one of the VP surveyors recorded an incidental observation of over 2500 Great Cormorants (*Phalacrocorax carbo*) in migratory flight near dusk over the village of Sarimay, along the Amu Darya, on October 24, 2021. Aside from these observations, no flocks larger than eight individual birds were observed during the bird baseline surveys in the autumn migratory period.

Based on these observations and other available evidence, no species of migratory birds are considered to cross the 1% threshold required for CH designation, nor is there a trigger for any additional species or biodiversity features related to migratory birds to be classified as PBF for the Project (Table 34).

4.6.6 Reptiles and amphibians

4.6.6.1 Methods

The herpetological baseline data collection was developed using a combination of desk-based review of secondary information sources and primary data gathering through a field survey effort conducted within the Project area. Desktop research included a comprehensive review of available technical literature on the reptiles and amphibians of Uzbekistan, as well as review of publicly available databases including, but not limited to the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species and Reptile database.

The baseline survey effort was designed by a team of international and national biodiversity experts, and conducted by Uzbek herpetologist Timur Abduraupov. The methodology selected for the herpetological baseline survey effort consisted of four-days long out-of-season field survey in November during which car route and walking transects were conducted, followed by mapping the habitats suitable for the sensitive reptile species. A full description of the Herpetological baseline survey methods, and sampling effort is presented in Volume III, Technical Annex.

4.6.6.2 Sensitive reptile species

A wide range of reptile species occurs in the diverse geography and habitats traversed by the two OHTL segments: one amphibian species and 21 reptile species belonging to seven families in Lot 1 and five reptile species in Lot 2 area. Two reptile species believed to be present, or potentially present in the Project area during other seasons of the year, listed in Table 35, have been identified as PBF for the Project (Table 34).

This set of two species includes all reptile species that meet EBRD criteria for Priority Biodiversity Features or Critical Habitat features. The following section presents a baseline characterization for each of these species. Additional information on all reptile species is presented within the herpetological baseline survey report (Volume III – Technical Annexes).

Table 35: Sensitive reptile species for the project.

Scientific name	Common name	IUCN status	Uzbekistan Red Data Book (2019)	Pertinent Project Section
<i>Testudo horsfieldii</i>	Russian tortoise	VU	2 (VU)	Lot 1/Lot 2
<i>Alsophylax laevis</i>	Southern Even-fingered Gecko	CR	2 (VU:D)	Lot 1

Russian tortoise

The Russian tortoise (*Testudo horsfieldii* Gray, 1844, Figure 102), classified as a Vulnerable species on both national and IUCN global red lists, is endemic to Central Asia. It is believed to be undergoing population declines both globally and nationally. It inhabits both sandy and clayey deserts, plains, mountain slopes, depressions and valleys, gorges and mountain steppe up to 1,150 m above sea level. It rarely lives on agricultural lands – on the edges of irrigated and unirrigated fields, in vegetable gardens and orchards. It generally avoids places with dense grass cover, as well as areas grazed intensively by livestock. The number of


tortoises is subject to significant fluctuations, which depend on winter and spring meteorological conditions, as well as the abundance of food. The cultivation of virgin lands, livestock grazing and the use of natural habitats by humans significantly affects its population. Russian tortoise is also a subject of illegal trade in Uzbekistan. It hibernates in winter and has a period of estivation in summer, being active only three months during a year. Because of this seasonality, it was not observed during the baseline survey effort for the Project. The map below represents its area of its distribution within Uzbekistan. It is possible for Russian tortoise to occur anywhere within both lots of the Project from March to May.

Although the lack of global population estimates for this species constrain the assessment of the quantitative thresholds for CH and PBF determination, this species has been classified as a PBF for the Project, rather than CH, as CH determination under criterion iib (IUCN Vulnerable species) would only be triggered if the loss of the population of this species contained within the EAAA would likely result in the species' uplisting to globally EN or CR. This is considered unlikely, given the species' broad distribution across 12 central Asian countries (Table 35).

Figure 102: Russian tortoise. Photo by T. V. Abduraupov



Figure 103: Map of the areal and known locations of the Russian tortoise in various regions of Uzbekistan (Source: Out-of-season herpetological baseline report)



Southern Even-fingered Gecko

Figure 104 Southern Even-fingered Gecko. Photo by T. V. Abduraupov



The Southern Even-fingered Gecko (*Alsophylax laevis* Nikolsky, 1907, referred to as “SEFG”) is a narrowly distributed and highly specialized species of small, nocturnal gecko, restricted to “takyr,” or bare dirt flats, within the deserts of Uzbekistan and Turkmenistan. It is classified as Critically Endangered by IUCN, and Vulnerable in the Red Book of the Republic of Uzbekistan (2019). The SEFG occurs mostly on takyr with sparse vegetation, where completely barren and flat areas alternate with areas where short saltwort, clusters of wormwood and camel thorn and weak meadow grass plants grow.

It is known to inhabit the areas adjacent to the settlements of Dzhankeldy and Kalaata in the southern foothill part of the Kuldzhuktau outlier an area traversed by the eastern roughly 30% of Lot 1 of the Project. In this part of the range, the number of SEFG reaches up to 12-16 ind/ha. Also, almost the same populations exist north-east of the Kuldzhuktau outlier, near the Karakata depression – 9-10 ind/ha. According to the secondary data, the number of SEFG on the slopes of the Ayak-Agitma depression is quite low – 1.3 ind/ha. This is a nocturnal species

that is active from March to October. This is why it was not observed during the baseline survey effort for the Project. Due to the fact that the SEFG only occurs on takyr, it is sensitive to habitat loss and degradation.


Of the total mileage of the planned power line, which is 127 km, potential habitats of the SEFG are 22 km, which is 17.3% of the total length of the power line. The main habitats of the SEFG along this power line are located in the South-eastern part, closer to the Kuldzhuktau sky islands, near the Kalaata and Dzhankeldy villages. Figure 105 illustrates the extent and distribution of potential SEFG habitat within the Project, which is located exclusively within the eastern portion of Lot 1.

The SEFG has been assessed as a CH feature for the Project (Table 32), based on the following set of evidence and assumptions:

- All of the potential SEFG habitat identified within the Project area is occupied by SEFG, at densities similar to what has been measured elsewhere within the region (12-16 individuals/ha).
- The Ecologically Appropriate Area of Analysis (EAAA) for the SEFG extends for up to 200m on either side of the OHTL, itself, thus creating a polygon in the form of a 400m-wide strip centred on the OHTL extending along the 22km stretch in the eastern portion of Lot 1 where potentially suitable SEFG habitat was identified during the November, 2021 baseline survey (Table 32)..
- The global population of the SEFG may be as small as 2,816,000 or smaller

The threshold for triggering CH under EBRD CH criterion iia (species classified as globally CR or EN) is that the EAAA must contain $\geq 0.5\%$ of the global population, and at least 5 reproductive units of the species. The density of SEFG within the Kuldzhuktau foothill plain has been measured previously at 12-26 individuals per hectare. The Project traverses roughly 22 km of potential SEFG habitat within this region. With potential for impacts to the SEFG extending 200m each direction from the line (thus, defining the EAAA for the SEFG), the total number of SEFG present within the Project's EAAA could range from 10,560 to 14,080 individuals. Therefore, in order to trigger CH under criterion 1a, the global population would have to be 2,816,000 or smaller. At present, there is no estimate of the global population size. Absent population size estimates, an alternative approach to assessing whether or not the Project may trigger CH for SEFG under CH criterion iia is to base the calculation on the areal extent of the population, assuming uniform density. Under this calculation, the Project's potential impacts to 8.8 km² of SEFG habitat could trigger CH under criterion iia if the global distribution of this species were limited to 1,760 km² or less. At present, there are no available estimates of the SEFG's global estimated area of occupancy (AOO) or global extent of occurrence (EOO), hence existing information is not sufficient to arrive at a robust conclusion regarding whether or not the Project would trigger the quantitative threshold necessary to trigger CH for the SEFG. In the absence of such information, applying a precautionary approach, it is assumed that the Project does trigger a CH determination, and the 22 km of OHTL in the eastern portion of Lot 1, along with a 200m buffer extending perpendicular to the line on each side, are considered Critical Habitat for the SEFG¹⁰⁸.

Figure 105: Map of the habitat suitable for the Southern Even-fingered Gecko on the project territories (Source: Out-of-season herpetological baseline report)



4.6.7 Mammals

4.6.7.1 Methods

The mammal baseline data collection was developed using a combination of desk-based review of secondary information sources and primary data gathering through a field survey effort conducted within the Project area. Desktop research included a comprehensive review of available technical literature on the mammals of Uzbekistan, as well as review of publicly available databases including, the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species and CITES Appendices.

The baseline survey effort was designed by a team of international and national biodiversity experts, and conducted by Uzbek mammalogist Mariya Gritsina. The methodology selected for the mammal baseline survey effort consisted of 4-days long ground survey in October 2021 during which car route and walking transects were conducted, as well as interviews of the local people. Direct observation including footprints, excrements, burrows as well as indirect observation (oral data) were collected and recorded. 11 photo traps were installed for the period from October 2021 to March/April 2022¹⁰⁹ (Figure 106). A full description of the Mammal baseline survey methods, and sampling effort is presented in Volume III, Technical Annex.

4.6.7.2 Sensitive mammal species

A total of 32 mammal species belonging to five orders can occur in the diverse geography and habitats traversed by the two OHTL segments: Insectivorous (four species), Lagomorphs (one), Rodents (17), Carnivores (nine), Ungulates (one).

¹⁰⁹Seasonal baseline survey efforts are ongoing (spring summer 2022) to confirm the findings about the likelihood of the presence or absence of certain species based on habitat walkovers. This ESIA will be updated with final seasonal work and where assumptions are required to be updated, these will be noted.

Three mammal species believed to be present, or potentially present in the Project area during other seasons of the year, listed in Table 36, have been identified as PBF for the Project (Table 31).

This set of three species includes all mammal species that meet EBRD criteria for Priority Biodiversity Features or Critical Habitat features. This set of three species includes all mammal species that meet EBRD criteria for Priority Biodiversity Features or Critical Habitat features. The following section presents a baseline characterization for each of these species. Additional information on all mammal species is presented within the mammal baseline survey report (see Volume III, Technical Annexes).

Figure 106: Location of trail cameras in the project area (Source: Mammal survey baseline report)



Table 36: Sensitive mammal species for the project

Scientific name	Common name	IUCN status	Uzbekistan Red Data Book (2019)	Pertinent Project Section
<i>Vormela peregusna</i> (Güldenstädt, 1770)	Marbled Polecat	VU	VU	Lot 1/Lot 2
<i>Caracal caracal</i> (Schreber, 1776)	Caracal		CR	Lot 1
<i>Gazella subgutturosa</i> (Güldenstädt, 1780)	Goitered Gazelle	VU	VU	Lot 1/Lot 2

Marbled Polecat

The Marbled Polecat (*Vormela peregusna*) is classified as Vulnerable on both the national and global (IUCN) redlists. It is a naturally rare species that is believed to be undergoing population decline and range retraction throughout its range. It inhabits different types of deserts and semi-deserts. It resides in the burrows of great gerbils, long-clawed and yellow ground squirrels. Approximate numbers throughout the country are estimated at 5,000 individuals. The main threats are land development and degradation, the death of rodents because of natural epizootics.

During the autumn ground survey only indirect observation was made: according to the residents of Kalaata settlement, they observed Marbled polecat near rodent colonies near Kalaata.

Based on the available evidence, this species is classified as a PBF for the Project. For the Marbled Polecat to trigger a CH determination under criterion iib, the loss of the population contained within the Project’s EAAA would have to be likely to result in the species’ up-listing to global EN/CR status: a possibility that is considered unlikely, given this species’ distribution across 25 countries (Table 36).


Caracal

The Caracal (*Caracal caracal* Figure 108) is classified as Critically Endangered nationally within Uzbekistan, and Least Concern globally by IUCN. This species is also listed in Annex I of CITES. The area near Kalaata settlement, the desert area and the foothills of the Kuldjuktai range, the eastern part from Karakyr Lake and to the west of Ayakagytna Lake are key habitats for Caracal in the Kyzylkum Desert (Figure 108).

Figure 107: Caracal. Photo by Mariya Gritsina (2019).



Figure 108: Key habitats of Caracal in Kyzylkum desert (Source: Mammal survey baseline report)



The main threats for Caracal are the decline in numbers of tolai hare and rodents, harsh and snowy winters (as caracals are not adapted to low temperatures and high snow cover), development of virgin lands, persecution by humans and feral dogs. The most recent data refers to 2012-2014, the documented killings of Caracal by local people of Kalaata and Dzhanakeldy settlements

During the autumn field survey the local people from Kalaata settlement confirmed presence of Caracal in the territory: they encountered cases of Caracal attacks on new-born lambs.

Goitered Gazelle

The Goitered Gazelle (*Gazella subgutturosa* Figure 109) is classified as Vulnerable on both the national and global (IUCN) red lists, also listed in Annex II of CMS. It is patchily distributed, and believed to be declining throughout its range. The current population throughout the republic has recently been estimated at 4,000 individuals. For Kuldjuktai and the adjacent areas, the estimate is about 150-180 individuals. The main limiting factors in the project area are habitat development and degradation (cattle grazing, creation of farms, reduction of watering places, etc.), poaching, ice and snowy winters.

The main concentration of gazelles is observed in the areas of Dzhankeldy, Taskazgan, Ayakagytna, Lyukhcha spring, Karakyr Lake. Gazelle is also indicated on the IBA "Ayakagytna Lake and Adjacent Desert" (near Lot 2). In recent years, there have been many documented observations of the Goitered Gazelle in the area near Ayakagytna lake, the northern and southern parts of Kuljuktai in the vicinity of the Dzhankeldy village (40 km from the Lot 1).

During the autumn ground survey a single trace of Goitered Gazelle was found on a damp patch of salt marsh edge (Figure 110 and Figure 111). According to interview data Goitered Gazelles are still occasionally encountered in the Project area (Lot 1), but numbers are extremely low because of regular poaching.

Based on the available evidence, this species is classified as a PBF for the Project. For the Goitered Gazelle to trigger a CH determination under criterion iib, the loss of the population contained within the Project's EAAA would have to be likely to result in the species' up-listing to global EN/CR status: a possibility that is considered unlikely, given this species' estimated minimum global population size of 42,000 and its relatively wide distribution across 11 countries (Table 36).

Figure 109: Goitered Gazelle. Photo by Mariya Gritsina.



Figure 110: A single gazelle track on the edge of a solonchak (Source: Mammal survey baseline report)



Figure 111: Gazelle tracks on solonchaks in the vicinity of Sarimay settlement (Source: Mammal survey baseline report)



5 Stakeholder Engagement

5.1 Introduction

Stakeholder engagement is the process of identifying, mapping and prioritising stakeholders that might be impacted due to Project activities, have a certain interest in the Project or have a decision-making status about the Project.

A Stakeholder Engagement Plan (SEP) has been prepared on behalf of NEGU to maintain and guide stakeholder engagement over the lifetime of the Project. The SEP is currently focusing on engagement during the ESIA phase but will remain a live document and will be subject to further updates and guidance during the construction and operations phases.

Stakeholder engagement in the SEP has been planned according to Uzbek legislation for Category I projects and EBRD requirements for Category A projects. This section provides a summary of the content of the SEP. The SEP is provided as Volume V of this ESIA.

5.2 SEP objectives

The main objectives of the SEP are as follows:

- Identifying and preparing a stakeholder matrix that includes all stakeholders that may be impacted by or interested in the Project. It also identifies key organisations and communities who need to be consulted to provide the Project with permissions related to the Project (e.g. the SCEEP).
- Establishing relevant communication approaches to each stakeholder group to deliver Project information and conduct consultations.
- Recording feedback, concerns and views of stakeholders regarding the Project.
- Establishing proper/suitable means of communication, especially with vulnerable groups and women, to prevent any risks relating to Gender-Based Violence and Harassment (GBVH), including Sexual Exploitation and Abuse (SEA).
- Developing and maintaining a grievance mechanism.

All stakeholder engagement will be carried out in a culturally appropriate manner, and in languages that are understood by stakeholders, these will include: Uzbek, Russian and Kazakh (the predominant language of the Nukus community) as relevant. Planning for engagement activities will take into account cultural and economic elements to ensure the greatest number of stakeholders can attend (for example when women are able to attend, or when herders are at their houses and not out grazing their animals).

5.3 Stakeholder identification

Table 37 provides the stakeholder engagement matrix consisting of individuals, communities, organisations, and Government agencies considered stakeholders of the S-D OHTL. By applying a systematic approach, the current stakeholder matrix has been classified into three main categories based on the type of interest.

- (A) Affected/impacted stakeholders (these can be directly or indirectly affected by Project).
- (I) Interest-based stakeholders (those stakeholders that have a certain interest in the Project, i.e., the Project's beneficiaries, NGO's, civil society).
- (D) Decision making stakeholders (groups/individuals/organisations that make a decision regarding the Project, i.e., Project lenders, local regulators etc).

Table 37: Stakeholder engagement matrix

Stakeholder Group	Stakeholder Entities	Relevance to project: “impact-based” (A), “interest-based” (I), or decision maker (D)	Method of communication
Directly affected communities (local villages, and land users)	Nukus community (Lot 1)	A/I: The closest communities to the planned OHTL route which might be affected by construction works, and potential adverse impacts from construction activity, such as increased dust level, noise and land acquisition.	Face to face meetings / public meetings / public hearings / telegram channel / phone calls / written correspondence/ village walk-throughs / distribution of leaflets / social media.
	Sarimay village (Lot 1)		
	Dzhankeldy village (Lot 1)		
	Kalaata village (Lot 1)		
	Herders 1-3 (Lot 1)		
	State Committee for Development of Sericulture and Wool Industry– land owner		
	Kokcha LLC (Lot 2) – subordinate organisation of the Committee/land leaser		
	Dzhankeldy LLC (Lot 1) – subordinate organisation of the Committee/ land leaser		
Owners of directly affected infrastructure	Navoi Mining Company, (responsible for water pipelines (Lot 1); the water station (Lot 1); emergency water drainage system (in each 500 m/ 1 km))	A/I: Local structures that may require the Project to follow certain standards during construction in order to avoid any negative consequences/emergencies as a result of construction. The infrastructure may also have temporary or permanent workers that could be impacted by construction.	Face to face meetings/ / phone calls / telegram channel / written correspondence.
	NEGU (owner of existing transmission lines)		
	Owners of mining areas ¹¹⁰ (owned by individuals/private legal entities)		

110 Please note that State Committee on Geology and Mineral Resources of the Republic of Uzbekistan oversees the activities. Committee allocates mining areas to legal and physical entities.

Stakeholder Group	Stakeholder Entities	Relevance to project: “impact-based” (A), “interest-based” (I), or decision maker (D)	Method of communication
	JS “Uzbekistan Railways”, (owner of two railway branches ‘one crossing’ near Lot 1 that goes in parallel with proposed OHTL route and one with a station close to Lot 2		
Community leaders	Chairman of ‘Nukus’ Community (Lot 1) Chairman of ‘Sarimay’ Community (Lot 1) Chairman of ‘Kalaata’ and ‘Dzhankeldy’ villages (Lot 2)	A/D: Responsible for affected communities and they can influence the information community members received about the Project.	Face to face meetings / public meetings / public hearings / telegram channel / phone calls / written correspondence.
Indirectly affected communities (in a wider area)	Agitma village (Lot 2) Koklam village (Lot 2) Cholobod village (Lot 2)	A/I: Communities may be impacted by influx of workers, and suffer transportation delays. They may also receive project benefits through employment and procurement activities.	Public meetings / phone calls / telegram channel / distribution of leaflets/ social media.
Vulnerable groups and women	Women	A: Women involved in herding activities may not have access to Project information.	Face to face meetings/ public meetings / public hearings / telegram channel / village walk-throughs / phone calls / written correspondence/ social media.
	Youth/children	A: Youth and children that can be involved in the herding activities (the family members of herders tend to help them). Also, families tend to live on or near the Project AOI in settlements during certain seasons.	
	Elderly and disabled	A. If impacted by the Project, these people may not be able to attend all public meetings and be part of Project planning.	Face to face meetings/ phone calls / telegram channel / written correspondence.
	Illiterate or semi-literate	A. These people may not be able to understand all information provided about the Project and will need assistance.	Face to face meetings/ public meetings / social media / village walk-throughs / public hearings / phone calls.
Employees and labour	Herders’ employees	A: May lose their job if resettlement of herders is required.	Face to face meetings / public meetings / social media / telegram channel / phone calls.
	Directly affected infrastructure workers (such as mine workers or water station workers)	A: May be impacted by the construction works, dust or traffic for example.	

Stakeholder Group	Stakeholder Entities	Relevance to project: “impact-based” (A), “interest-based” (I), or decision maker (D)	Method of communication
Industry and business	Methane gas supplier (Sarimay)	A: Main gas supplier (located in Sarimay) could be impacted by traffic during transportation of equipment.	Face to face meetings / public meetings / telegram channel / public hearing/ phone calls.
	Canteens (There are two canteens that are located within 1 km from Project site, and four others that are located at a distance of between 2-5 km from the Project site)	A/I: Food supplies could be impacted by traffic during the transportation of equipment. Canteens may be frequented by workers during construction works.	
Governmental bodies	“Uztransgas” JSC	D: Should be consulted to get information on construction measures to avoid disturbance to gas pipelines.	Face to face meetings / public meetings / phone calls/ written correspondence.
	Uztelecom JSC	D: Should be consulted to get information on construction measures to avoid disturbance to communication lines.	
National Government bodies	“NEGU” JSC	D: Responsible for development of the Project.	Face to face meetings / phone calls/ written correspondence.
	Ministry of Labour Protection and Social Welfare	I: Statutory consultees.	Written correspondence.
	Ministry of Health (or MHRUz)	I: Statutory consultees. Also, protection of employee and public safety. Responsible for establishment of health and protection zones around the OHTL.	Written correspondence.
	State Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan on Ecology and Environmental protection	D: Statutory consultees. Control of national environmental policy (see following rows) and protection standards. Responsible for EIA approval.	Face to face meetings/ phone calls/ written correspondence.
	State Committee for Geology and Mineral Resources (Goskomgeologiya)	D: Approves permits for specific activities carried out on site.	Face to face meetings/ phone calls/ written correspondence.

Stakeholder Group	Stakeholder Entities	Relevance to project: “impact-based” (A), “interest-based” (I), or decision maker (D)	Method of communication
	National Centre for Archaeology	D: To confirm the presence of objects or locations of archaeological significance.	Face to face meetings/ phone calls/ written correspondence.
	Agency of Cultural Heritage under Ministry of Sport and Tourism of the Republic of Uzbekistan	D: To confirm the presence of objects or locations of cultural significance.	Face to face meetings/ phone calls/ written correspondence.
Provincial / Municipal / Local Government Departments – all levels (from highest to the lowest)	Khorezm regional Khokimiyat	A/D: Will make decisions on land allocation and Project realization.	Face to face meetings/ phone calls/ written correspondence.
	Bukhara regional khokimiyat	A/D: Will make decisions on land allocation and Project realization.	
	Peshku district khokimiyat	A/D: Will make decisions on land allocation and Project realization.	
	Gijduvan district khokimiyat	A: Will make decisions on land allocation and Project realization.	
	Turtkul district municipality	A: Will make decisions on land allocation and Project realization.	
Civil society, NGOs, research bodies	NGOs working in the regions, such as Uzbekistan Society for the Protection of Birds (Bird Life)	I: Depending on core purpose of specified NGO.	Face to face meetings/ phone calls/ written correspondence / social media.
Media	Regional and local mass media (newspapers, radio, television as relevant)	I: Will need to be involved in disseminating information about the Project.	Written correspondence / phone calls.
	Telegram channel of Gijduvan municipality “Hokim va tadbirkorlar” (Mayor and businessmen)		
	Telegram channel of Peshku municipality “Peshku.uz”		

Stakeholder Group	Stakeholder Entities	Relevance to project: “impact-based” (A), “interest-based” (I), or decision maker (D)	Method of communication
	Telegram channel of Tuprokkala municipality “Tuproqqala hokimligi” (Tuprokkala municipality)		
Political parties of environmental focus	Ecological party of Uzbekistan	I: Will be interested in the execution of the Project and its environmental impacts and mitigation measures.	Written correspondence.

Figure 112 summarises how stakeholder engagement has been undertaken up and until the completion of the ESIA.

Figure 112: Methods of stakeholder engagement during the ESIA process

Phase 1 - Preliminary Consultation	Phase 2 - Scoping	Phase 3 - ESIA Study
Method: Face to face interview / literature review	Method: Face to face scoping meetings / Stakeholder Workshop	Method: KIIs, Focus Groups / Public meetings
One to one meeting with key stakeholders to present the Project (e.g. State Committee)	with key stakeholders to present the Project Khokimyats, makhallas	Interviews with relevant stakeholders in the target area, inc. PAC's and vulnerable groups.
Dissemination of the Project Information Leaflet	Presentation of the Scoping Report and ESIA TOR to key stakeholders (SC, NGO's)	Interview with relevant interest groups (e.g. biodiversity groups)
Identification of stakeholders to be involved in the consultation (inc. transboundary contacts)	Obtain primary baseline data	Focus Group Discussions (FGDs)
Identification of partners or location for public consultation	Identification of stakeholder concerns for OHTL	Disclosure of the NTS (English and Russian)
	Response to Stakeholder concerns (via ESIA ToR)	Public meetings (nation and EBRD PR10) to feedback the findings of the ESIA EBRD Disclosure (120 days)

5.4 Summary of stakeholder engagement during the ESIA phase

Table 38 notes all engagement undertaken during the scoping site visit and ESIA preparation site visit (up to February 2022). Figure 113 provides an overview of the location of stakeholder engagement activities. As of December 2021, NEGU has not conducted any separate consultation regarding this Project. Stakeholder engagement has been undertaken by JE. This section will be updated as further engagement is undertaken.

Table 38: Stakeholder engagement to date

Date	Location of meeting (if relevant)	Name and organisation of receptor	Number of attendees	Type of engagement (face to face/ / telephone call/ social media)	Information disclosed (NTS/leaflet etc)	Notes
October 12, 2021	In the office of the khokimiyat	Deputy mayor of the Tuprokkala district khokimiyat	2	Face-to-face meeting	Project leaflet	-
October 12, 2021	In the makhalla committee office	Nukus community leader	2	Face-to-face meeting	Project leaflet	-
October 12, 2021	Nukus school	Nukus school teachers	2	Face-to-face meeting	Project leaflet	-
October 12-13, 2021	Near the entrance of the Sarimay substation	Engineer, Electrician and Technical staff - Sarimay substation	4	Face-to-face meeting	Project leaflet	-
October 12-16, 2021	Near the herders' settlement	Local herders	4	Face-to-face meetings	Project leaflet	-
October 13, 2021	Near the water station office	Head engineer and two technical staff members - Water station of Navoi Mining Company	3	Face-to-face meeting	Project leaflet	-
October 13, 2021	In the makhalla committee office	Sarimay community leader	3	Face-to-face meeting	Project leaflet	Leaflets were also distributed to community members
November 12, 2021	Near the herder's settlement	Herder 1 (Sultonmurodov Jakhsimurot)	1	Face-to-face meeting and survey	Project leaflet	-
November 13, 2021	n/a	Department of Makhalla and Family Support under Ministry of Makhalla and Family of Republic of Karakalpakstan	2	Phone call	None	-
November 15, 2021	In the makhalla committee office	Dzhankeldy village community leader	2	Face-to-face meeting and survey	Project leaflet	-
November 15, 2021	Individual households	Dzhankeldy community members	30	Surveys undertaken with community members	Project leaflet	-
November 15, 2021	Kalaata village	Kalaata village community leader	2	Face-to-face meeting and survey	Project leaflet	-
November 15, 2021	Individual households	Kalaata community members	4	Surveys undertaken with community members	Project leaflet	-


Date	Location of meeting (if relevant)	Name and organisation of receptor	Number of attendees	Type of engagement (face to face/ / telephone call/ social media)	Information disclosed (NTS/leaflet etc)	Notes
November 16, 2021	Near the herder's settlement	Herder 2 (Omonkul Koshmuradov)	1	Face-to-face meeting and survey	Project leaflet	-
November 16, 2021	Near the herder's settlement	Herder 3 (Bozorbekov Kenjabek's wife))	1	Face-to-face meeting and survey	Project leaflet	-
November 17, 2021	Sarimay village	Sarimay community leader	2	Face-to-face meeting and survey	Project leaflet	--
November 17, 2021	Individual households	Sarimay community members	121	Surveys undertaken with community members	Project leaflet	
November 19, 2021	In the makhalla committee office	Nukus community leader	3	Face-to-face meeting	Project leaflet	-
November 19, 2021	Individual households	Nukus community members	60	Surveys undertaken with community members	Project leaflet	-
November 22, 2021	n/a	Herder 3 (Bozorbekov Kenjabek)	1	Phone call	None	-
November 25, 2021	n/a	State Committee of the Republic of Uzbekistan on Ecology and Environmental Protection	n/a	Business correspondence	Project information, and proposed routes for Lots 1&2 with coordinates in letter	-
November 26, 2021	In the office of khokimiyat	Khorezm khokimiyat	3	Face-to-face meeting	Project leaflet	-
November 26, 2021	n/a	Bukhara khokimiyat	n/a	Business correspondence	Project information, and proposed routes for Lots 1&2 with coordinates in letter	-
November 26, 2021	n/a	Ministry of Employment and Labour Relations of the Republic of Uzbekistan	n/a	Business correspondence	Project information, and proposed routes for Lots 1&2 with coordinates in letter	-
November 26, 2021	n/a	Ministry of Health (or MHRUZ)	n/a	Business correspondence	Project information, and proposed routes	-

Date	Location of meeting (if relevant)	Name and organisation of receptor	Number of attendees	Type of engagement (face to face/ / telephone call/ social media)	Information disclosed (NTS/leaflet etc)	Notes
					for Lots 1&2 with coordinates in letter	
November 26, 2021	n/a	Institute of Archaeology under Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Uzbekistan	n/a	Business correspondence	Project information, and proposed routes for Lots 1&2 with coordinates in letter	-
November 26, 2021	n/a	National Centre for Archaeology	n/a	Business correspondence	Project information, and proposed routes for Lots 1&2 with coordinates in letter	-
November 26, 2021	n/a	Agency of Cultural Heritage under Ministry of Sport and Tourism of the Republic of Uzbekistan	n/a	Business correspondence	Project information, and proposed routes for Lots 1&2 with coordinates in letter	-
November 26, 2021	n/a	“Uztransgas” JSC	n/a	Business correspondence	Project information, and proposed routes for Lots 1&2 with coordinates in letter	
November 26, 2021	n/a	“Uztelecom” JSC	n/a	Business correspondence	Project information, and proposed routes for Lots 1&2 with coordinates in letter	-
November 26, 2021	n/a	Navoi mining company (Water station of Navoi Mining company)	n/a	Business correspondence	Project information, and proposed routes for Lots 1&2 with coordinates in letter	-
November 26, 2021	n/a	JSC “O‘zbekiston temir yo‘llari”	n/a	Business correspondence	Project information, and proposed routes for Lots 1&2 with coordinates in letter	-
December 6 and 10, 2021	n/a	Navoi mining company	3	Phone call	None	-
December 10, 2021	n/a	JS “Uzbekistan Railways”,	n/a	Business correspondence	Project information, and proposed routes for Lots 1&2 with coordinates in letter	-

Date	Location of meeting (if relevant)	Name and organisation of receptor	Number of attendees	Type of engagement (face to face/ / telephone call/ social media)	Information disclosed (NTS/leaflet etc)	Notes
December 10, 2021	n/a	Specialist of Soviet of Ministers of Karakalpakstan Republic	2	Phone call	None	-
January 10, 2022	In the office of municipality	Gijduvan district municipality officials (Lot 2)	7	Public meeting	NTS/ Project presentation and brochures	-
January 10, 2022	In the office of municipality	Peshku district municipality officials (Lot 1)	11	Public meeting	NTS/ Project presentation and brochures	-
January 11, 2022	Kallaata village school	Kalaata male community members	7	Public meeting	NTS/ Project presentation and brochures	-
January 11, 2022	Kallaata village school	Kalaata female community members	8	Public meeting	NTS/ Project presentation and brochures	-
January 11, 2022	Courtyard of local school in Dzhankeldy village	Dzhankeldy male community members	23	Public meeting	NTS/ Project presentation and brochures	-
January 11, 2022	Local kindergarten in Dzhankeldy village	Dzhankeldy female community members	12	Public meeting	NTS/ Project presentation and brochures	-
January 11-13, 2022	Near the herders' settlements	Herders 1-4 (along Lot 1 and Lot - 2)	4	Face-to-face meetings	Project presentation and brochures	-
January 12, 2022	Local school in Nukus village	Nukus male community members	10	Public meeting	NTS/ presentation / Project brochures	-
January 12, 2022	Local school in Nukus village	Nukus female community members	8	Public meeting	NTS/ presentation / Project brochures	-
January 12, 2022	Local school in Sarimay village	Sarimay male community members	12	Public meeting	NTS/ Project presentation and brochures	-
January 12, 2022	Makhalla committee/department on women affairs	Representative of the Unit on women affairs in Makhalla committee	2	Face-to-face meeting	NTS/ Project presentation and brochures	The representative informed JE that women in Sarimay do not attend public meetings.

Date	Location of meeting (if relevant)	Name and organisation of receptor	Number of attendees	Type of engagement (face to face/ / telephone call/ social media)	Information disclosed (NTS/leaflet etc)	Notes
January 12, 2022	Sarimay village	Women from Sarimay community that were met while walking the village	4	Village walk-through	Brochures	-
January 12, 2022	In the office of the municipality	Tuprokkala municipality officials (Lot 1)	14	Public meeting	NTS/ Project presentation and brochures	
February 10, 2022	n/a	Navoi mining combinat	2	Phone call	n/a	We received written response to the letter which was sent on December
February 14, 2022	n/a	State Committee for Development of Sericulture and Wool Industry of the Republic of Uzbekistan	n/a	Business correspondence	Project information and location of proposed routes for Lot 1 and Lot 2	There were several attempts to reach Kokcha and Dzhankeldy LLCs during November (2021) and January (2022) site visits. Since there were no responses and chance to meet with them, we sent a letter to the Committee


Figure 113: Location and summary of Stakeholder Engagement Completed to Date (February 2022)



5.5 Grievance mechanism

Grievances relating to the Project can be raised during meetings, Project site visits, via phone calls and in written form (text messages, via e-mail, mobile applications, written requests etc.). Upon receiving a grievance by any means of communication, it will be entered into the grievance log. The grievance mechanisms will keep strict confidentiality of data, including the personal information of all applicants. Where preferred, complainants may submit their grievances anonymously. After receiving and registering a grievance, an applicant will receive a written notification within two days, including the proposed timeline for the investigation. A response to the complaint will be provided within two weeks of its submission. The approaches taken to resolve grievances will depend on the nature, frequency of occurrence and the number of grievances. Figure 114 provides a flow chart of how a grievance can be filed by a PAP.

Figure 114: Grievance mechanism flow chart



It is expected that a Community Liaison Officer will be identified by the Main Contractor or NEGU during construction and by NEGU during the operations phase. The current point of contact for NEGU is provided in Chapter 1. The SEP contains a sample grievance form. The grievance form will be made available in English, Russian and Uzbek¹¹¹. All grievances will be handled in the preferred language of the complainant and in a culturally appropriate manner.

¹¹¹ It may also be translated into Kazakh, for the community of Nukus if found to be necessary.

6 Impact Assessment Methodology

6.1 ESIA terms of reference

A scoping assessment¹¹² has been performed for the Project. The ESIA scoping identified the issues and impacts to be addressed in the ESIA as summarised in Table 39. Where no impact was predicted between the project and the receiving environment, the topics were not considered further. The detailed terms of reference (TOR) for this ESIA study is provided in Volume III – Technical annexes.

Table 39: Topics scoped into the ESIA for the S-D OHTL

Environment and Health	Social	Labour
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Air quality (C/D); ● Noise and vibration (C/D) ● Waste (including hazardous waste) (C/O/D) ● Climate resilience (C/O/D); ● Soil and (C/D) ● Water resources (C/D); ● Hydrogeology (C/D) ● EMF/EMC (O) ● Biodiversity (habitat loss, impact on critical habitat and PBF) ● Cumulative impacts 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Community health and safety (C/O/D) ● Gender Based Violence (GBVH) ● Traffic and Transportation (C/D) ● Security (C/O/D) ● Emergency preparedness and response (C/O/D) ● Livelihood and land use (C/O/D) ● Cultural heritage (C) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Occupational Health and Safety (C/O/D) ● Emergency preparedness and response (C/O/D) ● Labour rights (C/O/D) ● Employment (positive) (C/D) ● Gender Based Violence and Harassment (GBVH) (C/D) ● Human rights(C/O/D) Procurement/supply chain (C/O/D)
<p>Scoped out: Air quality (operations), Noise (including corona effect), Soils (operations), Landscape and visual impact (all phases), Radio and TV interference (all phases), Traffic and transportation (operations), Greenhouse gases, Cultural heritage (operations),</p>		
<p>Source: Juru Energy Scoping Report (2021) Note: C = Construction, O = Operations, D = Decommissioning</p>		

The scope of the impact assessment considers:

- The Project and related and associated facilities (where relevant) (as defined in Chapter 2).
- Risks and impacts that may arise for each activity in the Project cycle, including site establishment, installation and testing, and site closure / decommissioning.
- Role and capacity of the relevant parties including government, contractors and suppliers.
- Potential third-party impacts including supply chain considerations.

This ESIA has identified potential beneficial and adverse, direct and indirect, and cumulative impacts of the Project related to the bio-physical and socio-economic environment. Transboundary impacts are confirmed as not relevant.

¹¹² Juru Energy, Sarimay – Dzhankeldy OHTL Scoping report, November 2021

6.2 Assessment methodology

For a robust and transparent impact assessment process, each topic has considered the magnitude of the impact, and the sensitivity of the receiving environment to evaluate the overall significance of the impact. A framework for assigning magnitude, sensitivity and impact significance is described below. For each E&S aspect the potential mitigation and management measures are considered to give an overall residual impact significance conclusion.

Sensitivity criteria for receptors are categorised into high, medium, or low. Generic criteria used to determine the receptor sensitivity are provided in Table 40. Each topic specific chapter will define the relevant receptors and assigned a receptor sensitivity based on topic specific criteria.

Table 40: Generic criteria for the allocation of Receptor sensitivity – criteria for allocation

Sensitivity	Physical Receptor	Human Receptor	Biodiversity Receptor	Climate *(physical)
High	Little or no capacity to absorb proposed changes and has national or international value e.g. receptors where people or operations are particularly susceptible to noise or air quality changes)	Receptors with high vulnerability and permanent presence within the direct or indirect AOI (e.g. school, poor or vulnerable household, hospital). No capacity to absorb project changes or no opportunity for mitigation.	Substantial loss of ecological functionality	Climate variability will threaten the sustainability of the project (e.g. work may be precluded from taking place during certain months of the year).
Medium	Moderate capacity to absorb proposed changes e.g. where it may cause some uncomfot or distraction or disturbance	Receptors with moderate to high vulnerability and or somewhat affected by project impacts. Limited capacity to absorb changes. Potential opportunities for mitigation	Moderate but sustainable change which stabilises under constant presence of impact source, with ecological functionality maintained	Potential impacts that can be addressed through management actions(e.g. design, implementation management).
Low	Good capacity to absorb proposed changes and not protected or has low value e.g. receptors where the disturbance is minimal .	Receptors with low to moderate vulnerability, or are located in the AOI infrequently. Good capacity to absorb changes with no lasting effects, or good access to mitigation measures.	Species community or unaffected or marginally affected	Potential impact does not affect the sustainability of the Project.

6.2.1 Impact magnitude

The magnitude of the potential impact is determined based on the professional judgement of the specialist undertaking the assessment considering the five criteria provided in Table 41. Where impacts can also be quantified and compared against national or international standards these are also considered.

Table 41: Determination of magnitude – example criteria for allocation

Magnitude	Intensity Compliance	Duration	Spatial extent	Reversibility	Likelihood/Frequency
High	High intensity / non-compliant / large numbers of people affected/ very disruptive	Beyond the construction phase or permanent change	Direct AOI & Indirect AOI	Permanent impact	Continuous
Medium	Medium intensity/ actions need to be taken to become fully compliant / medium disruption or disruption to vulnerable groups or sectors of the community or workforce / Quality of life diminished due to change in character	> 3 months up to completion of the construction phase	Indirect AOI	Reversible, but requires mitigation and/or compensation	Intermittent
Low	Low intensity /compliant / small numbers of people / Non-intrusive or does not cause changes in quality of life	One off event or occurs for 3 months or less	Direct AOI	Reversible following end of phase under consideration	Infrequent / one-off event

6.2.2 Evaluation of significance

Based on impact magnitude and receptor sensitivity as defined above the significance of the impact is classed as neutral, minor, moderate, major or critical as presented in Table 42. Those that are deemed moderate, major or critical are considered the main focus of the management and implementation framework going forward based on the following considerations:

- **Critical:** These effects represent key factors in the decision-making process. They are generally, but not exclusively, associated with impacts where mitigation is not practical or would be ineffective.
- **Major:** These effects are likely to be important considerations but where mitigation may be effectively employed such that resultant adverse effects are likely to have a Moderate or Slight significance.

- **Moderate:** These effects, if adverse, while important, are not likely to be key decision-making issues.
- **Minor:** These effects may be raised but are unlikely to be of importance in the decision-making process.
- **Neutral:** No effect, not significant, noise need not be considered as a determining factor in the decision-making process.

Impacts are typically considered to be adverse, but it is also possible for positive impacts to be realised. Where positive impact are identified in the sections below, these are assigned a degree of positive impact based on the sustainability (duration) and scale (number of receptors) of the positive outcomes.

Table 42: Significance evaluation

Significance		Magnitude					
		Negative			Positive		
		Low	Medium	High	Low	Medium	High
Receptor Sensitivity	Low	Neutral	Minor	Moderate	Neutral	Minor	Moderate
	Medium	Minor	Moderate	Major	Minor	Moderate	Major
	High	Moderate	Major	Critical	Moderate	Major	Critical

The temporal influence of the Project has been assessed by comparing the existing baseline conditions (environmental, socio-economic and biological) over the expected duration of the Project activities as listed below.

- Site establishment and civils work (3 to 6 months)
- Tower installation (11 months)
- Site operation (30 years)
- Decommissioning (18 months)

6.2.3 Mitigation, enhancement and monitoring

Mitigation measures control, reduce, eliminate, or offset adverse impacts and enhance potential beneficial impacts of a development. In the mitigation section of each topic, proposed mitigation actions in accordance with the mitigation hierarchy have been defined. This includes actions to avoid or reduce significant impacts to acceptable levels in accordance with national and international standards and to align with good industry practice (GIP) or to enhance the Project outcomes. The significance of impacts has been determined once the application of mitigation and management measures has been defined. (i.e. residual significance)/

In general, the following hierarchy of mitigation measures has been applied to reduce, where possible, the significance of impacts to acceptable levels:

- Avoid and reduce through design (embedded mitigation)
- Abate impacts at source or receptor
- Repair, restore or reinstate to address temporary construction effects

- Compensate for loss or damage, such as replacement planting elsewhere

Mitigation and management measures have been summarised in the Project environmental and social management plan (Project ESMP) (Volume IV). The Project ESMP also sets out how the framework environmental and social management system (ESMS) for implementing the requirements of the ESIA following where possible NEGU existing environmental management health and safety management and human resource policies systems. This is outlined further in chapter 8 and in the Project ESMP. Actions to close gaps in the NEGU ESMS for implementing the requirements of the framework ESMP are defined in a Project Environmental and Social Action Plan (ESAP).

In some cases, monitoring is also required to verify the successful implementation of mitigation measures or factors related to the Project. These are also outlined in the topic specific sections below.

6.2.4 Residual significance

The determination of residual significance of impacts takes into account any incorporated mitigation measures adopted by the Project during design or siting and will depend on the extent and duration of change, the number of people or size of the resource affected and their sensitivity to the change. Based on the above approach, residual impacts post mitigation identified as having major or moderate significance will be classified as significant impacts.

6.2.5 Data limitation and uncertainties

Any uncertainties associated with impact prediction or the sensitivity of receptors due to the absence of data or other limitation are presented in the topic specific chapters. Commitments concerning measures that should be put in place for further survey work, monitoring and/or environmental or social management to deal with the uncertainty are captured in the framework ESMP and the Environmental and Social Action Plan (ESAP).

Any gaps in the NEGU ESMS as identified as part of the ESIA works are outlined in the Project ESAP along with project specific ESAP actions.

6.3 Cumulative impacts

Positive and negative cumulative impacts that may arise from the incremental impact of the proposed Project when added to other closely related past, present and probable future projects (as described in section 2.8) have been assessed. Potential cumulative effects may include:

- Combined effects - those likely to occur at areas where there is a concentration of activity (Substations, LILCO connection point, other project construction work).
- Spatial and temporal crowding - when many activities are carried out in too small an area at the same time.
- Strategic induced growth – macro scale due to the greater demand and availability of electricity and which will be an inevitable outcome of the Project (refer to needs case in section 2.1) and not considered further.

The following impact assessment sections have considered the first two potential cumulative effects for the project in the direct and indirect AOI for each topic under consideration for all project activities (OHTL, substation works, road works) at Lot 1 and Lot 2. The potential for impacts has considered:

- Geographic scope

- Pinch points (substations, LILLO connection, use of same access roads)
- Consultation duration of 18 to 24 months (2023 to 2024)
- Project operation lifetime 30 to 50 years

The assessment of cumulative impacts is based on information in the public domain regarding the identification of foreseeable projects in the region. Stakeholder engagement activities as described in section 5 have also helped to inform the assessment of potential cumulative impacts, in particular to identify neighbouring projects that might be undertaking construction works at the same time as the S-D OHTL construction works.

6.4 Transboundary impacts

Although the western end of the S-D OHTL runs within five kilometres of the border with Tajikistan. No transboundary impacts are envisaged, and transboundary impacts have been scoped out of any further assessment.

7 Impact Assessment

7.1 Introduction

The following sections provide the impact assessment for the topics scoped into the assessment as per Table 39.

7.2 Air quality

7.2.1 Potential impacts

Increases in dust or fugitive emissions can have a negative impact on the local air quality (AQ) as well as the health of workers or local residents. The health effects include: dust getting into eyes and mouth (workers, residents), increased AQ particles in the atmosphere, nuisance through surface soiling affecting local ecology and where relevant cultural features.

7.2.1.1 Construction phase

Activities during site construction phase which are likely cause AQ impacts include:

- Removal of vegetation leading to exposure of bare soil (discussed in section 7.7). to the wind and increased dust emissions.
- Operation of construction vehicles, excavation of foundations and cement production for foundations during site preparation and clearance works, groundworks leading to fugitive dust emissions specifically PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}.
- Vehicle movements in the right of way and along delivery routes and use of temporary generators leading to an increase in visible exhaust smoke, fugitive emission of oxides of nitrogen (NO_x), volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and other fumes and gases.

The existing access road to the Sarimay substation and along the OHTL (Lot 1 and Lot 2) is not surfaced and comprised mainly of loose sand and silt and has a high dust (particulate) raising potential during the site establishment phase. This will also be the case with any newly established roads and grading of access roads. Dust generating activities along the route will be limited to the access roads and the OHTL ROW. The use of dust generating materials¹¹³ is expected to be low based on offsite preparation of concrete for foundation works. There is still potential for dust to be generated in connection with the transport and transfer of cement to site, spills, and dust caused by vehicle movements. Potential dust episodes will be short term and temporary equivalent to one to two weeks at each tower workfront and six months in total.

Fugitive exhaust emissions associated with vehicle movements and temporary generators will be intermittent and short term and confined to the work sites and the roads.

Dust generating activities and fugitive exhaust emissions pre-mitigation are deemed MEDIUM magnitude for the entire construction phase.

¹¹³ Dust generating materials may be considered to be fine powdery materials e.g. cement or other fine dry materials/

Greenhouse gas emissions related to the construction phase have been scoped out. No induced or transboundary AQ impacts are expected.

7.2.1.2 Operation phase

No impact anticipated.

7.2.1.3 Decommissioning phase

Key activities that could give rise to AQ impacts (dust and fugitive emissions) are:

- Demolition of plant, towers and electrical systems, removal of plant and electrical system materials from site, structural foundation removal; and decommissioning plant item movements.
- Decommissioning traffic movements(removal of equipment and transfer of personnel to and from the worksites).

Decommissioning AQ impacts at Lot 1 and Lot 2 will be similar to those generated during the construction phase and are assigned a MEDIUM magnitude for the entire decommissioning phase pre-mitigation.

7.2.1.4 Cumulative impacts

Air quality impacts are expected to be contained to the direct AOI (200m from the proposed works). There are no other planned developments in the Lot 1 and Lot 2 AOI during the construction period. Cumulative impacts are not considered relevant.

7.2.2 Receptor sensitivity

The AOI for potential AQ impacts identified for the construction works is defined as 200m¹¹⁴ either side of the OHTL or the road used for delivery of materials and personnel to the tower foundation locations, the substation, and the construction camp, laydown and accommodation facility. All temporary work areas are expected to be within the OHTL ROW or the 200m buffer zone. The sensitivity of nearby receptors in the AOI is summarised in Table 43.

Table 43: Project AQ receptors

Lot 1	Sensitivity	Lot 2	Sensitivity
Workers (at substation and along the OHTL route)	Medium – within 200m of the works	Workers (Bash S/S and OHTL route)	Medium– within 200m of the works
Worker at the water pumping station	Low (outside 200m buffer zone)	Herders	Low (outside 200m buffer zone)
Road users (unsurfaced roads)	Low (outside 200m buffer zone)		
Road users (surfaced roads)	Low (outside 200m buffer zone)		
Herder camps	Low (outside 200m buffer zone)		

¹¹⁴ 250m is typically accepted as the distance from the source of impact where air quality impacts have dissipated to acceptable levels

Lot 1	Sensitivity	Lot 2	Sensitivity
Nearby houses and communities (Dzhankeldy /Kalaata)	Low (outside 200m buffer zone)		

7.2.3 Mitigation, management and monitoring measures

Table 44 outlines GIP and feasible and cost-effective measures to prevent or minimise AQ impacts during the construction and decommissioning phases.

Table 44: AQ mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2)

Project phase	Mitigation and management measures
Design / Contract/Procurement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Finalise line routing design to maintain at least 200m between dust sensitive receptors and the line route / access road
Project Specific	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Construct new sections of road in accordance with site clearance and stockpile management requirements outlined in section 7.7.3 including ensuring any stockpiles are covered to minimise dust events. - Excavation, handling and transport of erodible materials shall be avoided under high wind conditions where practicable. - Use water or other control measures such as chemical bonding agent or aggregate may be required as additional measures to control dust, however water availability is scarce in the region and unlikely to be a suitable measure to manage significant dust events. - Demarcate delivery road and access tracks the OHTL right of way and ensure all workers stick to demarcated areas. - Keep vehicle movements to a minimum - Plant and equipment to be located and operated at least 200m from water access points, water pumping station and herder shelters. - Use existing concrete batching plants in the local area for cement production or use pre-cast concrete blocks.
Good International Practice ¹¹⁵	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - All workers to wear personal protective equipment (PPE) - Enforce speed limits and reduce vehicle movements (maximum of 20 km/h) for project vehicles on unsurfaced roads. - No bonfires or open burning of materials at the site - Minimise amounts of material handling and avoid double handling to avoid transportation movements - Ensure all vehicles carrying loose or potentially dusty material to or from the site are fully sheeted - Ensure that vehicle engines and equipment on site are not left running unnecessarily - Minimise movement of construction traffic around the site - Where possible, use low sulphur fuels to reduce SO₂ emissions - Do not run equipment unnecessarily, - Engines and exhaust systems should be maintained so that exhaust emissions do not breach statutory emission limits set for the vehicle/equipment type and mode of operation. - Following the SEP, inform nearby dwellings and road users on the timing and duration of works along the OHTL route

115 GIP for air managing air quality impacts can be referred to in <https://www.rbkc.gov.uk/pdf/Document%2012%20-%20BRE%20-%20Control%20of%20Dust%20from%20Construction%20and%20Demolition%20Activities.pdf> ii) IFC EHS Guidelines General

Project phase	Mitigation and management measures
Compensation / Enhancement	- None identified
Monitoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Regular (daily) visual monitoring of dust episodes, soiling of vegetation, dust resuspension on the roads and dust clouds at OHTL Work fronts. - Maintain logbook: record any exceptional incidents that cause dust, either on- or off-site, and the action taken to resolve the situation in the logbook - Monitor implementation of GIP for concrete batching at offsite batching plant locations (e.g. covered stockpiles, sealed hoppers)

7.2.4 Residual Significance

Following the application of the mitigation measures outlined in Table 44, the magnitude of the impact is expected to reduce for all receptors and in particular those that may fall within 200m of temporary works sites (e.g. herders, workers at the water pumping stations, users of the water stations). The residual significance post mitigation is summarised in Table 45. The assessment has indicated that AQ impacts due to the construction and decommissioning phase of each Project would not be significant.

Table 45: AQ residual significance

Adverse impacts	Sensitivity	Magnitude (post mitigation)	Residual significance (post mitigation)
Construction Dust (site establishment, vehicles movements, cement batching)	Medium (workers all other receptors Low)	Low	Minor (Neutral all other receptors)
Fugitive exhaust emissions	Medium	Low	Minor (Neutral all other receptors)
Decommissioning dust (site establishment, vehicles movements, cement batching)	Medium (workers all other receptors Low)	Low	Minor (Neutral all other receptors)
Decommissioning fugitive exhaust emissions	Medium	Low	Minor (Neutral all other receptors)

7.2.5 Data limitations and uncertainty

None identified.

7.3 Environmental Noise

7.3.1 Potential impacts

Increases in noise emissions can have adverse impact on the health of any nearby residents. The health effects include hearing impairment, sleep disturbance, interference with speech communication, mental-health and performance effects; effects on residential behaviour and annoyance; and interference with intended activities.¹¹⁶ Noise effects on workers are addressed in section 7.12 on occupational health and safety

7.3.1.1 Construction phase

The main noise generating activities for both Lot 1 and Lot 2 during construction of the OHTL, substation works, and access track construction/upgrade are:

- Site preparation works including piling and excavation works, assembly of Project components, on-site traffic movements; and
- Delivery of materials to the Workfront and the arrival and departure of workers from each Workfront.

Noise generated on site is typically from stationary and moving sources with heavy plant such as trucks, excavators, and piling rigs (if required) typically generating the highest levels of noise. For the OHTL works, predicted noise levels will be in the order of 60 to 65 dB(A) 200m from the noise source. The noise generating activities at any workfront will be temporary in nature occurring for a one to two-week period at each tower location and then stopping as the OHTL construction team moves sequentially along the OHTL route. Noise activities will return during stringing works with stringing equipment including large generators located approximately every six tower locations. The total duration of noise generating activities (excluding commissioning works) will be approximately 12 months in total and is only expected to occur during daylight hours (7am to 6pm). As such the noise is expected to be mildly disruptive meaning the noise can be heard and may cause small changes in behaviour and/or attitude, but not to the extent that it will result in a change in the quality of life. Considering there will be a perceptible but only mildly disruptive change in noise conditions 200m from the source, and considering the short term an intermittent nature of the noise impact, the magnitude is described as MEDIUM.

The magnitude of the impact from the increase in traffic noise is considered to be noticeable but unlikely to cause any change in behaviour or attitude, Given the low volume of traffic movements expected in relation to the Project, and the intermittent nature of the traffic movements to various Work fronts, the magnitude of impact from construction traffic noise is expected to be below.

7.3.1.2 Operation phase

No noise is expected to be generated during the operations phase. This has been scoped out.

7.3.1.3 Decommissioning phase

Decommissioning noise impacts at Lot 1 and Lot 2 will be similar to those generated during the construction phase. Key activities that could give rise to noise impacts are:

¹¹⁶ WHO Guidelines for community noise

- Demolition of plant, towers and electrical systems, removal of plant and electrical system materials from site, structural foundation removal; and decommissioning plant item movements.
- Decommissioning traffic movements (removal of equipment and transfer of personnel to and from the worksites).

The magnitude of impact from construction works and traffic movements are classed as the same as for the construction phase i.e. MEDIUM and LOW magnitude respectively.

7.3.1.4 Cumulative impacts

Noise impacts are expected to be contained to the direct AOI (200m from the proposed works). There are no other planned developments in the Lot 1 and Lot 2 AOI during the construction period. Cumulative impacts are not considered relevant.

7.3.2 Receptor sensitivity

The AOI for noise impacts during construction and decommissioning phases is defined as 200m.¹¹⁷ This includes 200m either side of the OHTL centre line, 200m from the road used for delivery of vehicles, 200m around the substation locations, and from any temporary works area e.g. laydown area, accommodation camp.

The current noise baseline (refer to 4.4.7) is low and strongly influenced by natural sources of sound e.g. wind or traffic noise. Spot check monitoring at key receptor location indicate baseline noise levels of between 35 and 40 dB(A) in the daytime. The baseline study did not indicate any high sensitivity receptors in the AOI i.e. receptors where people or operations are particularly susceptible to noise e.g. residential areas, places of worship, hospitals, or schools. No permanent noise sensitive receptors are located within 200m of the OHTL.

It is possible that herders, and workers residing at the Municipal water pumping station may have a greater susceptibility to increased noise generating activity within the 200m buffer and along the access roads. Given the low background noise level, receptors in the vicinity of the OHTL are conservatively assumed to have a MEDIUM sensitivity to changes in noise as a result of the construction works.

Table 46: Project noise receptors

Lot 1	Sensitivity	Lot 2	Sensitivity
Workers (at substation and along the OHTL route)	Medium – within 200m of the works	Workers (Bash S/S and OHTL route)	Medium – within 200m of the work
Worker at the water pumping station	Medium (outside 200m buffer zone but may be within 200m of works)	Herders	Medium (outside 200m buffer zone but may be within 200m of works)
Road users (unsurfaced roads)	Low (outside 200m buffer zone)		
Road users (surfaced roads)	Low (outside 200m buffer zone)		
herder camps	Medium (outside 200m buffer zone but may		

¹¹⁷ 200m is typically accepted as the distance from the source of impact where noise impacts may fall to perceptible levels

Lot 1	Sensitivity	Lot 2	Sensitivity
	be within 200m of works)		
Nearby houses and communities (Dzhankeldy /Kalaata)	Low (outside 200m buffer zone)		

7.3.3 Mitigation, management measures

Table 47 outlines GIP and feasible and cost-effective measures to prevent or minimise environmental noise impacts.

Table 47: Noise mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2)

Project phase	Mitigation and management measures
Design / Contract	- Line routing to maintain at least 200m between sensitive receptors and the line route.
Project Specific	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Keep normal working hours between 7am and 6pm Monday to Saturday. - Locate all equipment / Workfronts, camps, stringing positions at least 200m from all sensitive receptors (specifically water pumping stations, water pumps, herder camps (active or inactive) and within the 200m OHTL buffer zone where possible. - Avoid blasting. - Prohibit night-time working.
Good International Practice	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Position plant items as far as practically possible from sensitive receptors. - Use quietest work methods and plant items where practicable. - Equipment to be properly maintained and fitted with appropriate noise control at all times. - Avoid unnecessary revving of engines. - Vehicles are not permitted to idle with engines on. - Switch all equipment off when not in use. - Locate static plant (e.g. generators) to take advantage of any screening to break the line of sight from receptors. - Brief site operatives to keep noise to a minimum. - Following the SEP inform receptors along the OHTL route when work will commence and any particular noisy foundation work.
Enhancement	- None identified
Monitoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Spot check monitoring may be performed at sensitive sites. - Monitor grievance log for noise related complaints. - Conduct noise monitoring in the event of a noise complaint or evidence of exceedance of community noise guidelines values.

7.3.4 Residual significance

Following the application of the mitigation measures outlined in Table 48, the magnitude of the impact is expected to reduce for all receptors and in particular those that may fall within 200m of temporary works sites (e.g. herders, pumping stations, users of the water points). The residual significance post mitigation is summarised as follows. The assessment has indicated that noise impacts due to the construction and decommissioning phase of each Project would not be significant.

Table 48: Noise residual significance

Adverse	Receptor Sensitivity	Magnitude (post mitigation)	Residual significance (post mitigation)
Construction site works (clearance, ground works, erection) (all locations)	Medium	Low	Minor
Construction traffic movements (all locations)	Low	Low	Neutral
Decommissioning site works	Medium	Low	Minor
Decommissioning traffic movements (all locations)	Low	Low	Neutral

7.3.5 Data limitations and uncertainty

None identified.

7.4 Waste

7.4.1 Potential impacts

Solid waste will be generated during the construction of the OHTL, substation, worker accommodation and construction of supporting access roads for Lot 1 and Lot 1. Typical waste streams (hazardous and non-hazardous) expected to be generated during the construction, operation and decommissioning phase of the OHTL and substation work are summarised in Table 49 below. Hazardous waste shares the properties of a hazardous material (such as ignitability, corrosivity, reactivity, or toxicity), or other physical, chemical, or biological characteristics that may pose a potential risk to human health or the environment if improperly managed.

Table 49: Typical waste streams expected to be generated during the construction, operation and decommissioning phase of the OHTL and substation work

Waste type (*hazardous)	Hazard Class (I, II, III, IV) <small>118</small>	Substation	Overhead line	Worker accommodation / camps / worksites (a)
Existing Cabling (may contain oil)	II	N/A	N/A	N/A
Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment (such as old transformers and reactors, with and without PCBs)*	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Contaminated Soils*	N/A	N/A	✓	N/A
Brickwork	III, V	✓	N/A	N/A
Concrete	III, V	✓	✓	N/A
Asbestos* 119	I, II	N/A	N/A	N/A
Steel	V	✓	✓	N/A
Copper	IV	✓	✓	N/A
Mercury*	I	N/A	N/A	N/A
General waste	N/A	✓	✓	✓
Timber	V	✓	✓	N/A
Soils and stones, topsoil	V	✓	✓	N/A
Temporary fencing, gates and troughs	N/A	N/A	✓	N/A
Topsoil, timber, brash, fence posts, wire etc.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Tarmac/made ground (rubble/hardcore/piling mats)	V	N/A	N/A	N/A
Batteries*	II, III	✓	✓	✓
Fluorescent tubes*	N/A	N/A	N/A	✓
Printer cartridges*	N/A	N/A	N/A	✓
Concrete washout	III, V	✓	✓	✓
Waste oils/ sludges*	I, II, III, IV	✓	✓	✓
Mastic Tubes	N/A	✓	✓	✓

118 Hazards class is defined based on national classification standards as set out in the Resolution of Cabinet of Ministers of The Republic of Uzbekistan "On Approval of The Regulations on the Order of Development and Approval of Draft Environmental Standards" dated January 21, 2014. Class I - extremely hazardous waste, Class II - highly hazardous waste, Class III - moderately hazardous waste, Class IV - low-hazardous waste, Class V – inert waste

119 In accordance with EBRD PR3 and relevant international conventions on waste, asbestos is not permitted to be used and will be prohibited explicitly in relevant project contracts. It's use is not considered further

Waste type (*hazardous)	Hazard Class (I, II, III, IV) <small>118</small>	Substation	Overhead line	Worker accommodation / camps / worksites (a)
Solvents*	I, III	✓	✓	✓
Paints*	N/A	✓	✓	✓
Aerosols*	N/A	✓	✓	✓
Used spill kits*	N/A	✓	✓	✓
Canteen waste, safety equipment	V	N/A	N/A	✓
Mixed metals	N/A	✓	✓	✓
Packaging waste	V	✓	✓	✓
Sanitary waste*	N/A	N/A	N/A	✓
Septic tank waste*	N/A	N/A	✓	✓
Plastics	IV	✓	✓	✓
Ceramics	III, V	✓	✓	✓
Paper/ cardboard	II, IV, V	✓	✓	✓
Glass	II	✓	✓	✓

7.4.1.1 Construction phase

During construction the expected volumes of all wastes (as identified in Table 49) generated at the worksites is expected to be low. To manage waste at the Work front's waste generated there will be collected and then transferred to a central storage and segregation area (location to be determined). Waste from here will be segregated and stored for onward transportation and ultimately disposal at a licenced facility within Uzbekistan in accordance with national requirements and GIP. General waste (including non-hazardous construction waste) will be disposed to a municipal landfill designated for general or construction waste or both following national standards for labelling, segregation, transportation and disposal. Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) landfills can be used to dispose of construction waste and some types of industrial waste rated at Hazard Class III and IV however this requires a special approval from a respective Centre for Sanitary and Epidemiological Supervision (CSES).

Hazardous wastes including oils, can be treated in-country in accordance with GIP principles for waste. The nearest hazardous waste landfills are understood to be in Nukus or Tashkent. During construction, activities with the potential to generate impacts relating to waste include:

- Inadequate handling, transfer and disposal of general waste leads to uncontrolled releases to land, air, groundwater leading to degradation and pollution of the receiving environment and potential fines and/or penalties under national regulations
- Inadequate handling, transfer and disposal of hazardous waste leads to uncontrolled releases to land, air, groundwater leading to degradation and pollution of the receiving environment and potential fines and/or penalties under national regulations
- Poor handling and storage of wastes can lead to health impacts on workers and local community (instruction of pests etc.)

Based on the anticipated waste streams, the waste volumes predicted to be generated and the short-term nature of the works the magnitude of the impact for general waste is deemed to be LOW. For hazardous wastes, the absence of hazardous waste disposal facilities

7.4.1.2 Operation phase

Wastes will be dealt with in accordance with JSC NEGU standard operating procedure for waste management. During operations and maintenance works, the same types of waste expected to be generated during the construction phase will be generated and may include low risk hazardous and non-hazardous wastes. However, during operation the anticipated waste volumes will be less. The magnitude of the impact is deemed to be LOW.

7.4.1.3 Decommissioning phase

Waste generated during the decommissioning phase will be as per the construction phase except with the addition of additional waste streams arising from the decommissioning of the main structural and electrical components of the OHTL and substation. Removed parts will be re-used, recycled or disposed following GIP and options available in-country at the time of decommissioning. It is typical to recycle and reuse poles and transmission line materials whenever possible. Conductor wires can be recycled for their metal content but due to the thickness and rigidity there are few alternate uses for conductor wire. As used conductor wire is unsuitable for reuse in new transmission or distribution lines, it will be disposed to landfill following GIP and available practices in-country.

Following removal of the line, the right-of-way will be restored to the surrounding land use. This process often includes filling in pole locations with gravel, clean fill and topsoil, replacing topsoil and restoring the contours of the landscape.

The magnitude of the potential waste generated is conservatively estimated to be MEDIUM.

7.4.1.4 Other impacts

No cumulative impacts are anticipated.

7.4.2 Receptor sensitivity

The availability of suitable waste facilities for general waste is generally good, however disposal of hazardous waste in accordance with GIP may be problematic. Regulated waste transportation and disposal providers for hazardous waste do exist within Uzbekistan at the provincial level, however there is limited capacity to manage this at the local level. Options for recycling decommissioned equipment is also limited.

Table 50: Project waste receptors

Lot 1	Sensitivity	Lot 2	Sensitivity
General waste providers (transportation and disposal)	Low	General waste disposal provides (transportation and disposal)	Low
Hazardous waste providers (transportation and disposal)	Medium	Hazardous waste providers (transportation and disposal)	Medium
Workers and community	Medium	Worker and community	Medium
Hazardous processing and recycling services (decommissioning)	Medium	General and recycling waste services (decommissioning)	Medium

7.4.3 Mitigation, management measures

Table 51 outlines GIP and feasible and cost-effective measures to prevent or minimise waste impacts.

Table 51: Waste mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2)

Project phase	Mitigation and management measures
Design / Contract	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Prohibit the following materials in EPC Contract: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Asbestos o PCB containing materials o lead based paints o pesticide, herbicides as defined under Stockholm convention - Include specific objectives for re-use, recycling or disposal of dismantled equipment in the ESMP.
Project Specific	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Develop construction site waste management plan - Identify recycling options or relevant MSW landfill and hazardous waste landfill. -) - Define and demarcate dedicated temporary waste collection site at each Workfront (or OHTL section) - Remove all waste at Workfront on a daily basis to the centralised waste handling areas (construction camp) - Define centralised waste handling area at each construction camp for the temporary storage and segregation of waste for transportation and disposal at third party facility. Explore options for recycling based on the availability of handling facilities in the region (construction and decommissioning). - . - Develop decommissioning waste management plan
Good International Practice	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Avoid, minimise the generation of hazardous and non-hazardous waste materials as far as is practicable - Employ the waste hierarchy - Where waste is hazardous explore reasonable alternatives for its environmentally sound disposal considering the limitation applicable to its transboundary movements - Apply GIP for the handling, segregation, transportation and disposal of waste for offsite disposal including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - - Use third party waste transfer and waste disposal contractors that are reputable and legitimate enterprises requiring licences by relevant regulatory authorities In Uzbekistan - Explore options for for recycling based on the availability of handling facilities in the region. - - Train workers on their rights regarding working with hazardous wastes (e.g. PPE) and the correct way to handle and dispose of waste.
Enhancement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - None identified - Targets for recycling or re-use of equipment
Monitoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Weekly and monthly waste generation volumes for construction wastes (segregated by waste stream)

7.4.4 Residual significance

Following the application of the mitigation measures outlined in Table 51 the magnitude of the impact is expected to reduce for all receptors and in particular those that may fall within 200m of temporary works sites (e.g. herders, workers at the water pumping stations, users of the water stations). The residual significance post mitigation is summarised in Table 52. The assessment has indicated that AQ impacts due to the construction and decommissioning phase of each Project would not be significant.

Table 52: Waste residual significance

Impact and Effect	Sensitivity	Magnitude	Residual significance (post mitigation)
General waste management (construction)	Low	Low	Neutral

Hazardous waste management (construction)	Medium	Low	Minor
Health impacts on workers and community	Medium	Low	Minor
General waste management (decommissioning)	Low	Low	Neutral
Disposal and recycling facilities for main structural and electrical components of the OHTL and substation	Medium	Low	Minor

7.4.5 Data limitations and uncertainty

None identified.

7.5 Climate resilience

7.5.1 Potential impacts

The Task Force for Climate Resilience categorised climate related risks are typically categorised as¹²⁰:

- market and technology shifts
- policy and legal
- reputation
- physical risks

7.5.1.1 Construction and operation phase

Considering these four categories of particular relevance to the Project are physical risks to project infrastructure and health risks. Market and technology shifts, reputation and policy risks are not considered relevant for assessment in this ESIA. It is worth noting however, that the Project can be considered to have a positive impact on the market and technology shifts required to improve climate resilience as describe in the needs case assessment in section 2.1 which highlights the Project contribution to supporting the transition of the Uzbekistan energy sector to low carbon through the deployment of renewables and the need to improve the resilience power network.

Considering the climate risk projections outlined in the baseline section (4.4.2.), the Project will be susceptible to climate related risks during the construction and operational lifetime of the asset (expected to be 30 to 40 years / 2025 - 2065).

Climate risk screening has identified the following potential events that are relevant to the Project in Uzbekistan with the potential to result in an impact to the workforce and physical infrastructure. Risk projections potentially relevant to the construction, operation and decommissioning phase works are:

- more frequent dust storms
- extreme rain events
- potential for prolonged periods of extreme heat during the summer months

A summary of the impacts and their predicated magnitude for the physical infrastructure and workers on the Project is provided in Table 53.

¹²⁰ Taskforce for Climate Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)

Table 53: Climate risk review - operations phase (Source: adapted from ADB Climate Risk and Adaptation in the Electricity Sector)

Climate parameter	Physical Component	Key impacts	Indirect impacts	Duration	Description of impact magnitude	Magnitude Category
Wind speed and storms (including dust storms)	Wind and storm damage	Conductors	Positive effect on industry that rely on electricity Cascading negative effect effects on other infrastructure systems that rely on electricity to function.		Up to 20% capacity increase / m/s rise in wind speed	Medium (positive)
	Increasing heat convection	Damage conductors, electric wires and other distribution component		Variable	-	Medium
	Worker health (dust storms)	Construction workers (OHTL / substations) are located in remote areas with little to no protection from extreme storms (dust, ppt).		Construction - temporary continuous 12 to 18 months Operations - intermittent - 30-50 years		Medium
Increasing temperature (continues increased, more prolonged heatwaves)	De-rating	Transformers		30-50 years	-1% load per 1°C rise	Medium
	Decreased conductivity	Transmission lines with capacity constraints can suffer from load management issues, particularly during summers and winters when the demand for cooling and heating are at their peak, decreasing the amount of power that can be delivered through the network (ESMAP 2009, Aguiar et al. 2002)		30-50 years	Resistance rises ~0.4% per 1°C degree rise -0.5 to -1% line load capacity per 1°C rise	Medium
	Sag	Line integrity can be reduced (World Bank 2008) due to line sag		30-50 years	4.5 cm per 1°C rise at conductor surface for 35°C ambient impacts and span of 400 meters)	Medium
	Worker health	Maintenance work (OHTL / substations) in extreme heat will higher prevalence of heat-related medical conditions. Prolonged periods above 35°C can restrict or preclude medium or heavy physical work outside.		30-50 years	Probability of exceeding 35°C for 3 months of the year (summer) is high.	Medium
Extreme rain events	Flash flooding (pluvial) and incidences of mudflows	Wet soil conditions and run-off on groundworks and mounting requirements		30-50 years		Medium

7.5.1.1 Decommissioning phase

The impact during the decommissioning phase may be even more pronounced than the construction phase given that this will be 50 years in the future when the full extent of the climate risk projections will have been realised. Nevertheless, overall, the magnitude of these impacts is considered MEDIUM considering the relatively short construction and decommissioning period.

7.5.2 Receptor sensitivity

The main receptors for climate change risks at the project level are the project infrastructure and workers. Based on the climate change projections and the duration of the operations phase, all infrastructure is considered to have a medium sensitivity to change. Workers are assigned a high-risk sensitivity based on there being little to no capacity to avoid climate related events during the construction phase and their susceptibility increases into the operation phase, refer to Table 54.

Table 54: Project climate resilience receptors

Lot 1	Sensitivity	Lot 2	Sensitivity
Physical Infrastructure	Medium	Physical Infrastructure	Medium
Workers	High	Workers	High

7.5.3 Mitigation, management measures

Table 55 and Table 56 outline GIP and feasible and cost-effective measures to prevent or minimise climate impacts.

Table 55: Climate resilience mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2)

Project phase	Mitigation and management measures
Design	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Design OHTL for climate projections up to 2085 – consider need to reinforce the structures or higher design standards (stronger winds, higher temperatures) - Design road to consider short-term, extreme weather events - Design any drainage to account for increased or short term extreme precipitation patterns - Design for increased and extreme dust storms - Specify more effective cooling for substations and transformers, including retrofitting measures, improved shading, and choice of cooler locations where possible around the substation
Project specific	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Identify shelter locations for workers in the event of a dust storm. - Prohibit work in wind conditions more than 15?km/hr - Ensure sufficient supply of potable water at the Work fronts - Ensure sufficient shelter/shade during summer months - Provide extra rest periods for workers when temperatures exceed 35 °C. - Ensure workers are not penalised for taking extra rest breaks during periods of extreme heat
Good International Practice	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Consider climate risks in all setting to work risk assessments - Address climate risk in worker induction - Address climate risks (dust/extreme heat) in emergency preparedness and response planning (EPRP), see section 7.5
Enhancement / opportunities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - None identified
Monitoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Establish an early warning system for wind and extreme heart events through continuous weather monitoring.

7.5.4 Residual significance

Table 56: Climate resilience residual significance

Adverse	Sensitivity	Magnitude (post mitigation)	Residual significance (post mitigation)
Increased wind speeds	Medium	Low	Minor
Increasing temperature	Medium	Low	Minor
Extreme rain events	Medium	Low	Minor
Climate related events that impact worker health	high	Low	Moderate

7.5.5 Data limitations and uncertainty

None identified.

7.6 Water resource use and quality

7.6.1 Potential impacts

Water use, in general, can have a negative impact on water availability and water quality (surface and groundwater). The baseline assessment did not identify any surface watercourses in the direct AOI or any irrigation area. The indirect AOI is used for livestock grazing. In the indirect AOI, the Amu Darya River and the Ayakagitma Lake are within five kilometres of the proposed works. Groundwater is understood to be predominantly pluvial groundwater and can be found at a depth of about 1 to 3 m.

7.6.1.1 Construction phase

Project activities during site construction which are likely to impact the availability of water resources for competing water users or lead to contamination of sensitive water receptors include¹²¹:

- General construction works lead to direct contamination of groundwater from contaminated liquid runoff (e.g. oil spillages) and contaminated run-off leading to pollution of groundwater (in particular during extreme precipitation events).
- Additional water use during construction works leads to increased pressure of water availability for competing water users (e.g. for irrigation, watering animals (herders), potable water consumption (e.g. villages of Kalaata, Sarimay, Dzhankeldy)).

The AOI for the construction works considers the direct AOI (OHTL or road plus 200 m buffer), the substation footprint, and the location of any accommodation camp. All temporary work areas will likely be within the OHTL ROW or the road ROW and their respective buffer zones. The indirect AOI considers the extent of the underlying aquifer and the receptors that rely on it. The works at the OHTL, roads and substations are described in section X. Concerning water use and potential impacts on water quality, construction works at the substations are likely to short-term duration and within the substation footprint. Water consumption will be associated with general construction works, including concrete batching, welfare requirements and commissioning (transformers).

Water contamination. During site establishment and construction works for the OHTL, SS and road access, construction activities (including an accommodation facility) may generate liquid contaminants that may run off directly to groundwater.

In addition, extreme precipitation events may cause contaminated run-off to groundwater. Extreme precipitation events typically happen in the wet season (late Autumn to early Spring) and can number up to 200 mm (7.9 in) per year on an upward trend.

Considering the frequency of extreme rain events, the short-term nature of the construction works at each work front/ substation, the volumes of liquids used during the construction process on site (mainly fuel, oils., greases etc.) and the localised extent of the potentially contaminated run off, it is possible that there will be a detectable impact to the groundwater resource. However, the impact is deemed unlikely to permanently change the groundwater quality or lead to exceedances in current groundwater quality levels. Even in the worst-case scenario of wet season construction, the effects are still highly localised and temporary. The overall impact magnitude is therefore considered to be LOW.

¹²¹ Changes to surface water run-off which may lead to increased erosion or increased sedimentation of surface water features in the indirect AOI (as a result of increased dust storms) are addressed in 7.6

The construction of the Project infrastructure has the potential to compete for water resources with existing users, especially during the dry season (May to October).

The existing water users are domestic water suppliers (villages), irrigation practices, livestock watering (local villagers, herders), and mining activities.

Water use

For Lot 1, potable water is likely to be sourced via a municipal water pipeline alongside the Sarimay-Zarafshan city (though Uzunkuduk village pump station). Water from this pipeline is sourced from a pump station along the Amu Darya river regulated by the Municipal Water Authority to Sarimay and then along the road that parallels the OHTL. The villages are not supplied by the water pipeline they have a separate groundwater supply for their homes. Potable water tankered in from an offsite municipal source will be used to service drinking and worker welfare needs at the construction camp and accommodation facility. Bottled water is likely to be used for drinking at the workfronts.

Herders access the water at irrigation water stations at periodic intervals along the route (refer to Figure 15, receptors) and for other uses (mining, domestic use), water is piped to the required use point for public use. Water requirements of the Project are not considered sufficient to require a new borehole. Therefore, it is assumed that the municipal water supply (under permit as necessary) will meet water needs, although it is not clear what consideration of competing water users this takes.

At Lot 2, there is no water source at the construction site. Water supply for the village of Agitma is obtained from irrigation channels from the nearby Lake Ayakagitma. The closest wells: Jilvon channel (35 m³/sec, 4 m. depth) and Shurkun (30 m³/sec, 4 m depth) are 60 and 40 km from Agitma village, although there are some wells in the local area used by local herders for watering livestock. Potable water tankered in from an offsite municipal source will be used to service drinking and worker welfare needs at the construction camp and accommodation facility. Bottled water is likely to be used for drinking at the workfronts.

For both lots, during construction, the main water use is connected with cement manufacture. This will be undertaken by a third party offsite cement provider. No concrete batching will occur in the direct AOI and therefore minimal construction water will be required. The potential magnitude of the water needs is conservatively considered to be LOW.

7.6.1.2 Operation phase

Water use during the operations phase has been scoped out. The potential for groundwater contamination during maintenance works is also scoped out, noting that most maintenance works will be short-term, self-contained and operational procedures require maintenance works to remove all wastes (including liquid wastes) off-site for disposal in accordance with the NEGU waste management system.

7.6.1.3 Decommissioning phase

Decommissioning impacts are assumed to be the same as construction phase impacts.

7.6.1.1 Cumulative impacts

Water use is relatively low and minimal discharges will be generated from the construction works and these are proposed to be managed locally using GIP. Combined effects as a result of other related project activities in the vicinity are not expected to result in any pressures on local water resources that will result in an adverse environmental impact.

7.6.2 Receptor sensitivity

Groundwater and water resources feature relevant to the works, and their sensitivity is defined in Table 57. No surface water features or seasonally flooded areas are noted within the direct AOI. The indirect AOI includes the Amu-Darya River and Lake Ayakagitma, which feed the municipal water supply that runs close to Lot 1 and the irrigated supply to Agitma village near Lot 2 respectively. As such, these surface water may be at indirect risk.

Table 57: Project water resource receptors

Lot 1	Sensitivity	Lot 2	Sensitivity
Groundwater	Medium (Groundwater, boreholes locally important for water supply to villages or water-dependent businesses – expected to be at a depth of approx. 1.5m ¹²²)	Groundwater	Medium (Groundwater, springs locally important for water supply to villages or water-dependent businesses)
Municipal water supply (originating from the Amu Darya River)	Medium	Irrigated water supply (originating from Lake Ayakagitma)	Medium (water availability is not known but considered to be low and already strained due to irrigation and potable water needs)

7.6.3 Mitigation, management measures

Table 58 outlines mitigation measures to avoid or minimise water use and contaminated run-off from the construction works.

Table 58: Water use and water quality mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2)

Project phase	Mitigation and management measures
Design	- Schedule groundworks outside the wet season (growing season) late autumn-early spring.
Project Specific	- Use of groundwater for potable or construction related purposes is prohibited. - Drinking water at the construction work front to be provided by bottled water (equating to at least 4.4 litres per day per worker). - No water to be sourced from the water pipeline or water points sources along the Sarimay – Uzunkuduk road (Lot 1) - Drinking/potable water for drinking and welfare arrangements at the constrain camp and laydown to be sourced from municipal supply - All cement to be delivered to site pre-mixed or pre-cast from third parties with approved water use licences. - Do not locate any temporary worksites within 50m of the water supply points.
Good International Practice	- Adopt GIP to minimize the risk of pollution during construction. - At Work fronts, use barriers between works and ground to minimise impacts from spills or other issues - Ensure wastewater does not flow directly to ground - All chemicals, fuels, and oils are stored at the construction camps and laydown area at either end of the OHTL, not at the Work fronts. Any small quantities stored at the work front must be secured in a suitable container or vehicle overnight and when not in use. - There is no direct discharge of contaminated water or potentially contaminated water to the ground without prior treatment. - No herbicide use

122 Groundwater table and salinity: Spatial and temporal distribution and influence on soil salinization in Khorezm region (Uzbekistan, Aral Sea Basin). M. Ibrakhimov and A. Khamzina and I. Forkutsa http://cawater-info.net/bk/water_land_resources_use/english/english_ver/pdf/ibrahimov-et-al.pdf

Project phase	Mitigation and management measures
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Do not refuel at the tower /stringing work front. All refuelling occurs at a dedicated refuelling site at either end of the OHTL OR a refuelling station. - No concrete washout is to take place at tower Work fronts. All cement trucks must return to the batching facility or a dedicated wash-out facility at either end of the OHTL to perform cement washout. - Undertake works with hazardous liquids over an area of hardstanding or temporary gravel to avoid seepage to groundwater in the event of a spill. - Portable latrines to be provided at each work front. - General operational management requirements concerning good housekeeping during maintenance works and waste management and spill management provisions must be implemented.
Enhancement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - If any water supplies are developed to support the Project (new borehole), these may be made available to the local community.
Monitoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Monitor volume of construction water use

7.6.4 Residual significance

Following the application of the mitigation measures outlined in Table 58, the magnitude of the impact is expected to reduce for all receptors and, in particular, those that may fall within or close to the 200m buffer zone around the OHTL and access road ROW (e.g. herders, workers at the water pumping stations, users of the water stations). The residual significance post-mitigation is summarised in Table 59. The assessment has indicated that the significance of impacts on water resources and groundwater quality due to the construction and decommissioning works would be minor adverse and therefore insignificant.

Table 59: Water use and water quality residual significance

Impact	Sensitivity	Magnitude (post mitigation)	Residual significance (post mitigation)
Water quality (groundwater) - construction	Medium	Low	Minor
Water resource	Medium	Low	Minor
Water quality (groundwater) – decommissioning	Medium	Low	Minor
Water resource - decommissioning	Medium	Low	Minor

7.6.5 Data limitations and uncertainty

None identified.

7.7 Soils

7.7.1 Potential impacts

Erosion is a natural process that occurs due to the interaction between soil, wind and water. It is accelerated if natural soil cover and vegetation is removed, causing environmental degradation.

7.7.1.1 Construction phase

During construction of the tower foundations, access tracks and the temporary construction camps, laydown area and accommodation facility, impacts may include:

- Increased soil erosion (desertification) due to permanent removal of vegetation and topsoil and compaction of soils from increased use of heavy machinery, off-road vehicle movements and storage of construction materials.
- Contamination of soils from construction activities at all locations.
- Deterioration of worker health in particular during foundation excavation works due to the naturally elevated levels of heavy metals in the soils.

Increased soil erosion

The soil in this area is characterised by Both OHTL (Lot 1 and Lot 2) route through natural sandy desert habitat consisting predominantly of fixed and semi-fixed sands predominantly, with pockets of saline land, modified habitat, and “piedmonts of the relic of low mountains” (east). All soil types have low organic matter and nutrient content. The vegetation characteristic of this type of habitat is principally slow-growing shrubs and trees (refer to habitat map in section). Although sparse, the shrubs and trees play a dominant role in the vegetation cover of the Kyzyl Kum sandy desert. They are essential for retaining the fixed structure of the sandy soils that dominate the majority of the OHTL AOI as illustrated in Figure 115. The vegetation cover of the fixed and semi-fixed sands is a major factor in retaining their structure with the roots of plants, especially the desert sedge, forming a dense network fixing sands. Removal or compaction of this top soil layer can have permanent consequences for habitat in the temporary disturbed area leading to unfixed sands and increasing potential for erosion and the phenomenon known as “moving sands”, see example in Figure 116.

Figure 115: The roots of plants, especially the desert sedge (*Carex physodes*), form a dense network fixing sands (Source: Habitat/Botanical baseline report)



Figure 116: Large plot of unfixed sands near the existing electric line and ground road Sarimay-Uzunkuduk, and a pump station on pipeline Sarimay-Zarafshan (41,105828°N, 62,633752°E) (Lot 1)



Although vegetation removal will be localised within the Worksite, short-term and temporary, without intervention, the likelihood of the disturbed area naturally returning to its original state is low based on the low organic matter and nitrogen content of the soil.

These factors can lead to a fundamental change in the soil conditions resulting in long term or permanent change over a wider area outside the direct AOI which would require significant intervention to return to the baseline. There is evidence along a neighbouring OHTL in Lot 1 where the natural habitat has been disturbed, resulting in “shifting sands” that can precipitate and degrade soils in the indirect AOI. The magnitude of the impact from activities leading to increased soil erosion is deemed to be HIGH.

Contamination of soils

Construction works can lead to the contamination of soils from:

- spills of oils
- refuelling
- use of chemicals
- poor waste management

The duration of the foundation construction works is expected to be short-term (one to two weeks at each worksite), and the volume of fuel and chemicals on site is expected to be small. The magnitude of this impact is defined as LOW.

Deterioration of worker health

There is also potential for the soils quality to have an adverse impact on worker health due to exposure to elevated levels of heavy metals in the soils (Cu, Zn, Ni, Cr). This may be particularly prevalent during excavation works and during wind events. The magnitude of this impact is defined as MEDIUM.

7.7.2 Receptor sensitivity

The sensitivity of the soils along the OHTL route to erosion and contamination are summarised in Table 60.

Table 60: Project soil receptors

Lot 1	Sensitivity	Lot 2	Sensitivity
Workers	Medium	Workers	Medium
Fixed and Semi-fixed sands	High	Fixed Sands	High
Other soils	Medium	Other soils	Medium

7.7.3 Mitigation, management measures

The following mitigation and management measures are defined for the Project Works.

Table 61: Soils mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2)

Project phase	Mitigation and management measures
Design	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Adopt tension stringing technique to avoid impact on habitat between the towers and stringing points. - Grade temporary access roads so that their slope is not too large to avoid the build-up of fast-running run-off water during extreme precipitation events.
Project Specific	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Concentrate earthworks in the dry season (summer). - Avoid total removal of vegetation at nominated worksites (see biodiversity section). - Confine traffic movement to designated routes. - Immediately restore the topsoil and vegetative cover using seeded restoration techniques for all temporarily disturbed areas. - For any area impacted by compaction, rehabilitate the compacted area to support the return of the impacted area to the original state as quickly as possible following completion of the works. This may require aeration of the topsoil, enrichment of the topsoil or reintroduction of selected species and shrubs. Do not rely on natural rehabilitation. - Ensure correct PPE for workers during excavation works.
Good International Practice	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reflect natural gradient and relief when reinstating soils. - When stripping, stockpiling or placing soil, do so in the driest condition possible and use tracked equipment where possible to reduce compaction - Keep soil storage periods as short as possible

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Clearly define topsoil and sub-soil stockpiles of different soil materials at each work front for reuse of subsoil. - Use earthmoving plant that is appropriate to the size of the site, the volume of soil to be stripped and haul distances - Topsoil will normally be stripped to a thickness defined by depth below the surface and a distinct colour change - Adopt GIP for management for pollution prevention from using machines and equipment, refuelling, storage and handling of hazardous materials and management of wastes.
Enhancement	- None identified
Monitoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Five-year aftercare and monitoring program to ensure soil and associated vegetation cover is returned to its original state. - Worker health test.

7.7.4 Residual significance

The sensitivity of soils to erosion is considered to be high based on the final balanced relationship between the habitats and soil structure and subsequent capacity to absorb any changes (Table 62).

Table 62: Soil residual significance

Adverse	Sensitivity	Magnitude	Residual significance (post mitigation)
Soil erosion	High	Low	Moderate
Soil contamination	Medium	Low	Minor
Worker health	Medium	Low	Minor

7.7.5 Data limitations and uncertainty

None identified.

7.8 Electric and magnetic fields

7.8.1 Potential impacts

Electric and magnetic fields (EMF) exist wherever electric current flows e.g. in power lines and cables, residential wiring and electrical appliances. Electric fields (ELF) are produced by voltage and increase strength as the voltage increases. Electric field strength is measured in volts per meter (V/m). Magnetic fields are produced by the current, which measures the flow of electricity, which increases in strength as the current increases. Electromagnetic fields are measured in units of tesla (T). Electric fields are shielded by materials that conduct electricity, and other materials, such as trees and building materials, whilst magnetic fields pass through most materials and are difficult to shield. Most electric power operates at 50 or 60 cycles per second or hertz (Hz), termed 'extremely low frequency (ELF)'. GIP recommendations for safe exposure limits refer to ICNRPI 1998 guidelines are set out in section 3.11.4. Short-term effects can include established biological effects from acute exposure at high levels (well above 100 μ T) explained by recognized biophysical mechanisms.

Long term effects include cancer, childhood cancers, cancers in adults, depression, suicide, cardiovascular disorders, reproductive dysfunction, developmental disorders, immunological modifications, neurobehavioral effects and neurodegenerative disease have been studied extensively to determine a link between EMF and these diseases. A WHO Task Group concluded that scientific evidence supporting an association between ELF magnetic field exposure and these health effects weak in all cases. In some instances (i.e., cardiovascular disease or breast cancer), the evidence suggests that these fields do not cause them. ¹²³

7.8.1.1 Construction phase

There is no possibility of EMF impacts associated with the project's construction phase, since the electrical equipment will not be energised at this stage. The EMF impacts during the construction phase have not been considered further in the ESIA.

7.8.1.2 Operation phase

During operation the following impacts are noted:

- Short term health effects on electricity workers from exposure to EMF
- Short term health effects to members of the public from exposure to EMF

Table 63 provides detail on the EMF field values at various distances from the OHTL. The table shows that the field falls away to ICNIRP Exposure Guidelines values within 25m from the OHTL centre line. The maximum magnetic field is produced directly under the conductors with maximum magnetic field produced by an overhead line will occur directly under the conductors at mid-span (at the lowest ground clearance) when the line is operating at its highest current.

Table 63: Magnetic and electric field from 500kV OHTL at various distances from the centre line

500kV	Magnetic Field (microteslas) μ T	Electric Field (volts per metre)
Maximum field (under line)	100	11,000
Typical field (under line)	5-10	3000-5000
Typical field (25 m to side)	1-2	200-500

¹²³ NRPB, 2004. Review of the Scientific Evidence for Limiting Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields (0-300 GHz). Documents of the NRPB: Volume 15, No. 3, 2004.

For Lot 1 and Lot 2 there are no permanent residential receptors within 200 m of the proposed OHTL, and therefore at this distance, the field magnitude will be negligible. The setback distance for 500KV OHTL is 30m, indicating that any future residential properties in proximity to the line will be far enough away not to experience EMF levels above the ICNIRP reference levels.

GIP for applying ICNIRP guidelines for the general public indicates that values should also be observed in areas where the land use is such that exposure might be for a significant period. In the direct AOI, livestock farmers and herders will continue to graze their livestock in the area under the OHTL during operation.

Land users will not be directly beneath the OHTL for significant periods¹²⁴. Overall, the possibility of receiving high-level short-term exposure to EMF in exceedance of ICNIRP 1998 exposure guidelines is negligible. Furthermore, the possible effects of EMFs on various animals have been studied several times, and no detectable effects of EMFs have been found. There is general agreement that EMFs have not been shown to have any detectable effects on crops, pasture grasses or native flora

The overall impact magnitude from EMF on members of the public (including farmers and workers at the Municipal pumping station) is categorised as LOW.

Workers on the OHTL during operation are typically exposed to higher levels of EMF due to their proximity to the live OHTL and their relatively prolonged exposure period compared to members of the public.

For workers at the substation, the highest exposure field is usually produced by the lines and cables supplying the substation and not by the equipment inside it. If the substation itself produces a field outside its perimeter, particularly through its busbars and switchgear, it usually falls to background levels over the first few metres^{125 126}.

7.8.1.3 Decommissioning phase

There would be no exposure to EMF emissions once the OHTL is de-energised in advance of decommissioning works. EMF is only associated with cables that transmit electricity.

7.8.1.4 Cumulative impacts

Cumulative EMF effects require two or more OHTL near each other. The Lot 1 AOI (200 m) does not intersect with any other existing or planned OHTL. Cumulative impacts on workers may arise at the Dzhankeldy substation, where other 500 kV lines connect into the substation. The Dzhankeldy substation is located at least 200 m from any permanent sensitive receptors.

For Lot 2, several OHTL run in parallel to the line into which the N-B-M LILIO will connect, plus each connection point will result in at least two OHTL within the ROW. The proposed OHTL is not expected to result in significant EMF effects even when the route approaches close to or crosses another source of EMF.

¹²⁴ Significant period of time is typically taken to mean a permanent residences of permanent grazing fields/paddocks.

¹²⁵ Further information on substations and EMF can be obtained from https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/48308/1256-code-practice-emf-public-exp-guidelines.pdf

7.8.2 Receptor sensitivity

Sensitive receptors in the AOI with the potential to be exposed to levels of EMF and their sensitivity to EMF impacts are summarised in Table 64. This is based on their proximity to the line, likely impact duration, and sensitivity to the issue. Consultation to date has not raised any specific concerns related to EMF.

Table 64: Project EMF receptors

Lot 1	Sensitivity	Lot 2	Sensitivity
Members of the public (nearby villages, road users, workers at water pumping station)	Low (outside 200m direct AOI)	Members of the public (nearby villages, road users, workers at water pumping station)	Low (outside 200m direct AOI)
Herders/livestock farmers	Medium (may spend some time within the ROW)	Herders/livestock farmers	Medium (may spend some time within the ROW)
Workers	Medium	Workers	Medium

7.8.3 Mitigation, management and monitoring measures

Table 65 outlines GIP and feasible and cost-effective measures to prevent or minimise EMF impacts.

Table 65: EMF mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2)

Project phase	Mitigation and management measures
Design / pre-construction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Maintain line routing at least 200m between sensitive receptor and the line route to factor in ICNRIP EMF exposure guidance - Design conductors following established guidance
Project Specific	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Conduct awareness-raising activities with local farmers, herders and community members to reduce exposure when grazing livestock in the ROW.
Good International Practice ¹²⁷	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ensure workers training includes EMF safety program
Compensation / Enhancement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - None identified
Monitoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - None identified

7.8.4 Residual Significance

Following the application of the mitigation measures outlined in Table 65, the magnitude of the impact is expected to reduce for all receptors and, in particular, those that may fall within 50m of OHTL (e.g. herders, workers). The residual significance post-mitigation is summarised in Table 66. The assessment has indicated that EMF impacts due to the operation of the OHTL would not be significant.

Table 66: EMF residual significance

Impact	Sensitivity	Magnitude (post mitigation)	Residual significance (post mitigation)
--------	-------------	-----------------------------	---

127 GIP for air managing air quality impacts can be referred to in <https://www.rbkc.gov.uk/pdf/Document%2012%20-%20BRE%20-%20Control%20of%20Dust%20from%20Construction%20and%20Demolition%20Activities.pdf> ii) IFC EHS Guidelines General

EMF occupational exposure on workers	Low	Low	Insignificant
EMF exposure – the general public	Low	Low	Insignificant
EMF exposure – livestock, natural habitats	Low	Low	Insignificant

7.8.5 Data limitations and uncertainty

None identified.

7.9 Traffic and transportation

Increases in traffic movements and the transportation of equipment and workers can have a negative effect on the local transportation network as well as the health and safety of workers and local residents. The health effects include: dust getting into eyes and mouth (workers, residents), increased AQ particles in the atmosphere, nuisance through surface soiling affecting local ecology and where relevant cultural features.

7.9.1.1 Construction phase

Activities during site construction phase which may lead to traffic related impacts include:

- Additional traffic on surfaced roads (including potential abnormal loads) from the railway station or the A380 to laydown area/ sat of OHTL route (Sarimay SS) leading to impact on road users and traffic flow.
- Additional traffic on unsurfaced roads for delivery of equipment to the Work fronts leading to an impact on the local community and road users.
- Increased vehicle movements on all roads leading to impact on route infrastructure.

Impact upon Road Users and Traffic Flow - based on baseline data about the traffic flow in Sarimay (representative of traffic movements along the A380) the traffic flow in the areas is deemed low and very low on the unsurfaced road between Sarimay and Uchkunduk, Based on the assumption that there would be up to three teams of 10 working sequentially along the line and their associated vehicles and vehicles for delivery of equipment, the project could add between 20 and 30 vehicle movements (predominantly HGV and vans) per day during the early stages of equipment delivery and cement works.

This would taper off during the steel erection and conductor stringing works and taper off even further during commissioning works. The overall magnitude of the impact is deemed to be moderate.

Impact upon Route Infrastructure – The surfaced roads are not expected to be impacted from the planned increased in vehicle movements. Movements along the unsurfaced roads is more likely to have an impact as the potential for wear and tear is much greater. The overall magnitude of the impact, especially on unsurfaced roads, is deemed to therefore deemed to be MODERATE.

Impact upon Local Community - The routes proposed for the transportation of personnel and materials to the Work fronts are expected to be A380 and the existing public track between Sarimay and Uchkunduk. Once the OHTL diverts from the existing road over undisturbed

habitat to the village of Kalaata, the impact is expected to be much less. Although there has been some construction works in the past along this route, the addition of anywhere between 30 and 50 vehicles per day may impact local users. In addition there is expected to be a concentration of vehicle movements around the Sarimay SS site. Given a relatively short term (3 to 9 months) therefore the overall impact magnitude (especially on unsurfaced roads with lower baseline) is deemed to be MODERATE.

7.9.1.2 Operation phase

During the operational phase only very, low levels of traffic would be generated by the Project with trips relating to maintenance and upkeep of lines, roads and substations. Operational traffic impacts have been scoped out from further assessment.

7.9.1.3 Decommissioning phase

The impacts of Project decommissioning are expected to be less than or equal to that resultant from project construction.

7.9.1.4 Cumulative impacts

Transportation cumulative impact could be significant if the construction programmes of these developments overlap, specifically the works for the Dzhankeldy WF.

7.9.2 Receptor sensitivity

Sensitive receptors in the AOI are summarised in Table 67.

Table 67: Project traffic receptors

Lot 1	Sensitivity	Lot 2	Sensitivity
Road infrastructure (unsurfaced roads)	Medium	Road infrastructure (unsurfaced roads)	Medium
Road users (unsurfaced roads) (mainly local community)	Medium	Road users (unsurfaced roads) (mainly local community)	Medium
Road users (surfaced roads)	Low	Road users (surfaced roads)	Low

7.9.3 Mitigation, management and monitoring measures

Table 68 outlines GIP and feasible and cost-effective measures to prevent or minimise AQ impacts during the construction and decommissioning phases.

Table 68: Traffic and transportation mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2)

Project phase	Mitigation and management measures
Design / Contract	- Design laydown area and delivery approach to minimise vehicle movements
Project Specific	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Define delivery routes from the railway station and A380 to the Project laydown area (Sarimay SS) (Lot 1) and for Lot 2. - Earmark an area for parking at each Lot and at each active Work front - Demarcate delivery road and access tracks the OHTL right of way and ensure all workers stick to demarcated areas. - Install appropriate signage to inform local communities and road users of site access routes - Establish overtaking areas. - Perform a road condition assessment prior to and following the 'core construction period' to assess damage to road infrastructure that can be

Project phase	Mitigation and management measures
	attributed to project construction. Repair damage as appropriate, i.e. 'make good' - Run a safety campaign to improve the people's knowledge of the traffic hazard on their roads, public information and other activities to address the issues - Refer to the constraints placed on the Project in the BMP. - No night time driving along unsurfaced roads. - All refuelling to take place at central laydown area (not along the OHTL routes or at the Work fronts) - Develop drivers code of conduct
Good International Practice ¹²⁸	- Enforce speed limits and reduce vehicle movements (maximum of 20 km/h) for project vehicles on unsurfaced roads. - Minimise amounts of material handling and avoid double handling to avoid transportation movements - Keep vehicle movements to a minimum - comply with weight limit restrictions on all roads - Following the SEP, inform nearby dwellings and road users on the timing and duration of works along the OHTL route - Ensure escorts, flag persons and other safety measures are employed where necessary (in particular on single track roads) - Coordinate with all necessary authorities (especially if there are any abnormal loads) - Include measures to respond to traffic incidents in the EPRP. - Evidence that all drivers can demonstrate required competencies for the vehicle they are driving and have signed a drivers code of conduct.
Compensation / Enhancement	- None identified
Monitoring	- Regular (daily) monitoring of dust episodes, soiling of vegetation, dust resuspension on the roads and dust clouds at OHTL Work fronts. - Maintain logbook: record any traffic incidents

7.9.4 Residual Significance

Following the application of the mitigation measures outlined in Table 44, the magnitude of the impact is expected to reduce for all receptors. The residual significance post mitigation is summarised in Table 69 and Table 45. The assessment has indicated that traffic and transportation impacts due to the construction and decommissioning phase of each Lot would not be significant.

Table 69: AQ residual significance (Lot 1 and Lot 2)

Adverse impacts	Sensitivity	Magnitude (post mitigation)	Residual significance (post mitigation)
Road infrastructure (unsurfaced roads)	Medium	Low	Minor
Road users (unsurfaced roads) (mainly local community)	Medium	Low	Minor

128 GIP for air managing air quality impacts can be referred to in <https://www.rbkc.gov.uk/pdf/Document%2012%20-%20BRE%20-%20Control%20of%20Dust%20from%20Construction%20and%20Demolition%20Activities.pdf> ii) IFC EHS Guidelines General

Road users (surfaced roads)	Low	Low	Insignificant
Decommissioning fugitive exhaust emissions	Medium	Low	Minor (Negligible all other receptors)

7.9.5 Data limitations and uncertainty

None identified.

7.10 Biodiversity

7.10.1 Potential impacts

The construction, operation, and decommissioning of the subject OHTL segments may generate a number of impacts on various sensitive biodiversity receptors, including one classified as a Critical Habitat feature (the Southern Even-fingered Gecko), and seventeen additional species or species groups defined as Priority Biodiversity Features, following the definitions and criteria for such in EBRD PR6.

The Project (both Lot 1 and Lot 2) has potential to generate the following types of impacts to sensitive biodiversity receptors:

- Introduction of invasive species
- Habitat/vegetation loss and degradation
- Disturbance to, and displacement of animals
- Injury/death of terrestrial (non-flying) animals
- Bird collisions with powerlines
- Bird electrocutions on powerline pylons/towers

Neither Lot 1 or Lot 2 pass through or adjacent to any national or international protected area (refer to section 4.6.2). The nearest protected areas are:

- Lot 1 - Karakyr lakes system and Bazaubay massif IBAs, both roughly equidistant from Lot 1, at a minimum distance of approx. 50 km
- Lot 2: Ayakagytna lake and surrounding desert (UZ049) approximately 3 km

No impacts from construction or decommissioning operation will occur on protected area. Impacts to these areas are not considered further.

7.10.1.1 Construction phase

Habitats and Flora

Habitat/vegetation loss and degradation. The habitat maps in section 4.6.4 indicates that Lot 1 passes through six habitat types and that Lot 2 passes through two habitat types (**in bold**), as follows:

- Weakly inclined piedmonts of relic low mountains
- **Fixed and semi-fixed sands**
- Outcrops of variegated beds
- Saline depressions
- **Relict sandy-stony upland**

These habitats are all relatively widespread habitat/vegetation types within the Kyzylkum Desert, specifically, and Central Asian deserts, more broadly. Within the Project area, most of the habitat/vegetation is within a relatively natural condition, with a moderate degree of anthropogenic impact from low-density livestock grazing activities that occur on a seasonal basis throughout. Direct habitat loss and degradation impacts are expected to occur exclusively within the Project's construction and decommissioning phases, through the removal of existing vegetation and disturbance of existing soil/substrate¹²⁹ by heavy machinery. Considering the proposed stringing technique, no habitat disturbance along the

¹²⁹ Impact on soils/substrate is discussed separately in 7.6.

OHTL ROW is expected except within area required for tower footprints and access roads¹³⁰. Temporary habitat loss/degradation impacts are expected to occur in a somewhat larger footprint containing any areas that may be used as laydown or staging areas during Project construction and decommissioning, restricted to these phases of the Project's life cycle. The pre-mitigation magnitude of impact on desert vegetation communities is considered MEDIUM.

Direct impacts to sensitive plant species. Two plant species with protected status (*Acanthophyllum cyrtostegium* and *Tulipa lehmanniana*) were noted during the baseline survey work and are classified as PBF for the Project, subject to a "no net loss" mitigation standard. It is considered that these can be relocated prior to works commencing if identified in the direct footprint of a tower or access road. The pre-mitigation magnitude of impact on sensitive plant species is considered MEDIUM.

Accidental introduction of invasive species. The presence of humans, vehicles, and heavy machinery within the Project area may result in the accidental introduction of invasive species. This impact could occur throughout the Project's life cycle, as a function of the level of human and vehicular traffic, thus concentrated within the construction and decommissioning phases. No invasive species were identified in the baseline mapping. Impact magnitude is deemed to be LOW given the absence of invasive species at this time, and the relative insensitivity of central Asian desert/steppe environments to this type of impact.

Terrestrial Fauna and Avifauna

Based on the distribution of species in the baseline section, the receptors most at risk of disturbance and habitat loss/degradation include several sensitive species of reptiles and mammals, as well as the Macqueen's (or Asian Houbara) Bustard.

Reptiles identified as PBF or CH features for the Project are Russian Tortoise and Southern Even-fingered Gecko (SEFG). The Tortoise is classified as a PBF for the Project, while the SEFG is categorised as a CH feature, per EBRD PR6 definitions¹³¹.

For the purpose of this ESIA, it is assumed that SEFG will trigger CH over a 22 km stretch the eastern portion of Lot 1 (see section 4.6.6.2). Mammals classified as PBF for the Project include Marbled Polecat, Caracal, and Goitered Gazelle, all of which may experience some sensitivity to disturbance or habitat loss/degradation impacts over the entire extent of the Project (Gazelle, Polecat) or along Lot 1 (Caracal) during Project construction. The Macqueen's Bustard, classified as a PBF for the Project, is considered potentially sensitive to disturbance and/or habitat loss/degradation impacts because all of Lot 2 and roughly the eastern 1/3 of Lot 1 contain suitable breeding habitat for this species. No other bird species classified as PBF are considered potentially sensitive to disturbance and/or habitat loss/degradation impacts during the Project's construction phase.

All of the sensitive species of terrestrial (non-flying) animals identified during the baseline study, plus Macqueen's Bustard, may experience either *Habitat loss/degradation* Impacts or *Disturbance/displacement* impacts during the Project's construction and/or decommissioning phases. The former is considered to be a function of the areal extent of an animal's

¹³⁰ Habitat loss and degradation associated with the construction of the Dzhankeledi, and Bash substations are addressed in their respective ESIA. No additional land clearance is envisaged to enable the substation end-user works proposed for this Project.

¹³¹ EBRD 2019, Environmental and Social Policy (April 2019), and EBRD 2021, Guidance Note: EBRD Performance Requirement 6. Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Management of Living Natural Resources.

habitat/vegetation that is removed either permanently (within the footprint of roads, towers, or other Project infrastructure that will persist throughout the operations phase) or temporarily (within construction laydown areas, or other temporarily disturbed areas), while the latter may extend to a broader area, depending on the sensitivity of each animal to disturbance from construction activities, which may cause certain animals to experience disruption of normal activity patterns (disturbance), or to abandon previously inhabited areas (displacement), even outside of the Project's soil disturbance footprint. The construction period at any single work front is expected to be short-term (two to three weeks) and relatively low intensity, based on the required workforce and equipment required. Particular periods of note where disturbance impacts to disturbance-sensitive animals may be most significant include the following:

- Goitered Gazelle – April 20 – May 20 (calving season).
- SEFG – June-August (active season)
- Macqueen's Bustard – April-May (nesting season)

Considering the small soil disturbance footprint of the Project, the pre-mitigation magnitude of habitat loss/degradation effects is considered to be LOW for Macqueen's Bustard, and all sensitive species of mammal and reptile with the exception of the SEFG, for which the pre-mitigation magnitude of this impact is considered to be MEDIUM, based on the extreme restriction of this species to specialized takyrs and adjacent clay hill habitats within its very limited distribution.

Considering the potential sensitivities of sensitive terrestrial animal species and Macqueen's Bustard to disturbance/displacement effects from Project construction activities, the pre-mitigation magnitude of this impact is considered to be MEDIUM for these species.

Injury or death of terrestrial animals. The Project may cause the injury or death of terrestrial (non-flying) animals during the Project's construction phase primarily through the activities of heavy machinery, as well as vehicular traffic within the area. The SEFG and small mammals, are particularly at risk of this as they dwell on, or underneath the soil/substrate, as soil disturbing activities of heavy machinery may result in the death or injury of such animals. Reptiles are particularly vulnerable to injury during the hibernation period where they are less active (or inactive) and unlikely to naturally leave the area nor can they be relocated prior to works commencing. The pre-mitigation magnitude of the impact of injury or death to SEFG is considered to be HIGH, based on the very narrow range and specialized habitat of this species. For the other, more widespread species of terrestrial animal, the magnitude of pre-mitigation injury/death impacts is considered to be MEDIUM.¹³²

7.10.1.2 Operations phase

Avifauna

Electrocution of birds. Certain birds may become electrocuted and experience mortality during the Project's operations phase if they make simultaneous contact either with two different electrified parts of the OHTL, or with an electrified and a grounded part. This impact is generally limited to species of birds that exhibit behavioural tendencies to perch and/or nest on power line structures, such as many raptors, vultures, and owls. For the subject Project, sensitive species or species groups that may experience electrocutions include the Egyptian Vulture, *Aquila* eagles (Steppe, Imperial, and Golden Eagles), Saker Falcon, other sensitive raptors (Osprey, Short-toed Snake-Eagle, White-tailed Eagle, Peregrine Falcon).

¹³² It is also noted that many of the mammals and reptiles in the project area are also subject to poaching by herders for food and to protect their livestock.

All of these named species have been classified as PBF for the Project. In addition, certain other migratory birds (including other species of migratory raptors and vultures) may also be affected by electrocution impacts.

This impact generally occurs exclusively, or primarily on powerline support structures (e.g. pylons, towers), and the intensity of this impact is highly associated with the design of such structures (i.e. the extent to which the design creates electrocution hazards, based on the proximity and location of electrified parts in relation to each other, and in relation to grounded parts). Pre-mitigation (i.e. without raptor-friendly tower/pylon designs), the magnitude of this impact is deemed HIGH for all of the bird species or species groups listed above.

Bird collisions. Certain types of birds may experience injury or death from collisions with powerlines during the Project's operations phase. This type of impact is believed to occur because certain birds have difficulty seeing the cables of the OHTL while in flight, thus colliding with them if they are on the birds' flight path. For this reason, the overhead, or static wire of OHTL is generally the most hazardous for bird collisions, as it is generally the narrowest cable, and hence the least visible to a flying bird. Bird collisions may occur anywhere along the spans (line segments between pylons or towers) of the OHTL, and are generally concentrated where OHTL pass directly through, or in close proximity to water bodies, wetlands, or other habitats that are known to concentrate collision-prone bird species.

Birds' susceptibility to collisions with OHTL varies substantially across species, with highest collision susceptibility generally associated with large-bodied bird species that possess relatively high wing-loading (the proportion of body weight to the size of the wings), as this type of flight morphology renders these species less buoyant and manoeuvrable in flight. Bird collisions with OHTL are also associated with visual capacity and flight behaviour of various bird taxa, as well as lighting and weather conditions that may affect the visibility of the cables. Sensitive collision-susceptible bird taxa potentially affected by the Project include Macqueen's Bustard, Sociable Lapwing, Whooper Swan, Common Pochard, Ferruginous Duck, Pallas's Gull, Dalmation Pelican, all of which are classified as PBF for the Project, as well as certain other migratory birds, especially migratory water birds.

Sections of the route identified as having a potentially higher susceptibility for bird collision based on the baseline bird characterization are:

- Lot 1: 1 km to 5 km, corresponding to the section where water birds and other migratory birds that use the Amu Darya River may pass through, especially during spring and fall migratory periods.
- Lot 1: Eastern ~33% (kilometre 81 km to 127 km), corresponding to the section containing potentially suitable nesting habitat for Macqueen's Bustard.
- Lot 2: Entire route, due to presence of nesting habitat for Macqueen's Bustard, and proximity (minimum ~5 km) to Ayakagitma Lake, which contains high seasonal concentrations of many sensitive and other species of water birds.

There is minimal collision risk within the middle of the western 2/3 of lot one, as it is not bustard habitat or concentration area for water birds, the two collision-prone groups.

Considering the long-term duration of the impact (30 to 40 years), the distribution of higher-risk portions of the line as a function of susceptible species' habitats, and the relative likelihood of collisions occurring for susceptible species, the pre-mitigation magnitude of this impact is deemed HIGH.

Disturbance to, and displacement of animals

Although considered to be most significant during Project construction and decommissioning phases, this type of impact may also occur to a lesser degree during Project operation, e.g. through vehicular traffic and human activity associated with maintenance of the OHTL. Maintenance works will be infrequent, typically short duration, and involve one or two vehicles. Maintenance vehicles will be required to only use the designated access tracks and roads, and to obey speed limits. The pre-mitigation magnitude of this impact is expected to be LOW for all sensitive species of animals.

Injury or death of terrestrial (non-flying) animals

This type of impact is also considered to be most significant during Project construction and decommissioning, but may also occur to a more limited extent during Project operation, primarily as a result of vehicular traffic, which may cause animals to be injured or killed if they are run over by vehicles. Based on the relatively low level and light footprint of maintenance activities expected during the Project’s operations phase, the pre-mitigation magnitude is deemed to be LOW for all terrestrial animal species.

7.10.1.3 Cumulative impacts

The presence of multiple transmission lines (Lot 1 and Lot 2) and other large scale infrastructure activities may lead to a combined pressure on the functionality of ecosystem performance and also deforestation impacts.

7.10.2 Receptor sensitivity

Twenty-three distinct biodiversity features have been identified as Priority Biodiversity Features or Critical Habitat features, potentially sensitive to impacts from the Project, based on the definitions and criteria of EBRD PR6. For the purpose of this impact assessment, these plus several additional biodiversity features that may experience impacts from the Project, are termed “receptors,” and their sensitivity is scored and presented in Table 70, and separately for each of the two, geographically-distinct segments of OHTL that comprise the Project.

Table 70: Biodiversity receptors and pertinent segments of the Project (lots) in which they are potentially impacted, Priority Biodiversity Feature (PBF) and Critical Habitat (CH) determinations are based on the assessment performed using EBRD PR6 methodology and criteria, and presented within the biodiversity baseline section of this ESIA

Receptor	Taxon	Sensitivity	PBF/CH	Lot 1	Lot 2
Terrestrial habitat/vegetation	N/A	Low		X	X
<i>Acanthophyllum cyrtostegium</i>	Plant	Medium	PBF	X	
<i>Tulipa lehmanniana</i>	Plant	Medium	PBF		X
Sensitive Water birds (Whooper Swan, Common Pochard, Ferruginous Duck, Pallas’s Gull, Dalmatian Pelican)	Birds	Medium	PBF (each species)	X	X
Macqueen’s Bustard	Bird	Medium	PBF	X	X
Sociable Lapwing	Bird	High	PBF	X	X
Egyptian Vulture	Bird	High	PBF	X	X
Steppe Eagle	Bird	High	PBF	X	X
Other <i>Aquila</i> eagles (Imperial, and Golden Eagles)	Birds	Medium	PBF (both species)	X	X
Saker Falcon	Bird	High	PBF	X	X
Other sensitive raptors (Osprey, Short-toed Snake-Eagle, White-tailed Eagle, Peregrine Falcon)	Birds	Medium	PBF (each species)	X	X

Receptor	Taxon	Sensitivity	PBF/CH	Lot 1	Lot 2
Other migratory birds	Birds	Low		X	X
Russian Tortoise	Reptile	Medium	PBF	X	X
Southern Even-fingered Gecko	Reptile	High (Critical Habitat)	CH	X	
Marbled Polecat	Mammal	Medium	PBF	X	
Caracal	Mammal	High	PBF	X	
Goitered Gazelle	Mammal	Medium	PBF	X	

7.10.3 Mitigation and management measures

In order to mitigate the anticipated impacts to biodiversity, a set of mitigation measures has been identified, following the mitigation hierarchy, and based on a review and analysis of effectiveness and feasibility of potential mitigation options. These mitigation measures are listed and described in Table 71. All mitigation defined here must be elaborated in a BMP (see Volume IV - ESMP) and the project ecologist will review and give final instructions regarding where specific mitigations might need to be installed based on the final surveys, design and other factors.

Table 71: Biodiversity mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2, unless stated)

Project phase	Mitigation and management measures
Design (to be included in the Contactor RFP design specification)	<p>OHTL Design Specification in Contract to include requirement to undertake the following for approval of the final design by a qualified project ecologist on behalf of NEGU.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Install Bird Flight Diverters on overhead, or static lines of the OHTL following GIP, within high risk portions of the lines: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o all of Lot 2 (40.687271° 64.625539° to 40.620080° 64.705109°) o westernmost 5 km of Lot 1 (41.097761° 61.969967° to 41.098389° 62.029836°), o eastern 33% of Lot 1 (41.008969° 62.943141° to 40.870647° 63.386358°) - "Raptor safe" pylon designs for the entire OHTL <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Electrified cables suspended below, rather than above support structures o ≥2m of insulators at each attachment point of a powerline to a support structure o ≥2m separation between electrified cables o Jumper cables suspended below insulators/support structures - Micro siting of pylons and access road to avoid takyrs to the extent possible within the potential habitat of SEFG - Micro siting of pylons and access road to avoid <i>Tulipa lehmanniana</i> (Lot 2) and <i>Acanthophyllum cyrtostegium</i> (Lot 1) to the extent possible - No access track along the entire ROW. Use existing road where possible to access the tower Work fronts.
Project Specific (to be included in the E&S Schedule of the EPC Contract)	<p>Prepare BMP outlining the key requirement of this ESIA including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Off-site vegetation restoration/rehabilitation to compensate for all permanent habitat loss generated by the Project, noting that some species defined as PBF and therefore subject to the "no net loss" mitigation standard per EBRD PR6 occur throughout the entire Project area, such as Goitered Gazelle and Russian Tortoise. Current estimation of permanent habitat loss is 6850 m² and 11,400 m² ¹³³, ¹³⁴(see also ESMP)

133 It is expected the pathway to NNL can be achieved through the restoration and rehabilitation of non-fixed sands connected in the vicinity of both lots.

134 Refer to Volume VI LARF for information on land requirements

Project phase	Mitigation and management measures
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Restriction of construction activity to outside of April and May, the key birthing period for Goitered Gazelle (GG)¹³⁵ - Restriction of construction activity to outside of April and May, in nesting period for Macqueen’s Bustard (applies to eastern third of Lot 1 subject to restrictions from GG above). - Preconstruction sensitive plant survey (<i>Acanthophyllum cyrtostegium</i>, <i>Tulipa lehmanniana</i>) including construction phase plantseed collection and replanting program¹³⁶ - Install temporary fencing around takyr in the direct impact area to exclude people, heavy machinery, equipment laydown, or any vehicles from entering/disturbing takyr habitats for protection of SEFG within, and in close proximity to the direct construction area (22 km segment in the eastern portion of Lot 1 only) - For SEFG <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o develop a Pre-Construction monitoring and Relocation Procedure including requirement to survey population immediately before construction and relocating to the nearest habitats o Creation of closed zones, at least temporary, for the period of construction where transport, livestock and people should not get into that can be used for the release of the geckos after relocation and if found during the excavation works o Long-term monitoring of the population after construction - Prepare a Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP, covering all mitigation for SEFG), including full description of off-site SEFG habitat rehabilitation plan, in relation to achieving “net gain” for SEFG (Lot 1 only). - Perform terrestrial animal survey and rescue/relocation, immediately prior to construction including Construction phase part of Pre-Construction monitoring and relocation Procedure) - Employ a biodiversity monitor to be present on site during excavation works to assure compliance with construction phase mitigation measures, and to conduct daily searches of Work fronts and animal rescue from open trenches, as needed
GIP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Minimize soil/vegetation disturbance during construction and where required use sustainable soil / vegetation techniques as described in section 7.7.3. - Use only demarcated area for laydown and access (construction and operation) - Minimise use of trenches or other steep-walled excavations - Backfill open excavations as soon as possible after construction activity - Rehabilitate temporarily disturbed areas as soon as possible after construction activity is finished to minimise risk of shifting sands (section 7.7.3). - Worker/contractor training/awareness, supervision regarding impacts to animals and protection of species - Prohibit poaching and interactions with fauna and flora in the worker code of conduct - Establishment, posting, and enforcement of vehicular speed limits, and other traffic management measures (see section 7.9).

135 Restriction may be refined following summer mammal surveys (planned 2022) and which will be used to update this ESIA to confirm the presence or absence of this species. At this time a conservative approach is assumed and the restriction applied.

136 The Spring / summer flora survey (planned 2022) will be used to update this ESIA and provide further clarity on the distribution of these species in the ROW.

Project phase	Mitigation and management measures
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Implement good housekeeping measures for materials handling and waste management - Prepare decommissioning management plan (including management of biodiversity impacts) prior to decommissioning.
Enhancements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Off-site habitat rehabilitation of SEFG habitat (part of the SEFG BAP Net Gain objectives)
Monitoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Monitoring of effectiveness of off-site SEFG habitat rehabilitation seasons against NG criteria - Monitoring effectiveness of off-site vegetation restoration/rehabilitation to compensate for permanent habitat loss against NNL criteria - Monitoring for bird fatalities due to collisions and electrocutions along the entire line for the first three years of Project operation with specific field and analytical methodologies applied to correct for well-known biases in carcass searching data, including searcher efficiency (detectability), carcass removal (scavenging), and crippling bias”

7.10.4 Residual significance

Table 72 presents the residual significance assessed for each adverse biodiversity impact anticipated for the Project, where the set of individual impacts is defined as all of the distinct types of impacts described earlier, broken out separately for different receptors of that impact, grouped by sensitivity level. Impact magnitude and residual significance are considered in a post-mitigation (residual) context, assuming implementation of the mitigation measures described in the previous section. Receptor sensitivity and impact magnitude and residual significance are scored according to the impact assessment methodological rubric presented in section 5.3.

Table 72: Biodiversity residual significance

Adverse Impact	Sensitivity	Magnitude (post mitigation)	Residual significance (post mitigation)
Construction / Decommissioning works)			
Habitat loss/degradation –desert vegetation communities	Low	Low	Neutral
Habitat loss/degradation – PBF (<i>Acanthophyllum cyrtostegium</i> and <i>Tulipa lehmanniana</i>)	Medium	Low	Minor
Habitat loss/degradation – Southern Even-fingered Gecko	High	Low	Moderate
Habitat loss/degradation – other sensitive reptiles	Medium	Low	Minor
Habitat loss/degradation – Macqueen’s Bustard	Medium	Low	Minor
Introduction of invasive species	Low	Low	Neutral
Disturbance/Displacement – Macqueen’s Bustard	Medium	Low	Minor
Disturbance/Displacement – Southern Even-fingered Gecko and Caracal	High	Low	Moderate
Disturbance/Displacement – other sensitive reptiles and mammals	Medium	Low	Minor
Injury/death - Southern Even-fingered Gecko and Caracal	High	Low	Moderate
Injury/death - other sensitive reptiles and mammals	Medium	Low	Minor

Adverse Impact	Sensitivity	Magnitude (post mitigation)	Residual significance (post mitigation)
Operation			
Electrocution – Egyptian Vulture, Steppe Eagle, Saker Falcon	High	Low	Moderate
Electrocution – other <i>Aquila</i> eagles and other sensitive raptors	Medium	Low	Minor
Electrocution – other migratory birds	Low	Low	Neutral
Collision with powerlines – Sociable Lapwing	High	Low	Moderate
Collision with powerlines – sensitive water birds and Macqueen’s Bustard	Medium	Low	Minor
Collision with powerlines – other migratory birds	Low	Low	Neutral
Disturbance/Displacement (all species)	Low	Low	Neutral
Injury or death of terrestrial (non-flying) animals	Low	Low	Neutral

5.11.1 Data limitations and uncertainty

The robustness and certainty of the critical habitat / priority biodiversity features assessment and biodiversity impact assessment conclusions presented within this section are limited by heterogeneity in the extent of existing scientific knowledge regarding sensitive species’ distributions, ecology, and susceptibility to impacts from powerlines within Uzbekistan. Furthermore, due to the seasonality of many sensitive species’ occurrence and/or activity patterns within the Project area, the baseline survey effort contains key seasonal gaps for some species, particularly those whose periods of activity and/or occurrence within the Project area is restricted to the warmer months. In order to account for such gaps, secondary information sources were used in all cases, and conservative assumptions were made based on off-season habitat mapping in other cases (e.g. SEFG). Supplemental surveys will be conducted in spring and summer 2022 to confirm assumptions made in this ESIA. additional primary data to fill these seasonal gaps, and this data will subsequently be used to update the ESIA where needed.

7.11 Labour and procurement

7.11.1 Potential impacts

Labour impacts can be felt by workers working on the project and by community members considered 'local' (in this case assumed to mean up to 15 km from the Project site – but to be further defined by the Main Contractor). Potential labour impacts during the construction, operation and decommissioning period include:

- Job creation and increased local spending in the local economy (positive)
- Poor working terms and conditions affect worker wellbeing (including forced and child labour)
- Gender-based violence and harassment (GBVH) in the workplace affecting worker wellbeing


7.11.1.1 Construction phase

Positive impacts

The total workforce is unlikely to exceed 200 workers for the construction phase. This is likely to be made up of approximately 40 unskilled local labourers and drivers and 30 skilled workers that can be sourced from the Project region. The remainder of the workers will be skilled workers that will need to be sourced from outside the region (70 workers), management and supervisors, including health and safety and the CLOs (30 workers). The composition of the workforce is described in further detail in section 2.7.5 and consists primarily of skilled OHTL construction workers. Given the rural location of the Project site, it is unlikely that people skilled in OHTL construction will be able to be identified in the local communities. Therefore, the majority of construction workers are likely to be sourced from further afield via the Main Contractor(s).

A general organogram for the works is shown in Figure 117 below.

Figure 117: General organogram for the OHTL construction works



There is potential for a small amount of unskilled or semi-skilled temporary employment generation during the construction phase that will result from the ground clearance for the ROW, construction of the foundations, drivers and security work. This type of work may be sourced from the local communities. There is also a possibility that the Project may source some of its procurement contracts from local communities as well (such as food or raw materials).

Although local construction jobs and local procurement contracts will be temporary, they will be a positive impact for local communities and contribute to livelihood security. In addition, local workers and contract workers having earnings that can be spent on local goods and services, will have induced socio-economic benefits on local communities. Skills and experience gained through this small amount of temporary work experience will benefit the workers’ future job prospects. There are high numbers of unemployed people in the local communities that could benefit from employment.

Adverse impacts

Uzbekistan has ratified the eight fundamental ILO conventions and has protections in place for salaried workers (e.g. those hired by NEGU or the Main Contractor). Therefore, salaried workers are less at risk than short-term contract workers.

Security guards and day labourers are often some of the more vulnerable workers on a work site as they may not have the same access to training, or representation and may feel the need to work long hours without sufficient compensation. Local workers and international migrant workers may be poorly educated or unaware of their rights in the workplace. This can impact a workers’ wellbeing or their ability to seek grievance redress, if necessary.

All construction workers, and particularly local and migrant workers can be vulnerable to projects not providing adequate working conditions, some key risks can include:

- Insufficient personal protective equipment (PPE);

- Not providing a contract or other related documentation that clarify worker rights;
- Withholding of personal documents or passports;
- Lack of payment or insufficient payment (often related to overtime hours or night work);
- Excessive working hours, and/or lack of breaks and rest periods; and
- Unsuitable accommodation.

Migrant workers, which include the skilled workforce required for the Project, will likely need to be accommodated on or near the site. The workforce for a similar project in the region was accommodated in temporary facilities in the grounds of the Sarimay substation. It is likely that it will also be the case for this Project. There is a risk that the accommodation provided to workers will not be suitable (for example, unsanitary, not providing sufficient facilities, or too small for the number of workers).

Given the small number of workers required for the construction of the Project, it is unlikely that private employment agencies will be used to locate workers. However, if they are used there is a risk that workers may be forced to pay recruitment fees, which would reduce the benefit the individual receives from gaining employment and may put the worker in a vulnerable position.

Uzbekistan has a record of forced and child labour (particularly in the cotton industry, with a small incidence of forced labour in construction work under the traditional hashar system – discussed further in the social baseline section 4.5.6). However, it has ratified the ILO conventions on forced labour, minimum age and worst forms of child labour. The incidence of forced and child labour has reduced significantly in recent years, and it is not a high risk in this type of project which employ workers through national or international companies (rather than community level organizations which may employ the hashar system), it will also require more skilled labour, which would not be able to be sourced from the local communities as forced labour.

Workers are also at minimal risk of human rights abuses and harassment. There is no evidence that the Project will impact gender-based violence and harassment (GBVH), or that the Project is in a location where GBVH is prevalent. However, there are gender disparities at a community level, and this will need to be reviewed by the Main Contractor during construction.

Workers in the Project's supply chain may not receive the same working conditions as those on the Project site. Supply chain workers could be located in Uzbekistan or in other countries and they may be more vulnerable to unsafe work sites, and without direct monitoring from Project personnel, forced and child labour may be used.

Occupational health and safety impacts are discussed in the Community Health, Safety and Security section 7.12 below.

7.11.1.2 Operations phase

The operational phase is not expected to create many employment opportunities. The total workforce is not expected to exceed 25 workers. Of those jobs the majority would be skilled positions hired directly through NEGU or their O&M contractors, of which only a small number could be offered to people residing in the indirect AOI and local communities. There is a minor risk that operations workers are not provided adequate working conditions (these would generally be the same risks as listed for the construction phase, but to varying degrees).

7.11.1.3 Decommissioning phase

Labour requirements for the decommissioning phase will be similar to the construction phase.

7.11.1.4 Cumulative impacts

Direct economic opportunities from the energy projects occur to a greater extent during the construction phase when large numbers of workers will be required in comparison to the operation phase. With various project opportunities in the same area, some contributions to the skill base would be made.

7.11.2 Receptor sensitivity

The direct AOI for labour impacts identified for the planned works is defined as the project footprint, including the tower foundation locations, the right of way under the OHTL, the substation, the accommodation facility, and all temporary work areas. The indirect AOI can be considered the communities up to 15 km from the Project site. This is where the majority of local workers will travel from. Sensitive receptors in the AOI are summarised in Table 73.

Table 73: Labour sensitive receptors

Lot 1	Sensitivity	Lot 2	Sensitivity
NEGU (salaried) workers (construction and operations)	Low	NEGU workers (construction and operations)	Low
Contract workers (construction)	Medium	Contractor workers (construction)	Medium
Local community members (potential for employment or procurement) (construction or operation)	High	Local community members (potential for employment or procurement)	High
Supply chain workers (construction)	High	Supply chain workers (construction)	High

7.11.3 Mitigation, management measures

Table 74 outlines GIP and feasible and cost-effective measures to prevent or minimise labour impacts.

Table 74: Labour mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2)

Project phase	Mitigation and management measures
Design/Contracts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lead contractor and Tier 2 sub-contractors to demonstrate functioning HR policy aligned with ILO core conventions as well as Uzbek law in contractor contracts - Define organisation requirements for the construction and operation phase for the developer and the contractor (s), including the number of E&S personnel and their qualifications. - Contractor ESMS and C-ESMP prepared and accepted by NEGU or the Main Contractor - Perform supply chain due diligence / obtain the third-party supply chain due diligence reports to verify potential suppliers credentials regarding the occurrence of forced labour child labour or occupational health and safety failures
Project Specific	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Identify villages that will be considered 'local' for the purpose of local hiring (suggested villages up to 15 km from the Project site). - Discuss with khokimiyats and local community the employment and procurement contracts available, in order to manage expectations (as there will not be a lot of jobs available). - Prioritize employment of community members where possible

Project phase	Mitigation and management measures
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Prioritize procurement of goods from local communities where possible. - Per the requirements of the Ministry of Employment and Labour Relations, the Project must follow the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Registered sample of the Charter from the Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Uzbekistan from 14.08.96 № 272. By order of the Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Uzbekistan on January 7, 2000. o Registered under No. 870 "On the Development of Recommendations on the Rules of labour relations" Charter. o Registered by the Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Uzbekistan No. 273 of August 14, 1996. o Resolution of the Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of Uzbekistan of November 11, 2020, "Technical Operation of Household Electrical Equipment" rules and safety in the operation of household electrical appliances Resolution No. 712 "On Approval of Technical Regulations".
Good International Practice	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Prepare HR policy for the Project that meets EBRD and ILO requirements and specifically prohibits the use of child and forced labour and encourages non-discrimination. - Require all contractors to submit for review their own HR Policies of to adhere to the Project's HR policy - Prepare a policy on prioritising local employment - Refrain from hiring day labourers - Require all workers to sign a "code of conduct – workers" - All contractors and their subcontractors to adhere to the "labour management plan" which sets out requirements for contractors, including disciplinary actions; - Provide worker accommodation in line with the EBRD and IFC Guidance on worker accommodation - Prepare an accommodation plan - Set up a training plan for all workers, including inductions and regular refresher training. - Prepare a worker grievance mechanism that involves an appropriate level of management, and addresses concerns promptly, using an understandable and transparent process that provides timely feedback without retribution. It will allow for anonymous complaints and will not impede access to other judicial remedies (it should also include requirements for GBVH grievances) - Disseminate and train workers in the worker grievance mechanism - Perform a tier 1 supply chain due diligence / obtain the third-party supply chain due diligence reports to verify potential suppliers credentials regarding the occurrence of forced labour child labour or occupational health and safety failure - Monitor private employment agencies (if used) for recruitment fees and ensure they are paid by employers rather than prospective job applicants.
Enhancement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Prepare a local recruitment plan to encourage employment of workers from villages within 15km of the project.
Monitoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Report numbers of local workers and provide gender-disaggregated workforce numbers in construction and operations monitoring reports. - Perform labour auditing during construction (monthly) and operations (annually) to identify any gaps in payment, provision of personal protective equipment and/or any other concerns regarding human resources. Include a focus on vulnerable employees. - Audit the worker accommodation to ensure it meets the required standards. - Monitor the project's impact on GBVH.

7.11.4 Residual significance

Following the application of the mitigation measures outlined in Table 74, the magnitude of the labour impacts is expected to reduce. The resultant impact magnitude and residual significance post mitigation is summarised in Table 75. The assessment has indicated that labour impacts will not be significant.

Table 75: Labour residual significance

Impact	Sensitivity	Magnitude	Residual significance (post mitigation)
Local job creation and spending	Medium	Medium	Moderate (positive)
Local Procurement	Medium	Medium	Moderate (positive)
Working conditions and wellbeing - NEGU workers	Low	Low	Neutral
Working conditions and wellbeing skilled contract workers	Medium	Low	Minor
Working conditions and wellbeing - local workers	High	Low	Moderate
Working conditions and wellbeing - local workers	High	Low	Moderate

7.11.5 Data limitations and uncertainty

None identified.

7.12 Occupational Health and Safety

7.12.1 Potential impacts

Occupational health and safety (OHS) hazardous during construction, operations and decommissioning of OHTL include a range of physical, chemical, biological and radiological hazards.

7.12.1.1 Construction phase

For both Lots, construction related OHS risks include the following¹³⁷.

- Fire
- Electrocution
- Induced voltage at worksite
- Lifting operations
- Working at heights
- Exposure to chemicals and hazardous materials
- General construction site risks including exposure to physical hazards from use of heavy equipment and cranes; trip and fall hazards; exposure to dust and noise; falling objects; work in confined spaces; exposure to hazardous materials; and exposure to electrical hazards from the use of tools and machinery
- Emergency and abnormal situations

Project / location specific OHS risks to workers include:

- Interface risk at substation for completion of Project end-user works
- Working in remote areas
- Extreme temperatures (addressed in section 7.5.1)
- Dust storms and other climate related events (addressed in section 7.5.1)
- Traffic (addressed in section 7.9).
- Contaminated soils (addressed in section 7.7.1)
- EMF (addressed in section 7.8.1)
- Working in areas where there are wild animals and grazing animals

The majority of the workforce will be skilled workers, experienced in similar projects. However occupational health and safety (OHS) risks remain, particularly in relation to working from heights, working with electricity and working in a desert environment (heat and dust). The Project site is isolated, and the nearby villages are small and ill-equipped to deal with major accidents or incidents. In the event of an accident on the site, the injured party may need to be transported a long way to receive treatment. Communication along the OHTL route is also poor.

Skilled workers are less likely to have OHS impacts as they are already aware of the risks on OHTL projects. However local workers may not have worked on a construction site previously and will be at a higher risk of accident or incident.

Temporary construction worker accommodation camps could expose workers to the risks of illness. Contractors will be required to produce a Workers' Accommodation Plan that addresses: sleeping areas; sanitary and toilet facilities; canteen, cooking and laundry facilities, standards for nutrition and food safety, medical facilities, and leisure, social and telecommunication facilities. The accommodation plan needs to ensure there is a manager

¹³⁷ IFC's EHS Guidelines for Electric Power Transmission and Distribution sector specific OHS requirements.

responsible for the hygiene, safety and security of accommodation. Workers will not be charged for accommodation and related services.

Uzbekistan is prone to natural hazards, including droughts, floods, and earthquakes. Potential emergency conditions can arise as a result of the following activities:

- Dust storms
- Extreme heat
- Lightning strikes

7.12.1.2 Operations phase

During the operations phase, workers will undertake operations and maintenance works at along the OHTL and at the substations in accordance with J.S.C NEGU H&S management policies, plans and procedures. The alignment of the J.S.C NEGU H&S MS with international GIP is not understood and therefore a magnitude of the impact is not currently known.

7.12.1.3 Decommissioning phase

Decommissioning phase impacts will be similar to the construction phase risk and impacts.

7.12.1.4 Cumulative impacts

7.12.2 Receptor sensitivity

Worker sensitivity is summarised in Table 76.

Table 76: Worker H&S sensitivity

Lot 1	Sensitivity	Lot 2	Sensitivity
Construction workers(all)	Medium	Construction workers	Medium
J.S.C NEGU (operation)	Medium	J.S.C NEGU (operation)	Medium

7.12.3 Mitigation, management measures

Table 77 outlines GIP and feasible and cost-effective measures to prevent or minimise community impacts.

Table 77: Worker health and safety mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2)

Project phase	Mitigation and management measures
Design/Contract	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Incorporate GIP engineering controls in Project design (clearances, loadings). - Incorporate measures to reduce the risk of these hazards impacting the Project as per national codes and norms and international standard specifications - Require the Main Contractor to be certified to ISO45001 (or equivalent) - Require Main Contractor to set up adequate communication services (cellular, satellite) to ensure coverage across whole OHTL during construction. - Specify safety signage on all towers following GIP electrical specifications and codes of practice. - All towers to have security features to prohibit climbing or other interference
Project Specific	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Establish a comprehensive Construction HSMS and OHS Plan (OHTL) and separate plans for each end-user works - Establish a comprehensive Decommissioning HSMS and OHS Plan

Project phase	Mitigation and management measures
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Contractor to hire H&S Manager and officers (1:50 for construction workforce) - Establish and accommodation strategy and relevant plan aligned with GIP - Review NEGU operational HSMS to identify gaps to align with GIP (e.g ISO45001) for implementation prior to COD. - Conduct Project specific risk assessment identifying physical chemical, biological and other hazards and prioritising hazard elimination, hazard control and hazard minimisation - Develop a Project specific Emergency Preparedness and Response Plan (EPRP) (incorporating risk management protocols for climate related risks, exposure to contamination risks, traffic risks etc). - Develop a medical evacuation procedure to enable injured workers to access appropriate emergency facilities - Ensure medical preparedness includes paramedic, first aid facilities and first aiders - Provide worker and shelter provisions at each work front - NEGU to employ / assign EHS officer to oversee E&S obligations for the site (may be based elsewhere)
Good International Practice	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Workers to receive correct personal protective equipment (PPE) - Workers must receive appropriate training, prior to commencement of work and on an ongoing basis through toolbox talks, oriented by training plans - Mock drills (based on scenarios in the ERPP) - Ensure first aid facilities at all Work fronts. - Establish an accident and incident reporting procedure
Enhancement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - None identified
Monitoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Daily H&S inspections by qualified personnel - Construction and operations auditing, inspection and reporting schedule

For general measures to address these hazards, it is possible to refer to the WBG General EHS Guidelines.

7.13 Community health, safety and security

7.13.1 Potential impacts

Project activities with the potential to impact on the community, health, safety and security (CHSS) include:

- Project activities pose a hazard to local community
- Temporary labour influx causing strain on local services
- Poor worker conduct impact local community and in particular vulnerable groups e.g women
- Poor occupational health and safety in the workplace affecting worker wellbeing
- Conflict between community members and security personnel could impact either or both parties.
- Traffic and transportation of Project workers and Project components could impact people living along the roadways.

7.13.1.1 Construction phase

For both Lots, the distance from the nearest communities to the Project and the rural nature of the location, makes it unlikely that there will be significant issues with community members entering the direct AOI. However local herders do use the Project right of way, so there is the possibility that site clearance works, road construction, increased vehicle movements may pose a hazard to herders or their animals in and around the Project construction site. Community vulnerability may be exacerbated by the low level of literacy and education in the area.

Temporary labour influx of people (either for work, or with the hope to obtain work) can cause strains on local infrastructure, such as hospitals, markets and schools. Hospitals in particular are not well equipped, in particular to deal with incidents that may arise during the construction and operation of the OHTL. It can also put community members at risk of conflict with workers or at greater risk of contracting communicable diseases, particularly COVID-19. The location of worker accommodation is currently unknown. Should it be located in one of the nearby villages there will be a greater possibility of conflict between workers and community members. Security guards are often the first point of contact between community members and the Project; therefore they are the most vulnerable to conflict or harassment.

The introduction of workers in the vicinity of local communities has the possibility of increasing GBVH between workers and community members. However, this is considered to be unlikely due to the distance from the Project to the nearest communities.

For Lot 1, road users between Sarimay and Uzunkuduk (including workers at the Municipal water pumping station) may be vulnerable to safety risks from increased construction traffic and other safety issues or construction nuisance (such as dust and noise – discussed in sections 7.2, 7.3). Other communities along the transportation route (for Lot 1 and Lot 2) may also be identified as at risk for transportation impacts. Workers will likely need to be transported long distances to reach Project locations, which poses health and safety risks as the road along the Project alignment is rough and one lane, also travelling long distances can cause fatigue for drivers (particularly in difficult conditions) (see also section 7.9).

There will be some groups within the local communities that will be more vulnerable to risks than others, or they may have difficulties in receiving Project benefits. These include women, disabled, elderly, illiterate and youth.

7.13.1.2 Operations phase

There are no activities that will occur during the operations phase that will specifically impact community members, unless they attempt to access the Project infrastructure. This is unlikely as, in general, community members have seen OHTLs before.

Occupational health and safety during operations will be primarily in relation to working at heights, working with electricity and working in desert conditions. As with the construction phase, should an accident occur it will take a long time to reach medical help.

7.13.1.3 Decommissioning phase

CHSS impacts for the decommissioning phase will be similar to the construction phase.

7.13.1.4 Cumulative impacts

Parallel to Lot 1 there is currently ongoing works to maintain existing water pipelines and install a new water pipeline. The exact schedule for finalization of this works is unknown, however if

the works are still ongoing when construction work on Lot 1 commences, this may present a possible cumulative CHSS risk.

For Lot 1, construction works at the Dzhankeldy wind farm may also overlap, therefore cumulative impacts related to the increased size of the workforce, or construction nuisance may occur.

For Lot 2, construction works may overlap with other planned OHTL works in the area (section 2.8).

7.13.2 Receptor sensitivity

The direct AOI for community health, safety and security impacts identified for the planned works is defined as the project footprint, including the tower foundation locations, the right of way under the OHTL, the substation, the accommodation facility, and all temporary work areas. The indirect AOI can be considered to be; Nukus, Sarimay, Dzhankeldy and Kalaata villages. The socio-economic baseline in section 4.4 provides a detailed overview of the characteristics of the communities in the direct and indirect AOI. Sensitive receptors in the AOI and their vulnerability to CHSS impacts are summarised in Table 78.

Table 78: CHSS receptor sensitivity

Lot 1	Sensitivity	Lot 2	Sensitivity
Vulnerable groups (e.g. women, disabled, elderly, illiterate and youth)	Medium-High	Vulnerable groups (e.g. women disabled, elderly, illiterate and youth)	Medium-High
Members of Nukus, Sarimay, Dzhankeldy and Kalaata villages	Medium	Herders and their employees	Medium
Herders and their employees	Medium	Project workforce	Medium
Project workforce	Medium		

7.13.3 Mitigation, management measures

Table 79 outlines GIP and feasible and cost-effective measures to prevent or minimise community impacts.

Table 79: CHSS mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2)

Project phase	Mitigation and management measures
Design/Contract	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Incorporate safety requirements into the project design. - Where necessary include fencing, safety signage (in locally used languages) and other relevant features to deter community members from entering the site and/or climbing the OHTL towers.
Project Specific	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Per the requirements of the Ministry of Employment and Labour Relations, the Project must follow the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Decree of the Ministry of Labour and Social Protection of Population of the Republic of Uzbekistan No. 12/B, 2009 "On approval of technical regulations Employees of the electrical power industry must be equipped with special clothing, special footwear and other means of individual protection" (registration number 1934, dated April 2, 2009) o Resolution of the Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of Uzbekistan of September 15, 2014 Approved by decision 2bZ number of the Statute on "Working conditions and equipment of workplaces the procedure for certification of the risk of injury"

Project phase	Mitigation and management measures
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - House workers from outside the project area or municipality in accommodation away from the immediate community Dzhankeldy/Kalaata, thereby reducing potential social tensions - Prepare a plan/strategy to guard workers and community members against contracting communicable diseases (particularly COVID-19). - Prepare a transportation plan that covers transportation of Project components as well as transportation of workers. It should include a disclosure plan for community members, should any communities be identified as vulnerable to Project impacts along the transportation route. - Employ local security guards where possible.
Good International Practice	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Undertake a comprehensive stakeholder engagement campaign to inform community members of the possible risks and impacts of the construction of the Project (refer to SEP). - Require all workers to sign a “code of conduct – workers” - Require all security personnel to sign a “code of conduct – security personnel” - Prepare an occupational health and safety plan for each phase of the project that is Project-specific and covers requirements for all key risks in the construction of OHTLs. - Prepare a security plan that outlines the security requirements for construction and operations (including numbers of guards, whether they will be armed, use of video technology, training and background checks for guards etc). - Prepare an emergency preparedness and response plan, particularly including access to medical facilities, given the location of the Project. - Implement and disclose details of a community grievance mechanism. which should include GBVH requirements. - Nominate CLO for operations phase and implement operations SEP
Enhancement	- None identified
Monitoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Maintain worker health and safety statistics during the construction and operations phases. - Undertake OHS and emergency drills throughout construction and operations

7.13.4 Residual significance

Following the application of the mitigation measures outlined in Table 79, the magnitude of the adverse community impacts is expected to reduce. The residual significance post mitigation is summarised in Table 80. The assessment has indicated that community impacts will not be significant.

Table 80: Community, health, safety and security residual significance

Impacts	Sensitivity	Magnitude	Residual significance (post mitigation)
Safety risks for members of Nukus community, and Sarimay, Dzhankeldy and Kalaata villages and herders (C,O,D)	Medium	Low	Minor
Labour influx (C,D)	Medium	Low	Minor
Poor worker conduct (inc. GBVH) (C,D)	Medium	Low	Minor
Occupational health and safety accidents and incidents (C,O,D)	Medium	Medium	Moderate
Traffic and transportation impacts	Medium	Low	Minor

7.13.5 Data limitations and uncertainty

None identified.

7.14 Land

7.14.1 Potential impacts

A permanent right of way 30m either side of the outermost conductor is required for the OHTL. In addition, servitudes will also be required for any new access tracks outside the ROW for the purpose of delivering equipment and future maintenance works.

There are no new land requirements for the works at the Sarimay substation and land acquisition for the Dzhankeldy and Bash substation sites has been undertaken as part of the Dzhankeldy wind farmland lease agreement. Land acquisition and livelihood restoration compensation have been addressed for these locations in line with international lender standards.

A summary of the estimated land requirements for the project is provided below:

Land type	Permanent/ temporary	Area (m ² /m)	Land use rights
Lot 1			
Tower footprints	Permanent (acquisition)	Between 6,350m ² and 10,575 m ² 138	Dzhankeldy LLC
Right of way	Permanent (right of way)	7,620,000 m ² 139	Dzhankeldy LLC (official land lease)
		235.62 m ² 140	Herders 1,2 & 3 (usage rights given by Dzhankeldy LLC)
Access road	Temporary	140,000 m ² 141	Dzhankeldy LLC (official land lease)
Laydown areas	Temporary	1,500 m ² 142	Dzhankeldy LLC (official land lease)
Lot 2			
Tower footprints	Permanent (acquisition)	Between 500 m ² and 825 m ² 143	Kokcha LLC (official land lease)
Right of way	Permanent (right of way)	600,000 m ² 144	Kokcha LLC (official land lease)
		157.08 m ² 145	Herder 4 (usage rights given by Kokcha LLC)
Laydown areas	Temporary	600 m ² 146	Kokcha LLC (official land lease)
TOTAL Permanent	Permanent	Between 6850 m ² and 11,400 m ² (0.0069 km ² and 0.0114 km ²)	

138 Between 254 and 423 towers * 25 m² (each tower) = 6,350 to 10,575 m²

139 127 km (length of Lot 1) * 60m (width of the ROW) = 7,620,000 m²

140 Assumed as the worst-case scenario, the whole grazing radius of each herder (5 m) it is most likely that only a small section of the grazing radius will be impacted. 78.54 (area of a circle with 5m radius) * 3 (number of herders) = 235.62 m²

141 35 km (temporary access road distance) * 4 m (estimated width) =140,000 m².

142 5 locations (assuming three worker accommodation sites and two laydown areas, one at each end of the OHTL) * 300m² (approximate area of the laydown areas) = 1,500 m²

143 Between 20 and 33 towers * 25 m² (each tower) = 500 to 825 m²

144 10 km (length of Lot 2) * 60m (width of the ROW) = 600,000 m²

145 78.54 (area of a circle with 5m radius) * 2 (number of herding areas) = 157.04 m²

146 2 locations (assuming one worker accommodation site and one laydown area) * 300m² (approximate area of the laydown areas) = 600 m²

Land type	Permanent/ temporary	Area (m ² /m)	Land use rights
TOTAL Temporary	Temporary	8,360,000 m ² (8.36 km ²)	
TOTAL area required		Between 8,366,850 m ² and 8,371,400 m ² (8.366 km ² and 8.371 km ²)	

Project activities with the potential to impact on the land use and involuntary resettlement include:

- Construction activities and temporary land use limiting access to grazing land.
- Removal of vegetation for the ROW impacting grazing, medicinal or sacred plants.

7.14.1.1 Construction phase

The Project will need to get servitude rights over the land for the OTHL. The footprint of the OHTL towers will cause permanent impact for the lifetime of the Project (however it will be a small impact). In addition, site clearance for the OHTL ROW, access roads and temporary laydown areas/camps has the potential to impact access to grazing land. Temporary land take will be localised and focussed at Work fronts at the tower locations along the route.

The owners of the land rights of the Project AOI is the government owned Committee for Development of Sericulture and Wool Industry and the company that is managing the land is the Dzhankeldy LLC (who hire herders to graze livestock on the land). Any loss to the herders will result in a loss of profit for both companies. However, the Project will impact a minor percentage of the land that they own the rights to and manage. Disturbance at each tower footprint is short-term (approximately two to three weeks during tower foundation works and steel erection) and one to two weeks during conductor stringing).

The four herders that are hired by Dzhankeldy LLC to graze animals may experience some impacts as their grazing land overlaps the Project footprint; possibly resulting in a reduced area to graze animals, which could ultimately result in a reduction of livelihood (due to the herders not being able to graze as many animals, or if the animal are not as healthy as prior to the Project, due to reduced grazing areas, dust etc.). Some herders employ workers to help graze their animals. These workers may lose their employment, should changes be made to herder grazing areas. Both herders and their workers are generally hired under verbal, not written contracts.

Water stations are located along the Project alignment. The proposed layout has included a buffer zone for each water station to alleviate land impacts. This will need to be confirmed in the final Project design. No impact to the operability of these water stations is anticipated.

No use of medicinal plants, other ecosystem services or other untitled land use has been identified to date. However, this may be identified once the Project design has been finalized.

7.14.1.2 Operations phase

During operation the project footprint will reduce to the tower foundation area only and the access tracks to the right of way. No livelihood issues are anticipated during this phase.

7.14.1.3 Decommissioning phase

During decommissioning, impacts will be similar to the construction phase as a result of the dismantling of Project infrastructure. Once all infrastructure has been removed, areas of permanent land take will be restored to their original state and returned to general grazing offering resulting in receptors having the original amount of available land.

7.14.1.4 Cumulative impacts

Herders typically graze their land in an up to 5 km radius (unless they are grazing camels which may graze up to 10 km) (refer to Figure 21) and therefore herders are not expected to experience any cumulative impacts from the Project. The 60m ROW during operation minimises potential conflicts with other land use.

7.14.2 Receptor sensitivity

The AOI for potential land acquisition and involuntary resettlement impacts identified for the planned works is defined as the project footprint, including the tower foundation locations, the right of way under the OHTL, the substation, the accommodation facility, and all temporary work areas. Land acquisition impacts will be identified during the pre-construction phase, as land acquisition activities should be completed prior to commencement of construction. However, impacts on loss of vegetation in the right of way and restriction of access to areas could be long lasting or permanent. Positive impacts of livelihood restoration activities may last throughout the construction phase. Sensitive receptors in the AOI are summarised in Table 81.

Table 81: Land receptor sensitivity

Lot 1	Sensitivity	Lot 2	Sensitivity
Committee for Development of Sericulture and Wool Industry (CSWI)	Low	CSWI	Low
Dzhankeldy LLC	Low	Dzhankeldy LLC	Low
Herders and employees	High	Herders and employees	High
Water stations	Medium		

The Lot 2 ROW is located inside of project footprint of the Bash 500 MW wind farm which will be constructed by ACWA Power. It is understood that all herders within the Bash 500 MW wind farm AOI, including Herder 4 (H4) will be resettled as a result of the wind farm construction. If the construction of the Bash 500 MW wind farm starts earlier than construction of the S-D OHTL then there will be no affected herders along Lot 2 at all.

7.14.3 Mitigation, management measures

Table 82 outlines GIP and feasible and cost-effective measures to prevent or minimise land acquisition and involuntary resettlement impacts.

Table 82: Land mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2, unless stated)

Project phase	Mitigation and management measures
Design/Contract	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - As far as possible/practical undertake Project design to avoid all structures (including a buffer around sensitive areas such as water stations), crops and trees. - Prepare a Land Acquisition and Livelihood Restoration Framework (LARF) that defines the responsibilities of the Project in relation to land acquisition and resettlement (see Volume VI).

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Complete all land acquisition and resettlement requirements per the Livelihood Restoration Plan (LRP) prior to the commencement of construction.
Project Specific	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Confirm with herders if there is sufficient area to graze their animals away from the Project construction works. - Confirm any possible employment impacts to herder employees. - Prepare an LRP once Project design has been finalized.
Good International Practice	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Include awareness campaigns for herders, CSWI, Dzhankeldy LLC and as per Project SEP. - Design grievance mechanism to be implemented and disseminate in a culturally appropriate way to herders. - Prepare a land acquisition and resettlement execution report to prove that involuntary resettlement has been completed as per the LRP (before construction commences).
Enhancement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Undertake livelihood restoration activities with all impacted households (which would likely be the herder households and herder employees' households).
Monitoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Monitor impacted households for at least three years to ensure they have at least returned to their previous level of livelihood, if not improved their livelihood. - Monitor the implementation of livelihood restoration activities.

7.14.4 Residual significance

Following the application of the mitigation measures outlined in Table 82, the magnitude of the land acquisition impacts is expected to reduce. The residual significance post mitigation is summarised in Table 83. The assessment has indicated that land impacts to be completed prior to the construction phase of each Lot would not be significant.

Table 83: Land residual significance

Impact	Sensitivity	Magnitude	Residual significance (post mitigation)
Loss of Livelihood due to land take (CSWI, Dzhankeldy LLC), Water users (C, O, D)	Low	Low	Neutral
Loss of Livelihood - herders and employees (C,O,D)	High	Low	Moderate
Loss of ecosystem services	Low	Low	Neutral

7.14.5 Data limitations and uncertainty

None identified.

7.15 Cultural heritage

7.15.1 Potential impacts

No protected or identified cultural heritage has been identified in the direct AOI. However, groundworks have the potential to disturb cultural heritage that is not legally protected or previously identified.

7.15.1.1 Construction phase

In discussion with the Archaeological Institute of Uzbekistan it has been identified that the proposed Project alignment is near, but not likely to impact areas of physical cultural and archaeological importance that have already been identified in Dzhankeldy (Lot 1) and Bash (Lot 2), refer to section 4.4.

Items of physical cultural heritage have been identified in the indirect AOI, which increases the possibility that further items, not previously identified (referred to as “chance finds”), may be identified during the excavation works.

Excavation work during the construction phase will take place at the tower foundation locations only. No excavation work is expected for the road access, along the ROW between towers or at the substations. There is a reasonable likelihood of identifying chance finds at this location.

Consultation with the local community has not identified any potential intangible cultural heritage that may be impacted by the proposed works.

7.15.1.2 Operations phase

During the operations phase no below ground works are anticipated. Maintenance works along the OHTL are not expected to impact items of known or unidentified cultural heritage.

7.15.1.3 Decommissioning phase

During decommissioning no below ground excavation works in areas not previously surveyed is expected. Any previously unidentified finds that are noted during the construction phase will be required to be demarcated for protection during construction works where they may fall within the direct AOI.

7.15.2 Receptor sensitivity

The AOI for potential cultural heritage impacts identified for the planned works is defined as the Project footprint where below-ground work may take place, specifically the tower foundation locations. Sensitive receptors in the AOI are summarised in Table 84.

Table 84: Project cultural heritage receptors

Lot 1	Sensitivity	Lot 2	Sensitivity
Unidentified cultural heritage in the direct AOI	Moderate	Unidentified cultural heritage	Moderate
Known cultural heritage in the indirect AOI	Low (as outside the direct AOI)	Known cultural heritage	Low (as outside the direct AOI)

7.15.3 Mitigating, management measures

Table 85 outlines GIP and feasible and cost-effective measures to prevent or minimise impacts on locations or items of physical cultural heritage.

Table 85: Cultural heritage mitigation and management (Lot 1 and Lot 2, unless stated)

Project phase	Mitigation and management measures
Design/Contract	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Prepare Project design to avoid all known areas of cultural heritage and archaeological significance. - Include requirement for a chance finds procedure in the Project contract. - Require contractor to Hire Project Archaeologist to supervise excavation works (per the requirements of the Agency of Cultural Heritage)
Project Specific	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Maintain communication with the Institute of Archaeology that determined items of archaeological importance in Dzhankeldy (these have been undertaken as part of the ESIA preparation). - Conduct archaeological study be undertaken at selected locations along the OHTL for Lot 1 Sarimay-Dzhankeldy (as recommended by the Archaeological Institute) once the design is finalised.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Construction works should not be performed within established buffer zones of items of cultural heritage (these should not be impacted by the Project following consultation with the Archaeological Institute).
Good International Practice	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Establish a chance find procedure (that includes national and EBRD requirements as well as following GIP) for the construction phase, or any phase that requires excavation works. - Should items of cultural heritage be identified they should be managed in line with the chance find procedure. - Ongoing discussion with local community members if they have any areas of cultural significance within the proposed Project site and avoid any areas that are identified.
Enhancement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Train workers in the identification of items of cultural heritage or archaeological significance.
Monitoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Log and report all chance finds identified - Should items of cultural heritage be found near the proposed project site, these should be regularly monitored to ensure they are properly signposted, their buffer zones are maintained and that no harm has come to the items. - Training should be provided to workers on the location of such items and the proper behaviour around the items of cultural heritage.

7.15.4 Residual significance

Following the application of the mitigation measures outlined in Table 85, the possibility of items of cultural heritage being lost or destroyed (the magnitude of the impact) is expected to reduce. The residual significance post mitigation is summarised in Table 86. The assessment has indicated that cultural heritage impacts due to the construction phase of the Project would not be significant.

Table 86: Cultural heritage residual significance

Impact	Sensitivity	Magnitude (post mitigation)	Residual significance (post mitigation)
Disturbance of unidentified cultural heritage	Medium	Low	Minor
Disturbance of identified cultural heritage	Low	Low	Neutral

7.15.5 Data limitations and uncertainty

None identified.

8 Environmental and Social Management

8.1 Overview

A framework ESMP is prepared as part of the ESIA to support the ongoing implementation of E&S requirements for the Project (Volume V ESMP). The objectives of the ESMP are to:

- Clearly describe the required components of the NEGU and Contractors environmental and social management system (ESMS) for the construction and operation phase.
- Provide overview of the NEGU corporate structure for implementing projects.
- Confirm compliance obligations.
- Establish objectives of the ESMP (construction and operation).
- Define roles and responsibilities for implementation of the requirements of the ESMP.
- Outline capacity building and training requirements (all parties) (linked to the ESAP).
- Set minimum requirements for inspection, audits and reporting.

8.2 ESMP objectives

A summary of the key obligations from the ESIA phase is provided below. These will be elaborated in the ESMP to provide further information on:

- Outcomes or targets
- Timeframes
- Responsibilities
- Resources required
- Monitoring activities

9 Conclusion

The Project is considered to be suitable for development and able to comply with national regulatory framework and the requirements of EBRD ESP 2019 subject to implementing GIP.

The project can be developed in accordance with:

- PR1 – Assessment and Management of Environmental and Social Impacts and Issues;
- PR2 – Labour and Working Conditions;
- PR3 – Resource Efficiency and Pollution Prevention and Control;
- PR4 – Health, Safety and Security (community/workers);
- PR5 – Land Acquisition, Involuntary Resettlement; and Economic Displacement;
- PR6 – Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Management of Living Natural Resources; and
- PR8 – Cultural Heritage
- PR10 – Information Disclosure and Stakeholder Engagement.

This ESIA has identified and evaluated potential environmental and social impacts that the Project may have on the environment and communities within its area of influence (AOI) (positive and negative) and reached the following conclusions.

Stakeholder engagement

- A systematic approach to stakeholder engagement has been employed that has sought to build a constructive relationship with stakeholders, particularly the directly affected communities.
- Stakeholder engagement and consultation has been performed throughout the ESIA process as defined in the SEP (Volume V) and summarised in section 5.
- The public meetings held to disclose the draft ESIA, have demonstrated the communities have few concerns regarding the Project and consider possible employment opportunities to be the biggest direct benefit to the community (community members were informed that the number of possible job positions for local communities was low). To date stakeholder perception of the Project is broadly positive.
- A program for effective engagement going forward is set out in the Project Stakeholder Engagement Plan (SEP) alongside a project community grievance mechanism.

Environmental

- The Project is susceptible to climate related risks on physical infrastructure and worker health which can be managed through climate resilient design choices and appropriate emergency response planning.
- Sustainable land clearance practices and rehabilitation and restoration actions are required to ensure disturbed areas of land are not degraded with the potential for erosion and loss of habitat.
- A tailored archaeological survey (for Lot 1) and monitoring program must be developed implemented to address potential to impact on any unknown archaeological artefacts.
- The Project may generate negative environmental impacts from the use of hazardous materials, or poor waste management, however these can be mitigated or managed to acceptable levels as set out in the Project Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP), Volume IV.
- The Project will have a negligible impact on AQ, noise, groundwater levels, and water availability, transportation infrastructure in the local region.
- EMF risks are deemed to be negligible.

Biodiversity

- The Project will trigger critical habitat for the SEFG and requirements for biodiversity compensation and enhancement measures to demonstrate net gain are outlined in the ESIA. These will be elaborated in a SEFG Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP) prior to construction¹⁴⁷. A pathway to net gain is identified in the ESIA that focusses on habitat rehabilitation.
- The Project may impact 22 species classified as Priority Biodiversity Features (PBF) subject to the “no net loss” mitigation standard, per EBRD PR6. The ESIA has accordingly outlined mitigation and management measures to ensure that the “no net

¹⁴⁷ A warm seasons survey for the SEFG will be undertaken to confirm the assumption regarding critical habitat trigger for SEFG and if necessary the ESIA will be updated should the classification of CH not be supported by the warm season survey work.

loss” standard is achieved and demonstrated for all PBF through offsite habitat replacement. These requirements will be set out in a BMP¹⁴⁸.

- Operational avifauna risk (collision risk and electrocution) can be managed through appropriate design choices (bird diverters and bird friendly towers, and these must be incorporated into the design specification and EPC contracts. The effectiveness of bird collision and electrocution mitigation measures will be monitored during the first three years of the Project’s operations phase.

Labour and Social

- Occupational health and safety risks will require a comprehensive Construction HSMS and OHS Plan for the OHTL and substation works.
- Labour matters and supply chain matters which are crucial to the successful development of the Project will be managed by setting out key obligations on suppliers and contractors in contractual documentation.
- Local employment and procurement will be prioritised where possible. However, awareness raising will be necessary in the local communities to manage expectations as the numbers of opportunities will be low.
- Temporary worker accommodation requirements will be managed by ensuring housing standards are aligned with GIP as defined by EBRD and other Lenders.
- Community health and safety risk are deemed to be low however the Project will implement awareness raising activities to inform local community members of the outcomes of the findings of the ESIA relating to EMF, recruitment, health and safety, water use, cultural heritage assessments.
- All workers (including security personnel) will be required to sign a workers code of conduct that includes obligations for recognising the potential for GBVH risks exacerbated by the Project.
- Cultural heritage surveys will be performed at selected locations along the OHTL for Lot 1 Sarimay-Dzhankeldy (as recommended by the Archaeological Institute) once the design is finalised to confirm low risk to archaeological and cultural features..

Land

- The Project will not result in any physical displacement impacts
- There will be some permanent and temporary land take for the Project that may result in adverse impacts on livelihoods for local herders.
- Livelihood impacts are not expected to be significant and livelihood restoration measures are outlined in the Project LARF to address loss of land or income resulting from the construction works or the presence of the OHTL.

Overall, the ESIA concludes that the Project represents a priority infrastructure for Uzbekistan. The Project is an effective and viable energy infrastructure project for the country.

¹⁴⁸ Spring and summer surveys to confirm the conclusions regarding PBF will be undertaken in 2022.

The measures outlined in this ESIA enable the Project to avoid, or where avoidance is not possible, minimise, mitigate or compensate adverse environmental or social impacts and issues to workers, affected communities and persons, and the environment from Project activities, including biodiversity impacts to acceptable levels.

Key commitments outlined in this ESIA and ESMP have been incorporated into the Lender Environmental and Social Action Plan (ESAP) that will be appended to the loan agreement.

Summary of residual impact for all aspects is provided in Table 87.

Table 87: Summary of impacts

Adverse impacts	Receptor Sensitivity	Magnitude (post mitigation)	Residual significance (post mitigation)
Air quality summary of residual significance			
Construction Dust (site establishment, vehicles movements, cement batching)	Medium (workers all other receptors Low)	Low	Minor (Negligible all other receptors)
Fugitive exhaust emissions	Medium (workers all other receptors Low)	Low	Minor (Negligible all other receptors)
Decommissioning dust (site establishment, vehicles movements, cement batching)	<i>Medium (workers all other receptors Low)</i>	<i>Low</i>	<i>Minor (Negligible all other receptors)</i>
Decommissioning fugitive exhaust emissions	<i>Medium</i>	<i>Low</i>	<i>Minor (Negligible all other receptors)</i>
Noise summary of residual significance			
Construction site works (clearance, ground works, erection) (all locations)	Medium	Low	Minor
Construction traffic movements (all locations)	Low	Low	Neutral
Decommissioning site works	Medium	Low	Minor
Decommissioning traffic movements (all locations)	Low	Low	Neutral
Waste summary of residual significance			
General waste management (construction)	Low	Low	Neutral
Hazardous waste management (construction)	Medium	Low	Minor
Health impacts workers and community (construction and decommissioning)	Medium	Low	Minor
General waste management (decommissioning)	Low	Low	Neutral
Disposal and recycling main structural and electrical components of the OHTL and substation (decommissioning)	Medium	Low	Minor
Climate resilience summary of significance			
Increased wind speeds	Medium	Low	Minor
Increasing temperature	Medium	Low	Minor
Extreme rain events	Medium	Low	Minor
Claim related events impact worker health	high	Low	Moderate
Water use and water quality summary of significance			

Adverse impacts	Receptor Sensitivity	Magnitude (post mitigation)	Residual significance (post mitigation)
Water quality (groundwater) - construction	Medium	Low	Minor Adverse
Water resource	Medium	Low	Minor Adverse
Water quality (groundwater) – decommissioning	Medium	Low	Minor Adverse
Water resource - decommissioning	Medium	Low	Minor Adverse
Soil summary of significance			
Soil erosion	High	Low	Moderate
Soil contamination	Medium	Low	Minor
Worker health	Medium	Low	Minor
EMF summary of significance			
EMF occupational exposure on workers	Low	Low	Neutral
EMF exposure – the general public	Low	Low	Neutral
EMF exposure – livestock, natural habitats	Low	Low	Neutral
Biodiversity summary of significance			
Habitat loss/degradation –desert vegetation communities	Low	Low	Neutral
Habitat loss/degradation – sensitive plant species	Medium	Low	Minor
Habitat loss/degradation – Southern Even-fingered Gecko	High	Low	Moderate
Habitat loss/degradation – other sensitive reptiles	Medium	Low	Minor
Habitat loss/degradation – Macqueen’s Bustard	Medium	Low	Minor
Introduction of invasive species	Low	Low	Neutral
Disturbance/Displacement – Macqueen’s Bustard	Medium	Low	Minor
Disturbance/Displacement – Southern Even-fingered Gecko and Caracal	High	Low	Moderate
Disturbance/Displacement – other sensitive reptiles and mammals	Medium	Low	Minor
Injury/death - Southern Even-fingered Gecko and Caracal	High	Low	Moderate
Injury/death - other sensitive reptiles and mammals	Medium	Low	Minor
Electrocution – Egyptian Vulture, Steppe Eagle, Saker Falcon	High	Low	Moderate
Electrocution – other <i>Aquila</i> eagles and other sensitive raptors	Medium	Low	Minor
Electrocution – other migratory birds	Low	Low	Neutral
Collision with powerlines – Sociable Lapwing	High	Low	Moderate
Collision with powerlines – sensitive water birds and Macqueen’s Bustard	Medium	Low	Minor
Collision with powerlines – other migratory birds	Low	Low	Neutral

Adverse impacts	Receptor Sensitivity	Magnitude (post mitigation)	Residual significance (post mitigation)
Disturbance/Displacement (all species)	Low	Low	Neutral
Injury or death of terrestrial (non-flying) animals	Low	Low	Neutral
Labour summary of significance			
Local job creation and spending	Medium	Medium	Moderate (positive)
Working conditions and wellbeing - NEGU workers	Low	Low	Neutral
Working conditions and wellbeing skilled contract workers	Medium	Low	Minor
Working conditions and wellbeing - local workers	High	Low	Moderate
Working conditions and wellbeing - local workers	High	Low	Moderate
Community, health, safety and security summary of significance			
Safety risks for members of Nukus community, and Sarimay, Dzhankeldy and Kalaata villages and herders (C,O,D)	Medium	Low	Minor
Construction Labour influx	Medium	Low	Minor
Poor worker conduct (inc. GBVH) (C,D)	Medium	Low	Minor
Occupational health and safety accidents and incidents (C,O,D)	Medium	Low	Minor
Traffic and transportation impacts	Medium	Low	Minor
Land summary of significance			
Loss of Livelihood due to land take (CSWI, Dzhanledy LLC), Water users (C, O, D)	Low	Low	Neutral
Loss of Livelihood - herders and employees (C,O,D)	Medium	Low	Minor
Loss of ecosystem services	Low	Low	Neutral
Cultural heritage summary of significance			
Disturbance of unidentified cultural heritage	Medium	Low	Minor
Disturbance of identified cultural heritage	Low	Low	Neutral